Name: MD: Atif Rahman Rudho.

3) Fields san be accessed 3) Fields and politics so

TD: IT-22002

Is it thus invoking methods in intenface are slower than invoking within abstract classes? Explain and write a new example.

Yes, invoking methods in intenface is slower than
that of in abstract class due to the following

Teasons:

Teasons:

The action of the following

The action of the following of the

complete abstraction.

8) Uses extends heywond sous implements keywond

- 1) Intenfaces use intenface method tables (itable) that requires more complex nuntime lookup compared to vintual tables wed by abstract class.
- 2) A class can implement multiple intenfaces, so the JVM needs to resolve which intenface method to call adding slight overhead.
- 3) Intenference methods are not tightly bound to the class hierarchy, so IVM can't optimize them as easily in compile time compared to methods in abstract classes, which has a fixed inheritance path

Time complexity of methods invoking in both the interference and abstract class is constant time in 0(1).

3 praidonni 22010 sildes

Example:

intenface Animal {

void make Sound ():

3

abstract class Dog & abstract void eat(); class Cow extends Dog Implements Animal E @Ovennide public void make Sound () { System.out.printin (" (ow says Moo! "); public void eat() { System.out.println ("Cow is eating grass!"); 3 public class invoking { public static void main (String[] angs) { Animal animal = new Cow (); long stant Time = System-nano Time ();

```
animalimake Sound ():
  long end Time 1 = System nano Time ();
  System.out. println (" Intenference Intenface call
      time: "+ (end Time 1 - stant Time 1) + "ns");
   Dog dog= new (ow ():
  long stant Time 2 = System. nanoTime ();
  dog. eat ();
  long end Time 2 = System. nanotime ();
  System.out.println ("Abstract call time: "+
               (end Time 2 - stant Time 2) + "ns");
  3
Orthort;
 Cow says Moo!
Intenface call time: 419800 ng
Cow is eating grass
Abstract class call time : 56500 ns
```