

ID No : IT24101677

## Labsheet 10

1.

```
1 setwd('C:\\Users\\ramod\\OneDrive\\Desktop\\Lab10')
2
3 ##1
4 observed<-c(55,62,43,46,50)
5 prob<-c(.2,.2,.2,.2,.2)
6
7 chisq.test(x=observed,p=prob)
8
```

```
> setwd('C:\\Users\\ramod\\OneDrive\\Desktop\\Lab10')
> observed<-c(55,62,43,46,50)
> prob<-c(.2,.2,.2,.2,.2)
> chisq.test(x=observed,p=prob)
```

Chi-squared test for given probabilities

```
data: observed
X-squared = 4.4297, df = 4, p-value = 0.351
```

2. After running the test, you'll get a p-value. If  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ : Reject the null hypothesis. There is a statistically significant difference between the observed and expected frequencies. If  $p\text{-value} \geq 0.05$ : Fail to reject the null hypothesis. There is no statistically significant difference; the observed data fits the expected.

3.

```
##3
##a
file.path <- "https://www.sthda.com/sthda/RDoc/data/housetasks.txt"
housetasks <- read.delim(file.path,row.names = 1)
housetasks

##b
chisq<-chisq.test(housetasks)
chisq
```

```

> file.path <- "https://www.sthda.com/sthda/RDoc/data/housetasks.txt"
> housetasks <- read.delim(file.path,row.names = 1)
> housetasks
      wife Alternating Husband Jointly
Laundry    156         14         2         4
Main_meal   124         20         5         4
Dinner       77         11         7        13
Breakfeast   82         36        15         7
Tidying      53         11         1        57
Dishes       32         24         4        53
Shopping     33         23         9        55
Official     12         46        23        15
Driving      10         51        75         3
Finances     13         13        21        66
Insurance     8          1        53        77
Repairs       0          3       160         2
Holidays     0          1         6       153
> chisq<-chisq.test(housetasks)
> chisq

        Pearson's Chi-squared test

data:  housetasks
X-squared = 1944.5, df = 36, p-value < 2.2e-16

```

### Exercise

1. **Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ):** Customers choose each snack type (A, B, C, D) with equal probability.

**Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ):** Customers do not choose each snack type with equal probability.

2.

```

##Exercise
##1
##a
##Exercise
observed <- c(120, 95, 85, 100)
prob <- c(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)

chisq.test(x = observed, p = prob)

```

```
> observed <- c(120, 95, 85, 100)
> prob <- c(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)
> chisq.test(x = observed, p = prob)

      Chi-squared test for given probabilities

data:  observed
X-squared = 6.5, df = 3, p-value = 0.08966
> |
```

3. Based on the output of the test (which includes the chi-squared statistic and p-value), If  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ : There is sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis. This suggests that customers do not choose snack types equally. If  $p\text{-value} \geq 0.05$ : There is not enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis. The data does not show a significant difference in snack preferences.