# CS276 PA1 Report

#### Jiawei Yao & Wei Wei

#### April 16, 2014

# 1 System Design

We followed the structure of the starter code and kept the predefined interface. To summarize:

- Index class implements the BSBI indexing algorithm
- $\bullet$  Query class implements boolean conjunctive query processing algorithm
- BaseIndex defines the interface for writing/reading posting lists
- BasicIndex class writes uncompressed posting lists to/reads posting lists from disk
- VBIndex writes/reads posting lists with variable byte encoding for gaps
- GammaIndex writes/reads posting lists with gamma encoding for gaps

There are also some helper classes, e.g. ListLengthComparator which is used for sorting posting lists when processing the query.

Some key algorithm are explained in detail as follows.

### 1.1 Indexing Algorithm

Key steps in the indexing algorithm are:

- 1. Process blocks one by one:
  - (a) For each block, traverse each file and generate <Term ID, Doc ID> pairs
  - (b) After processing all files in the block, sort the <Term ID, Doc ID> pairs first by Term ID then by Doc ID and organize pairs with the same Term ID into a posting list
  - (c) Create a file and store the posting lists with specified posting list writing algorithm
  - (d) Push the file into the merge queue
- 2. Merge blocks into a unified index:
  - (a) Pop two block files from the queue
  - (b) Merge the postings lists with the same Term ID (if possible) into a single one with standard merging algorithm
  - (c) Write merged posting lists into a merged file

- (d) Push the merged file into the merge queue
- (e) Proceed until the size of queue is 1
- 3. Write supplemental information (Term to Term ID mapping, Doc Name to Doc ID mapping, posting list positions) out to files

The indexing algorithm guarantees that:

- 1. Term ID and Doc ID are always greater than 0 (so there's no problem for  $\gamma$  encoding)
- 2. When indexing the blocks, only one block is loaded into memory at a time
- 3. When merging two blocks, the indexes are loaded in in a stream fashion. That is, for each index to merge, only a posting list is loaded at a time.

#### 1.2 Query Processing Algorithm

The key steps are:

- 1. Split query into terms by whitepsace
- 2. Load supplemental information such as term.dict
- 3. Load corresponding posting lists from index
- 4. Merge posting lists by increasing size
- 5. Output result

#### 1.3 I/O

We use java.nio package for file I/O and mainly rely on RandomAccessFile, FileChannel and ByteBuffer classes, which provide byte-level access to files. We find them useful as we need to record position in file for each posting list<sup>1</sup>. Though low-level, the java.nio package offers us finer control over disk access.

#### 1.4 Variable Byte Encoding

A posting list is kept on disk in three parts: Term ID, #bytes, Doc ID gaps. We only compress the Doc ID gaps for two reasons:

- 1. The Term ID will always occupy 4 bytes and thus can be read deterministically when loading. So is for #bytes.
- 2. Compressing the Doc ID gaps already gives a huge space saving, and further compressing the Term ID and size will only provide trivial space saving but complicate loading.

When loading a posting list, Term ID and #bytes are read in first. With #bytes, we can determine how many bytes to read in and then decode the Doc ID gaps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Every time we need to write a posting list, we use the current position of FileChannel as the start position of the posting list in file.

#### 1.5 $\gamma$ Encoding

A posting list is kept on disk in two parts: #bits and IDs, where IDs include Term ID and Doc ID gaps. #bits is the number of bits after the IDs are  $\gamma$ -encoded. #bits is not encoded and is stored in 4 bytes on disk.

 $\gamma$  encoding is a bit more complicated than VB encoding. Writing a posting list involves:

- 1.  $\gamma$ -encode the IDs into a BitSet
- 2. Set #bits to the number of bits used in the BitSet
- 3. Convert the BitSet to a ByteBuffer (pad last byte with 0 if necessary)
- 4. Write #bits and the ByteBuffer to file

Similarly, when reading a posting list:

- 1. Read 4 bytes it's #bits
- 2. Compute #bytes to read with #bits<sup>2</sup> and read into a ByteBuffer
- 3. Convert the ByteBuffer to a BitSet
- 4.  $\gamma$ -ecode the BitSet into integers up to #bits bits
- 5. the first integer is the Term ID and the following are the Doc ID gaps

## 2 Performance

We run the development set on a Retina 13 MacBook with normal load. The statistics are<sup>3</sup>:

Compression Method	Basic	VB	Gamma
Index Size	72M	35M	31M
Indexing Time	76s	100s	97s

Table 1: Index Sizes

The query processing time are<sup>4</sup>:

Queries	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Max. Memory
Basic	2s	1s	2s	1s	2s	2s	2s	1s	202K
VB	2s	1s	2s	1s	2s	2s	2s	1s	202K
Gamma	1s	1s	2s	2s	2s	2s	2s	2s	202K

Table 2: Query Time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See GammaIndex.numBytes(int bits).

 $<sup>^3\</sup>mathrm{The}$  numbers in indexsize.txt and indextime.txt reported.

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{The}$  numbers in querytime.txt and query\_memory\_out are reported.

#### 3 Discussion

a) In this PA we asked you to use each sub-directory as a block and build index for one block at a time. Can you discuss the tradeoff of different sizes of blocks? Is there a general strategy when we are working with limited memory but want to minimize indexing time?

**Solution:** Larger blocks would introduce larger memory usage but fewer rounds of merges. An extremely large block might not fit into the memory such that we cannot do in-memory sort. Similarly, smaller blocks would use less memory but lead to more rounds of merges. An too small block not only introduces unnecessary rounds of merges but fails to utilize memory efficiently.

A proper choice of block size would both fully utilize the memory and reduce the rounds of merge. So the block size can be chosen as:

block size = total mem - auxiliary information size

where auiliary information is the termDict, docDict, etc.

b) Is there a part of your indexing program that limits its scalability to larger datasets? Describe all the other parts of the indexing process that you can optimize for indexing time/scalability and retrieval time.

**Solution:** There are several parts in our implementation which limits the scalability:

- sub-directory as a block: won't fit into memory if the subdirectory is too large
- global auxiliary information such as termDict: linearly grows with corpus size and will occupy too much memory, making not enough space for block (or even exceed the total memory size)
- loading the whole posting list into memory at once: if the term is too frequent, the posting list will be very large and won't fit into memory

Potential improvements include:

- use multi-way merge when merging blocks: will reduce disk I/O
- choose proper block size according to a)
- cache auxiliary information (e.g. termDict) to reduce memory usage
- load posting list in a buffered way instead of loading the whole list
- c) Any more ideas of how to improve indexing or retrieval performance?

Solution: Ideas include:

- sort posting lists by size and load a posting list only when it's its turn to merge (the current implementation will load posting lists of all query terms immediately)
- use skip list: reduce comparison when merging posting lists
- compress auxiliary information if it's too large and still keep them in memory (no need to do disk I/O)
- cache query results (good for frequent query)
- model indexing/retrieval into a distributed system (like what Google does)