K.H.H.B.Kodagoda

IT24101821

Lab 10 - PS

1)

i.

ii.

Based on the test, the shop owner's claim is likely correct. The number of customers visiting each day is essentially the same. The minor differences observed are just due to random chance, not a meaningful pattern.

2)

```
#Question 2
#i
file_path <- "http://www.sthda.com/sthda/RDoc/data/housetasks.txt"
housetasks <- read.delim(file_path, row.names = 1)
housetasks
#ii
chisq <- chisq.test(housetasks)
chisq</pre>
```

```
> #Question 2
> file_path <- "http://www.sthda.com/sthda/RDoc/data/housetasks.txt"
> housetasks <- read.delim(file_path, row.names = 1)
> housetasks
          Wife Alternating Husband Jointly
13
                                     57
                                    53
                                   55
15
                            75
                                   66
77
                            21
53
                            160
                                    2
> #ii
> chisq <- chisq.test(housetasks)</pre>
> chisq
       Pearson's Chi-squared test
data: housetasks
X-squared = 1944.5, df = 36, p-value < 2.2e-16
```

EXERCISE

01.

i.

- Null Hypothesis (H0) The customers choose the four snack types with equal probability.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1) At least one of the snack type probabilities is not
 0.25. In other words, the snack types are not chosen with equal probability.

ii.

```
#EXERCISE
#1
#ii
observed_counts <- c(120, 95, 85, 100)
probabilities <- c(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25)
chi_test_result <- chisq.test(x = observed_counts, p = probabilities)
print(chi_test_result)</pre>
```

observed	num [1:5] 55 62 43 46 50
observed_cou	num [1:4] 120 95 85 100
prob	num [1:5] 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
probabilities	num [1:4] 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25

iii.

The owner's claim that all snacks are chosen equally is likely correct. The differences in sales for each snack are small enough to be due to random chance. We don't have enough evidence to say that customers prefer one snack over the others.