The use/abuse of copulas in actuarial science and finance

Isidoor Pinillo Esquivel

Overview

- Literature overview
- Modelling dependence
- Copulas
- Tools

Papers

- "Correlation and Dependence in Risk Management",
  Embrechts, McNeil, and Straumann [EMS02]
- "Understanding Relationships Using Copulas",
  Frees and Valdez [FV98]
- "THE DEVIL IS IN THE TAILS: ACTUARIAL MATHEMATICS AND THE SUBPRIME MORTGAGE CRISIS",
  Donnelly and Embrechts [DE]
- *From Dependence to Causation*,
  Lopez-Paz [Lop16]

Favorite course on yt with a section on dependence



Dependence

The Ladder of Causality

"Actual" Causality

"Causality-in-mean"

Statistics



Modelling dependence 1

- Modelling with multivariate RVs $\rightarrow$ density estimation
- Usually only data and domain knowledge
- Non-parametric vs parametric
- Data and computational limitations
- Approximate assumptions
- Issues at tails
- Marginals $+$ copulas

Multivariate CLT

Theorem 3.9 (Central Limit Theorem in $\mathbb{R}^k$) *Let $X_n, n \geq 1$ be i.i.d. random vectors with $\mathbb{E}|X_1|^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$. Set $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j, n \geq 1$. Then we have:*

$$S_n/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{d} Y \sim N(0, \Sigma),$$

where $\Sigma = \mathrm{Cov}(X_1)$.

Proof In view of Corollary 3.1 it is sufficient to show for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\langle S_n/\sqrt{n}, t\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \langle Y, t\rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

If $t = 0$, this is trivial. If $t \neq 0$, we can write $\langle S_n/\sqrt{n}, t\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle X_j, t\rangle/\sqrt{n}$. Obviously, the random variables $\langle X_j, t\rangle, j \geq 1$ are i.i.d and we have $\mathbb{E}\langle X_1, t\rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}X_1, t\rangle = 0$. Moreover, we have $\mathrm{Var}(\langle X_1, t\rangle) = \langle t, \Sigma t\rangle =: \sigma_t^2$. If $\sigma_t^2 > 0$, it follows from the formula for the characteristic function of Y that $\langle Y, t\rangle$ is normal$(0, \sigma_t^2)$-distributed. By the 1-dimensional CLT we then have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \langle X_j, t\rangle/\sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{d} \langle Y, t\rangle,$$

and we see that (3.5) holds in this case.
If $\sigma_t^2 = 0$, we have with probability one, $\langle S_n/\sqrt{n}, t\rangle = \langle Y, t\rangle = 0$, and (3.5) is trivial. $\square$

Sklar's theorem $\rightarrow$ transform the marginals to uniforms
Same amount parameters as the Gaussian distribution
No parametric assumption on marginals compared to assuming Gaussian



Spherical/elliptical distributions 1

Spherical distributions have a spherical/elliptical symmetry or

$$X =_d RU. \tag{1}$$

with $R > 0, U \perp\!\!\!\perp R$ uniform on unit sphere.

Spherical/elliptical distributions 1

Spherical distributions have a spherical/elliptical symmetry or

$$X =_d RU. \tag{1}$$

with $R > 0, U \perp\!\!\!\perp R$ uniform on unit sphere.
Elliptical distributions = affine transformations of spherical distributions.

Spherical/elliptical distributions 1

Spherical distributions have a spherical/elliptical symmetry or

$$X =_d RU. \tag{1}$$

with $R > 0, U \perp\!\!\!\perp R$ uniform on unit sphere.
Elliptical distributions = affine transformations of spherical distributions.
Parametrized by mean, covariance matrix and R.
Semi-parametric multivariate distributions

Spherical/elliptical distributions 2

The intersection between ellipsoids and planes are also ellipsoids similar:

Spherical/elliptical distributions 2

The intersection between ellipsoids and planes are also ellipsoids similar:

- An affine transformation of an elliptical distribution is also elliptical.
- The sum in the set of elliptical distributions with the same generator is closed.
- Marginal and conditional distributions of the components of elliptical distributions are elliptical.

Spherical/elliptical distributions 2

The intersection between ellipsoids and planes are also ellipsoids similar:

- An affine transformation of an elliptical distribution is also elliptical.
- The sum in the set of elliptical distributions with the same generator is closed.
- Marginal and conditional distributions of the components of elliptical distributions are elliptical.

In [EMS02] they show that linear portfolios where individual risk together are jointly elliptical distributed, **risk measures lose structure**, simplifying risk management tasks. Specifically they show that VaR is equivalent to variance risk analysis.

Tail dependence

Modelling CDOs

Conclusion