ENSIMAG – Grenoble INP – UGA Introduction to Statistical Learning and Applications Pedro L. C. Rodrigues Alexandre Wendling

pedro.rodrigues@inria.fr

Year 2023-2024

alexandre.wendling@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

▲ General guidelines for TPs

Each team shall upload its report on Teide before the deadline indicated at the course website. Please include the name of all members of the team on top of your report.

The report should contain graphical representations. For each graph, axis names should be provided as well as a legend when it is appropriate. Figures should be explained by a few sentences in the text. Answer to the questions in order and refer to the question number in your report. Computations and graphics have to be performed with R.

The report should be written using the Rmarkdown format. This is a file format that allows users to format documents containing text and R instructions. You should include all of the R instructions that you have used in the rmd document so that it may be possible to replicate your results. From your rmd file, you are asked to generate an html file for the final report. In Teide, you are asked to submit both the rmd and the html files. In the html file, you should limit the displayed R code to the most important instructions.

TP 1: Analysis of prostate cancer data

A medical study made on patients with prostate cancer aims to analyze the correlation between the prostate tumor volume and a set of clinical and morphometric variables. These variables include prostate specific antigens, a biomarker for prostate cancer, and a number of clinical measures (age, prostate weight, etc). The goal of this practical is to build a regression model to predict the severity of cancer, expressed by logarithm of the tumor volume (lcavol variable) from the following predictors:

lpsa: log of a prostate specific antigen

lweight: log of prostate weight

age: age of the patient

1bph: log of benign prostatic hyperplasia amount

svi: seminal vesicle invasion

1cp: log of capsular penetration

gleason: Gleason score (score on a cancer prognosis test)

pgg45: percent of Gleason scores 4 or 5

The file prostate.data, available on the course website, contains measures of the logarithm of the tumor volume and of the 8 predictors for 97 patients. This file contains also an additional variable, train, which will not be used and has to be removed.

► Exercise 1: Preliminary analysis of the data

Download the file prostate.data and store it in your current folder. Read the dataset in R and make sure that the database appears in the R search path.

```
prostateCancer <- read.table("./prostate.data", header=T)
attach(prostateCancer)</pre>
```

Build an object prostateCancer of class data.frame that contains, for each patient, the lcavol variable and the values of the 8 predictors. Remove the last column (train) of the data frame.

Hint: You can remove columns in data frames by using negative indices to exclude them. Using headers = T in read.table will ensure that the column names are given by names(prostateCancer).

Use the command pairs to visualize the correlations between all the variables. This command generates scatterplots (clouds of points) between all pairs of variables. Analyse the correlations between all the variables and identify the variables which are the most correlated to lcavol.

▶ Exercise 2: Linear regression

(a) Perform a multiple linear regression to build a predictive model for the lcavol variable.

The variables gleason and svi should be considered as qualitative variables. You can do this with

```
prostateCancer$gleason<-factor(prostateCancer$gleason)
prostateCancer$svi<-factor(prostateCancer$svi)</pre>
```

Provide the mathematical equation of the regression model and define the different parameters. Use summary to display the regression table and explain what are the regression coefficients of the lines which names start by svi and gleason. Comment the results of the regression.

- (b) Give confidence intervals of level 95% for all the coefficients of the predictors with confint. Comment the results.
- (c) What can you say about the effect of the lpsa variable? Relate your answer to the p-value of a test and a confidence interval.
- (d) Plot the predicted values of lcavol as a function of the actual values. Plot the histogram of residuals. Can we admit that the residuals are normally distributed? Compute the residual sum of squares.
- (e) What do you think of the optimality of this model?
- (f) What happens if predictors lpsa and lcp are removed from the model? Try to explain this new result.

► Exercise 3: Best subset selection

A regression model that uses k predictors is said to be of size k.

For instance, $lcavol = \beta_1 lpsa + \beta_0 + \varepsilon$ and $lcavol = \beta_1 lweight + \beta_0 + \varepsilon$ are models of size 1. The regression model without any predictor $lcavol = \beta_0 + \varepsilon$ is a model of size 0.

The goal of this exercise is to select the best model of size k for each value of k in $\{0...8\}$.

(a) Describe the models implemented in

```
lm(lcavol~1, data=prostateCancer)
lm(lcavol~., data=prostateCancer[,c(1,4,9)])
lm(lcavol~., data=prostateCancer[,c(1,2,9)])
```

- (b) Compute the residual sums of squares for all models of size k = 2. What is the best choice of 2 predictors among 8? *Hint:* combn(m,k) gives all the combinations of k elements among n
- (c) For each value of $k \in \{0, ..., 8\}$, select the set of predictors that minimizes the residual sum of squares. Plot the residual sum of squares as a function of k. Provide the names of the selected predictors for each value of k.
- (d) Do you think that minimizing the residual sum of squares is well suited to select the optimal size for the regression models? Could you suggest another possibility?

► Exercise 4: Split-validation

You have now found the best model for each of the nine possible model sizes. In the following, we wish to compare these nine different regression models.

- (a) Give a brief overview of split-validation: how it works? Why it is not subject to the same issues raised in the item (c) of Exercise 3?
- (b) The validation set will be composed of all individuals whose indices are a multiple of 3. Store these indices in a vector called valid. *Hint:* Use (1:n) %% 3 == 0 where n is the number of individuals.
- (c) Let us assume that the best model is of size 2 and contains the i-th and j-th predictor (replace i and j by their true values). Describe what is evaluated when running $lm(lcavol \sim ., data=prostateCancer[!valid, c(1, i, j)])$. What is the mean training error for the model?
- (d) Predict values of lcavol on the validation set for the regression model of size two. Compute the mean prediction error and compare it to the mean training error. *Hint*: Use ?predict.lm. Note that you will have to provide the matrix containing the data of the validation set to the predict function, using the newdata argument.
- (e) Reusing part of the code implemented in Exercises (a)–(c), perform split-validation to compare the 9 different models. Plot the training and prediction errors as a function of the size of the regression models. Choose one model, giving the parameter estimates for the model trained on the whole dataset, and explain your choice.
- (f) What is the main limitation of split-validation? Illustrate this issue on the cancer dataset. What could you do to address this problem for split-validation? Code such alternative method and comment the result.

► Exercise 5: Conclusion

What is your conclusion about the choice of the best model to predict lcavol? Apply the best model and comment the results.