Answers: Lévy-Khintchine formula

April 20, 2024

(1)

WTS: D is the unit ball and

$$\nu(\{0\}) = 0 \ and \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(|x|^2 \wedge 1)\nu(dx) < \infty, \tag{1}$$

set

$$f(t,x) = (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1 - i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x)). \tag{2}$$

then following function is continuous:

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,x)\nu(dx). \tag{3}$$

Proof. Take $t_k \to t \implies \sup_{k>0}(t_k) < \infty, \sup_{k>0}(|t_k|^2) < \infty$ (if the supremum is ∞ there exist a subsequence that converges to ∞ which is a contradiction) and

$$|f(t_k, x)| \le \frac{1}{2}|t_k|^2|x|^2 I_D(x) + 2I_{D^c}(x) \tag{4}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2}\sup_k\left(|t_k|^2\right)|x|^2 I_D(x) + 2I_{D^c}(x). \tag{5}$$

so $f(t_k, x)$ is dominated (5) which is ν-integrable because of (1). Now by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t_k, x)\nu(dx) \tag{6}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\lim_{k\to\infty} f(t_k, x)\nu(dx) \tag{7}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x)\nu(dx). \tag{8}$$

$\square$

(2)

WTS: compound Poisson process has a Lévy-Khintchine representation.

Proof. To see this rewrite the characteristic function of a compound Poisson process X:

$$\phi_X(t) = \exp\left(\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle))Q(dx) - 1\right) \tag{9}$$

$$= \exp\left(\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1)Q(dx)\right) \tag{10}$$

$$= \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1)\lambda Q(dx)\right) \tag{11}$$

$$= \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1 - i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x) + i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x))\lambda Q(dx)\right) \tag{12}$$

$$= \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1 - i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x))\lambda Q(dx) + i\langle t, \int_D x\lambda Q(dx)\rangle\right) \tag{13}$$

$\square$

(3)

Question: What can you say about the infinitely divisible distribution if ν the Lévy measure is finite?

Answer: The infinitely divisible distribution is the sum of a independent normal distribution and a compound Poisson distribution.

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\langle t, At\rangle + i\langle \gamma, t\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1 - i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x))\,\nu(dx)\right] \tag{14}$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\langle t, At\rangle + i\langle \gamma, t\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1)\,\nu(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x))\,\nu(dx)\right] \tag{15}$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\langle t, At\rangle + i\langle \gamma - \int_D x\nu(dx), t\rangle\right] \exp\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1)\,\nu(dx)\right] \tag{16}$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\langle t, At\rangle + i\langle \gamma - \int_D x\nu(dx), t\rangle\right] \exp\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1)\,\nu(\mathbb{R}^d)\frac{\nu(dx)}{\nu(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right]. \tag{17}$$

The terms of the product are easily recognized as the characteristic functions of a normal distribution and a compound Poisson distribution.

(4)

WTS:

$$s_k > 0 \to \infty \implies -\frac{1}{2}\langle t, At\rangle + i\langle \gamma, t\rangle s_k^{-1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(is_k\langle t, x\rangle) - 1 - is_k\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x)}{s_k^2}\nu(dx) \to \frac{-\langle t, At\rangle}{2}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Assume $s_k \to \infty$ set

$$f(t, x) = (\exp(i\langle t, x\rangle) - 1 - i\langle t, x\rangle I_D(x)), \tag{19}$$

then all we have to show is that (the second term goes to zero)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s_k t, x)\nu(dx) \to 0. \tag{20}$$

Use the same dominator (5):

$$\left| \frac{f(s_k t, x)}{s_k^2} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}|s_k t|^2 |x|^2 I_D(x) + 2 I_{D^c}(x)}{s_k^2} \tag{21}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}|t|^2 |x|^2 I_D(x) + \frac{2 I_{D^c(x)}}{\inf_k s_k^2}. \tag{22}$$

If $\inf_k s_k^2 = 0$ then because $s_k^2 > 0$ there exist a subsequence that converges to 0 which is a contradiction with $s_k > 0 \to \infty$. Again we can apply the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\exp(is_k \langle t, x \rangle) - 1 - is_k \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)}{s_k^2} \nu(dx) \right| \tag{23}$$

$$\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\exp(is_k \langle t, x \rangle) - 1 - is_k \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)}{s_k^2} \right| \nu(dx) \tag{24}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \frac{\exp(is_k \langle t, x \rangle) - 1 - is_k \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)}{s_k^2} \right| \nu(dx) \tag{25}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\exp(is_k \langle t, x \rangle) - 1 - is_k \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)|}{s_k^2} \nu(dx) \tag{26}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|\exp(is_k \langle t, x \rangle) - 1| + |is_k \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)|}{s_k^2} \nu(dx) \tag{27}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{2 + |is_k \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)|}{s_k^2} \nu(dx) \tag{28}$$

$$\to 0 \tag{29}$$

$\square$

(5)

WTS: Set:

$$f(t, x) = (\exp(i \langle t, x \rangle) - 1 - i \langle t, x \rangle I_D(x)), \tag{30}$$

then

$$\int_{|x| \geq \frac{1}{n}} f(s_k t, x) \nu(dx) \to_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s_k t, x) \nu(dx). \tag{31}$$

Proof. Use the same dominator (5) and apply dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

(6)

WTS: $\int_{[-h,h]^d} \psi_n(t) dt \in \mathbb{R}$ without calculating it.

Proof. Didn't manage to prove it without calculating it. But here is an idea: we know because of the Lévy-Khintchine formula (which we can't get without the calculation) it is easily seen that $\psi(t)$ is conjugate to $\psi(-t)$, $|\psi| = 1$ and we are integrating/ summing over a even domain ($t \in D \implies -t \in D$) so the complex parts cancel out. $\square$

(7)

Question: Why can we apply Fubini's theorem in line 1 page 23.
Answer: Because the conditions are satisfied this is already well explained in the notes.

(8)

Question: Why is line 15 on page 13 easy to see?
Answer: It is a product of multiple sinc functions which are individually bounded between -1 and 1 and reach their maximum at 0 which is 1. So the product is continuous also bounded between -1 and 1 and only reaches 1 in 0.

(9)

WTS: If ψ is continuous at 0 and $\psi(0) = 0$ then $\forall \epsilon, \exists h_\epsilon$:

$$-(2h_\epsilon)^{-d} \int_{[-h_\epsilon, h_\epsilon]^d} \psi(t) dt < \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \tag{32}$$

Proof. Because ψ is continuous at 0

$$\forall \epsilon, \exists \delta_\epsilon, \forall x \in B(0, \delta_\epsilon) : |\psi(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \tag{33}$$

Choose $h_\epsilon = \frac{\delta_\epsilon}{\sqrt{d}}$ so that $[-h_\epsilon, h_\epsilon]^d \subset B(0, \delta_\epsilon)$ then

$$- (2h_\epsilon)^{-d} \int_{[-h_\epsilon, h_\epsilon]^d} \psi(t) dt \tag{34}$$

$$\leq \left| (2h_\epsilon)^{-d} \int_{[-h_\epsilon, h_\epsilon]^d} \psi(t) dt \right| \tag{35}$$

$$\leq (2h_\epsilon)^{-d} \int_{[-h_\epsilon, h_\epsilon]^d} |\psi(t)| \, dt \tag{36}$$

$$< (2h_\epsilon)^{-d} \int_{[-h_\epsilon, h_\epsilon]^d} \frac{\epsilon}{4} dt \tag{37}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \tag{38}$$

$\square$

(10)

Question: Formula (2.12). Check why we had to insert the extra term $\langle t, x \rangle^2 / 2$?
Answer: This $\langle t, x \rangle^2 / 2$ term is to make f_t continuous and bounded to then apply weak convergence.

(11)

Question: $\epsilon \to 0 \implies I_\epsilon(t) \to 0$.

Proof.

$$I_\epsilon(t) = int_{\{x : |x| < \epsilon\}} f_t(x) \rho(dx) \tag{39}$$

... it is easy to show I got lazy so the rest are fast answers.

$\square$

(12)

Answer: A^c is open and contains 0, so there exist an open ball in A^c that contains 0, because of this you can approximate $f \approx I_A$ with a a function $\tilde{f}$ that is locally 0 in 0. The formal proof is like the proof of the portmantau (equivalent defs of weak convergence)

(13)

Answer: see 2.12 and $|x|^3 <= |x|^2$ near 0

(14)

Answer: calculate nu_n for compound poisson ? I didn't reread the question.