
TD 4: Some questions from previous exams

► Exercise 1 (credits to EPFL CS-433)

Binary logistic regression assumes

- (A) Linear relationship between the input variables.
- (B) Linear relationship between the observations.
- (C) Linear relationship between the input variables and the inverse sigmoid of the probability of the event that the outcome $Y = 1$.
- (D) Linear relationship between the input variables and the probability of the event that the outcome $Y = 1$.

► Exercise 2 (credits to Berkeley CS-189)

Say we have two 2-dimensional Gaussian distributions representing two different classes. Which of the following conditions will result in a linear decision boundary

- (A) Same mean for both classes.
- (B) Different covariance matrix for each class.
- (C) Same covariance matrix for both classes.
- (D) None of the above.

► Exercise 3 (credits to Berkeley CS-189)

Which of the following are true about two-class Gaussian discriminant analysis? Assume you have estimated the parameters $\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\Sigma}_1, \hat{\pi}_1$ for class 1 and $\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{\pi}_0$ for class 0.

- (A) If $\hat{\mu}_1 = \hat{\mu}_0$ and $\hat{\pi}_1 = \hat{\pi}_0$, then the LDA and QDA classifiers are identical.
- (B) If $\hat{\Sigma}_1 = \mathbf{I}$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_0 = 5\mathbf{I}$, then the LDA and QDA classifiers are identical.
- (C) If $\hat{\Sigma}_1 = \hat{\Sigma}_0$, $\hat{\pi}_0 = 1/6$ and $\hat{\pi}_1 = 5/6$, then the LDA and QDA classifiers are identical.
- (D) None of the above.

► Exercise 4 (credits to Berkeley CS-189)

You want to train a dog identifier with Gaussian discriminant analysis. Your classifier takes an image vector as its input and outputs 1 if it thinks it is a dog, and 0 otherwise. You use the CIFAR10 dataset, modified so all the classes that are not “dog” have the label 0. Your training set has 5k dog images and 45k non-dog (“other”) images. Which of the following statements seem likely to be correct.

- (A) LDA has an advantage over QDA because the two classes have different numbers of training examples.
- (B) QDA has an advantage over LDA because the two classes have different numbers of training examples.
- (C) LDA has an advantage over QDA because the two classes are expected to have very different covariance matrices.

- (D) QDA has an advantage over LDA because the two classes are expected to have very different covariance matrices.

► **Exercise 5 (credits to Berkeley CS-189)**

How does the bias-variance decomposition of a ridge regression estimator compare with that of ordinary least squares regression?

- (A) Ridge has larger bias, larger variance.
- (B) Ridge has larger bias, smaller variance.
- (C) Ridge has smaller bias, larger variance.
- (D) Ridge has smaller bias, smaller variance.

► **Exercise 6 (credits to EPFL CS-433)**

Assume we are doing linear regression with mean-squared loss and L2-regularization on four one-dimensional data points. Our prediction model can be written as $f(x) = ax + b$ and the optimization problem can be written as

$$a^*, b^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{a,b} \sum_{i=1}^4 (y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \lambda a^2$$

Assume that our data points (x_i, y_i) are $\{(-2, 1), (-1, 3), (0, 2), (3, 4)\}$. What is the optimal value for the bias, b^* ?

- (A) Depends on the value of λ .
- (B) 3
- (C) 2.5
- (D) None of the above answers.

► **Exercise 7 (credits to CMU 10-701)**

Decide whether each of the following sentences is true or false. You must give at least a one sentence explanation for each of your choices.

- (1) Decision trees are learned by minimizing information gain
- (2) The coefficients α assigned to the classifiers assembled by AdaBoost are always non-negative
- (3) Maximizing the likelihood of logistic regression model yields multiple local optimums
- (4) No classifier can do better than a naive Bayes classifier if the distribution of the data is known
- (5) In the discriminative approach to solving classification problems, we model the conditional probability of the labels given the observations
- (6) Students from ENSIMAG and PHELMA are trying to solve the same logistic regression problem for a dataset. The PHELMA group claims that their initialization point will lead to a much better optimum than ENSIMAG's initialization point. PHELMA is correct.
- (7) In AdaBoost we start with a Gaussian weight distribution over the training samples
- (8) A 1-NN classifier has higher variance than a 3-NN classifier
- (9) If we had infinite data and infinitely fast computers, kNN would be the only algorithm we would study in our course.
- (10) The correspondence between logistic regression and Gaussian Naive Bayes (with identity class covariances) means that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the parameters of the two classifiers