



# **Chirp! Project Report**

ITU BDSA 2023 Group 19

## Authors:

- Annabell Philip Nørdam: apno@itu.dk
- Eva Afzelius: evaf@itu.dk
- Patrick Handberg Jessen: patj@itu.dk
- Rebekka Mia Pahus Pedersen: rebp@itu.dk
- Simon Benjamin Sander Rasmussen: besr@itu.dk

# Introduction


This report gives an overview of the work behind the Chirp application made by Group 19 in terms of the final project. This is related to the course Analysis, Design, and Software Architecture during Autumn 2023, with the course code BSANDSA1KU. This overview is shown mostly through various diagrams in the following sections.

# Design and Architecture of *Chirp*!


## Domain model

Below is a domain model of our Chirp application. All classes are shown and there is an overview of how they interact with each other.


A cheep is what a post in our program is called, and posting is called cheeping.




In the general domain model, we do not go into the specifics of how the razor pages are set up and reference each other. That is however shown in detail in the model below. Methods and fields are added as well.



To zoom in on one of the important parts of our program, we have created an ER-diagram of how the Author, Cheep and Follow classes are related. This is shown below.




## Architecture — In the small



Above is the general architecture of the Chirp application shown as layers in the onion structure. Here, four layers are shown although our code only reflects three. We have detached a part of the Infrastructure layer and shown it as the Model layer. This is because our code effectively works this way - there are no dependencies going from the Model layer to the Infrastructure layer, and thus the dependency rule of the onion architecture is upheld.


Architecture of deployed application



The Client communicates with the Azure server by requesting and sending data to its database. When Logging in or registering a user, the server will use a third party software via its Tenant.

## User activities


When the program starts, the user will be in the public timeline as a guest. Here the user has two choices: clicking on Login/Register or changing the page. Login/Register takes the user to the same page. Here, the user can sign in or up via Github or just with their Email. When changing the page, the user can click on a cheep's author and go to their private timeline, or they can click on the public timeline in the navigation.



Check Authorized User Journey Flowchart


When authorized, the user starts in the public timeline. From here they can interact with the navigation tab and main page below. In the navigation tab, the user can move to their own

timeline, see their profile and logout. In their Profile, they can see that the information related to them is being stored, and they can choose to delete all data about them, in turn logging them out. In the main page below, the user can see the messages of themselves and others, cheep new messages, and follow/unfollow other users. The cheep will only post if its amount of characters is between 1-160, otherwise it throws an error (\* currently the program doesn't catch this error, so it crashes instead). When pressing follow, the user will follow the user. If the user is already following a user, they can unfollow instead.



Sequence of functionality/calls through *Chirp!*

Below is a UML sequence diagram that illustrates the flow of messages when a user sends an HTTP request, to see our website, to our application. The methods that are called are named, and the responses are shown as well. At the end of the flow, the fully rendered webpage is returned to the user and the Public Timeline-page is shown.



## Missing Features & Bugs

While we have been able to incorporate most of Chirps intended features, we find it important to highlight its missing elements, the bugs that remain and when there is room for interpretation.

### Viewable Profiles

It remains that the user will only be able to see their own profile. When a user clicks on another user's name, the user will simply be redirected to the private timeline of the given user. As such, when entering a users profile, it looks almost identical to the timeline page, with the exception of the Followers and Following information. This is a bit atypical to a usual profile page, which usually has more customization (e.g. profile pictures, a status, etc.). It remains that a separate user profile does exist, but whether or not it fits the criteria of a normal profile is up to the preference of the individual.

### Ability to See Information Kept By Chirp

As of now, the user information is displayed under the Profile -> My Information section for a user that is logged in. However, it is not explicitly stated that this is the data kept by Chirp itself. This omitted transparency does not give the user a clear indication that this information is saved and used. In hindsight, this would be changed to be explicitly stated within the My Information box, and thus conform to GDPR regulations.

### Forget Me Feature

When deleting an account, the user merely gets removed from all three separate repositories: AuthorRepository, CheepRepository, and FollowRepository. The caveat, however, is that the personal information related to the user is still kept in our Azure B2C Tenant. This information includes the claims from the B2C Sign Up and Sign In user flow: Display Name, Email Addresses, Given Name, and Identity Provider Access Token. Hence, the user information is not completely removed, and should this application go into commercial use, it'd be essential to implement deletion fully in terms of GDPR laws and ethics.

## Bugs

### Improper Redirects

In terms of user experience, when choosing to follow and unfollow a given user, the original user will always be redirected back to the first page of cheeps. This is a minor detail, but for regular usage with enough repetitions, it might cause frustration. Should the user try to follow multiple people based on their cheeps from a given webpage, they would have to go back, and find the original page of the original cheep of the specific user again.

### Time and Place for User Authentication

This is more of a situational bug, and as such, only something noticeable when a specific chain of events happen. Should the user delete their account, not only will logging in again happen without having to re-register (given the problem with account deletion highlighted in the Forget Me Feature chapter), but the user will not be registered in our database, until they choose to either cheep or follow someone. This could be fixed by letting a user be registered in the database upon sign up, rather than when an interaction is done with the website.

### Errors of Cheeping

Currently when a Cheep is posted, it only checks whether or not the cheep is within the correct parameters, i.e. there is text and it is below 160 characters. However, when posting an empty cheep, or a cheep that exceeds the character limit, the website crashes. To combat this issue, we'd implement an a try-catch, that displays an error messages when either scenario takes place.


## Process

---

### Build, test, release, and deployment

Here are three diagrams representing each of our workflows: Build and Test, Release, and Deploy.






Automatic builds, tests, releases and deploys have been created using GitHub Action Workflows. The build and test workflow ensures that the code runs properly according to

the tests we have setup, whenever a push or merge to the main branch occurs. To make the deployment and release of the application more resilient to errors, testing should also have been added to those workflows such that they would not run in case a test failed, thus indicating something is wrong with the code.

The release workflow packages the application into zip files for MacOS, MacOS Arm, Windows and Linux and releases them on GitHub whenever a publish is started.

The deploy workflow packages the application and uploads the artifacts, which get downloaded later and deployed to Azure.


## Team work



The tasks that are still unresolved is handling the proper redirection when following/unfollowing a user. This has been discussed in the Missing Features & Bugs chapter under the Improper Redirects section. The remaining issues are features also highlighted in the Missing Features & Bugs chapter.

## Issue Workflow

Issue is created



Feature is implemented and issue is closed

Our typical flow when it comes to adding new features, was to first write an issue. If the feature was from our weekly project work, we would base the description and acceptance criteria on that. We then added the issue to the project board and when someone started working on the issue, they assigned themselves to it and moved it to "In Progress".

Then it came to the implementation itself. We would usually be two or three people working together after we learned about pair programming. How long this took varied greatly. When we had problems, we would frequently look up guides or consult a TA. When the feature was finished, we would always make sure that it worked locally before pushing it to main.

Our commit graph is not equal but there are several different reasons for this, such as a member being sick or spending a lot of time working on Azure, especially because we ran out of credits and had to do it again. The frequency at which each person commits also varies, as some people committed a lot while working on a feature, while others have done it sparingly. As a result, it is evident that we should have focused on trying to compromise on commit-styles, and working between different platforms (e.g. coding or Azure). Usually, we'd discuss the implementation and Azure in depth afterwards, such that everyone gained an understanding of the feature/implementation, which is not taken into account in the commit graphs.

## How to make *Chirp!* work locally

These are the following prerequisites:

- .NET 7
- Docker Container

Follow these steps to open Chirp locally:

1. Use these respective properties for instantiating the Docker Container: 1a. Password: Y0waddup
2. Open Docker and Instantiate the local database container by running this command: 'docker run -e "ACCEPT\_EULA=Y" -e "MSSQL\_SA\_PASSWORD=yourStrong(!)Password" -p 1433:1433 --name sqlpreview --hostname sqlpreview -d mcr.microsoft.com/mssql/server:2022-latest', and type in the password from step 1 where <yourStrong(!)Password> is.
3. Open Docker and run the container.
4. Clone the 'https://github.com/ITU-BDSA23-GROUP19/Chirp' repository onto your workspace. This could be your desktop, a folder you find appropriate, or a third place.
5. Wherever you have cloned the repository to, you should now see the given repository as a folder called Chirp.
6. Open either a terminal in the respective folder through a general terminal like PowerShell or a code editor of choice, and then a terminal within.
7. In either terminal, navigate to the ~/Chirp/src/Chirp.Web/ folder.
8. In either terminal, run the "dotnet run" command.
9. You should now see a 'Building...' syntax, and the respective queries being executed.
10. In your terminal, you should now be able to find a syntax like this: "info: Microsoft.Hosting.Lifetime[14] Now listening on: https://localhost:7102".

11. Go to <https://localhost:7102> in your browser, and see the Chirp app.

## How to run test suite locally

These are the following prerequisites:

- .NET 7
- Docker Container

Follow these steps to test Chirp locally:

1. Use these respective properties for instantiating the Docker Container: 1a. Password: Y0waddup
2. Open Docker and Instantiate the local database container by running this command:  
`'docker run -e "ACCEPT_EULA=Y" -e "MSSQL_SA_PASSWORD=yourStrong(!)Password" -p 1433:1433 --name sqlpreview --hostname sqlpreview -d mcr.microsoft.com/mssql/server:2022-latest'`, and type in the password from step 1 where `<yourStrong(!)Password>` is.
3. Open Docker and run the container.
4. Wherever you have cloned the repository to, you should now see the given repository as a folder called Chirp.
5. Open either a terminal in the respective folder through a general terminal like PowerShell or a code editor of choice, and then a terminal within.
6. In either terminal, navigate to the `~/Chirp/` folder.
7. Run the `'dotnet build'` command.
8. You should now see the respective projects being restored and built.
9. Run the `'dotnet test'` command.
10. You should now see the respective tests being run on each project and the results of them.

## Test Suite Tests

This is a brief overview of what kinds of test we have in our test suites and what they are testing.

### Failed Tests

When running the test suite locally, it should be highlighted that some test will fail. They all are regarding the timestamp of cheeps. The reason they fail is that the strings differ in terms of timestamps, i.e. the expected string is `"... 13:15:25"`, but the actual value is `"...13.15.25"`. We have made the decision to keep these, since changing them will cause the tests to fail on GitHub instead. We have prioritized the tests on GitHub, rather than the local testing.

### Chirp.Core.Tests

The Chirp.Core tests consists of unit tests. The unit tests are for the creation of the AuthorDTO and CheepDTO objects. The AuthorDTO tests checks whether or not it is possible to create an AuthorDTO object, and the CheepDTO tests checks similarly if it is possible to create a CheepDTO.

### **Chirp.Infrastructure.Tests**

The Chirp.Infrastructure tests consist of unit tests matching AuthorRepository, CheepRepository and FollowRepository tests. Each repository gets tested for whether the repositories function as intended. For example, for the AuthorRepository, it tests whether or not it is possible to create the repository itself, to find an existing Author in the repository, that you can't have Authors of the same name, to create or delete an Author in the repository, and to find a non-existing Author in repository.

### **Chirp.Web.Tests**

The Chirp.Web tests consist of integration tests. They each test the way our app functions, i.e. whether or not it is possible to see the timelines themselves (both private and public), and to see the first page on either timeline correctly.

## Ethics

---

### License

We have chosen to use the MIT Lisence, since we wanted a license which allowed commercial use and the modification and distribution of the software. Therefore we chose a permissive license that allowed those properties and therefore we ended up with the MIT Lisence.

We have also check all of the external libraries which we have used, if our license comes in conflict with their licenses. As far as we can see all of the libraries uses MIT Lisence which does not conflict our license. But the library FluentValidation uses Apache License Version 2.0 which might come in conflict with our license.

### LLMs, ChatGPT, CoPilot, and others

During the development of this project, we have at times used ChatGPT to figure out why the code was not working as we thought it would or how to fix functionality that did not work as intended. ChatGPT has been good at detecting where the faults have been and what we might need to change but actually doing the change and creating a solution was a bigger challenge for the LLM. Mainly we have used the information about the mistakes to further research for more in depth explanations of the APIs or method calls we have used.

This way we created the solution ourselves but ChatGPT helped us understand where the problem was.