

Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology



Lab Submission  
Lab Sheet No 10

**IT24102280**

**Dissanayake D.M.N.T.D**

**Probability and Statistics - IT2120**

B.Sc. (Hons) in Information Technology

1.

```
>
> ##1
> observed<-c(55,62,43,46,50)
> prob<-c(.2,.2,.2,.2,.2)
>
> chisq.test(x=observed,p=prob)
```

Chi-squared test for given probabilities

```
data: observed
X-squared = 4.4297, df = 4, p-value = 0.351
```

2.

After running the test, you'll get a p-value. Here's how to interpret it: If  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ : Reject the null hypothesis. There is a statistically significant difference between the observed and expected frequencies. If  $p\text{-value} \geq 0.05$ : Fail to reject the null hypothesis. There is no statistically significant difference; the observed data fits the expected

3.

i.

```
> file.path <- "https://www.sthda.com/sthda/RDoc/data/housetasks.txt"
> housetasks <- read.delim(file.path,row.names = 1)
> housetasks
```

	wife	Alternating	Husband	Jointly
Laundry	156	14	2	4
Main_meal	124	20	5	4
Dinner	77	11	7	13
Breakfast	82	36	15	7
Tidying	53	11	1	57
Dishes	32	24	4	53
Shopping	33	23	9	55
official	12	46	23	15
Driving	10	51	75	3
Finances	13	13	21	66
Insurance	8	1	53	77
Repairs	0	3	160	2
Holidays	0	1	6	153

ii.

```
> chisq<-chisq.test(housetasks)
> chisq

        Pearson's Chi-squared test

data:  housetasks
X-squared = 1944.5, df = 36,
p-value < 2.2e-16
```

## Exercise

1.

i.

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): Customers choose each snack type (A, B, C, D) with equal probability.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): Customers do not choose each snack type with equal probability.

ii.

```
## test
observed <- c(120, 95, 85, 100)
prob <- c(0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25) |

chisq.test(x = observed, p = prob)

> chisq.test(x = observed, p = prob)

        Chi-squared test for given
        probabilities

data:  observed
X-squared = 6.5, df = 3, p-value =
0.08966

> |
```

- iii. Based on the output of the test (which includes the chi-squared statistic and p-value  
If  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ : There is sufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis. This suggests that customers do not choose snack types equally.

If  $p\text{-value} \geq 0.05$ : There is not enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis. The data does not show a significant difference in snack preferences.