# Iteration Plan - Inception 2

"You Might Also Like…"

## 1. Key Milestones

| Milestone | Date |
|---|---|
| *Iteration start* | 28/03/2017 |
| Have completed all major inception artefacts for the LCOM | 07/04/2017 |
| *Iteration stop* | 11/04/2017 |

## 2. High-level objectives

Complete all major inception artefacts for the LCOM:
1. Project vision
2. Initial requirement model
3. Proposed architecture
4. Technical competency demonstrated
5. Risk list artefact completed
6. Master test plan artefact completed
7. Initial project plan
8. Inception phase project status assessment

## 3. Evaluation criteria

1. All work items listed below have their outcome completed (the artefacts are made and documented).

For more specific evaluation - see ITC303 Subject Outline: "Assessment item 2"'s marking criteria

# 4. Work item assignments

| Item ID | Name/ Keywords | Outcome | State | Assigned to | Estimated hrs | Hrs worked | Est. hrs remaining |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1. | Project Vision | A clear project vision document that can be understood by stakeholders is created and documented | Incomplete | Hugh | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 2.1. | Initial Requirement Model | A complete *(in the system's current state of planning)* requirement model document is created and documented. | Incomplete | Shailesh | | | |
| 2.2. | Use-case Model | A complete use-case model is created and documented | Incomplete | Shailesh | | | |
| 2.3. | Domain Model | A complete domain model is created and documented | Incomplete | Shailesh | | | |
| 2.4 | NFR list | A concise NFR list is established and documented | Partially Complete | Shailesh | | | |
| 3.1. | Proposed Architecture | A complete software architecture document is created and documented | Incomplete | Erin | | | |
| 4.1. | Technical Competency Demonstrator | An integrated application demonstrating most aspects of the chosen architecture working together exists and the development files for this demonstration are versioned | Partially Complete | Erin *(And other team members to demonstrate their ability to use the architecture themselves)* | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 4.2. | Demonstrate development environment | Every team member demonstrates their ability to setup and use the development environment | Incomplete | Erin, Hugh, Shailesh, Somer | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 5.1. | Risk List | A comprehensive set of project specific risks are identified, discussed and prioritised with specific mitigation strategies | Partially Complete | Hugh | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 6.1. | Master Test Plan | An overall testing strategy is established and documented - being specific and specifying types of testing to be undertaken, their basic techniques, etc | Incomplete | Erin, Shailesh | | | |

| 7.1. | Initial Project Plan | A project plan outlining the foreseeable high level objectives for the future iteration plans of the project and the architectural elements and functional elements of the project that will be delivered during those iterations | Incomplete | Somer | 3 | 3 | 0 |
|------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------|---|---|---|
| 8.1. | Inception Phase Project Status Assessment | A document outlining the team's progress toward the completion of the inception phase is created, referencing specific artefacts and | Incomplete | Somer | 2 | | |

# 5. Issues

| Issue | Status | Notes |
|-------|--------|-------|
|       |        |       |

# 6. Assessment

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Assessment target | |
| Assessment date | |
| Participants | |
| Project status | |

- ● Assessment against objectives
- ● Work Items: Planned compared to actually completed
- ● Other concerns and deviations