

IUPAC SMILES+ specification: Proposed community effort to advance interoperability of the SMILES chemical structure representation


August 26, 2019
258th ACS National Meeting
San Diego, CA

Vincent F. Scalfani, Leah R. McEwen, Christopher Grulke, Evan Bolton, Gregory Landrum, Helen Cooke, Issaku Yamada, John J. Irwin, Jose L. Medina-Franco, Miguel Quirós Olozábal, Oliver Koepler, Susan Richardson

IUPAC Project: [2019-002-2-024](#)
GitHub Repository: github.com/vfscalfani/IUPAC_SMILES_plus
Contact: Vincent F. Scalfani, The University of Alabama, vfscalfani@ua.edu


SMILES

SMILES – **S**implified **M**olecular Input **L**ine-**E**ntry **S**ystem [1]. Compact line notation for representing molecules and reactions. Four main rules [1-3]:




CCCO

1. atomic symbols




C=CCC#N

2. double '=', triple
bonds '#'



CCC(C)O

3. branching uses
parentheses



C1=CC=CC=C1

4. ring closures
use digits

SMILES are human-friendly (and machine processable) molecular structure representations. Since 1988, Daylight Chemical Information Systems have developed SMILES [3].

[1] Weininger, D. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1988**, 28, 31-36. DOI: [10.1021/ci00057a005](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci00057a005); [2] Weininger, D.; Weigniner, A.; Weininger, J.L. *Chem. Des. Autom. News*, **1986**, 1(8), 2-15.; [3] <https://www.daylight.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/>

InChI [1]


InChI – IUPAC **I**nternational **C**hemical **I**dentifier [2]. Algorithm normalizes chemical representation. It is an open IUPAC standard and widely used.

InChI=**1**S/C6H14N2O2/**c**7-4-2-1-3-5(8)**6**(9)**10**/**h**5H,1-4,7-8H2,(H,9,10)/**t**5-/**m**0/**s**1/**i**5+2

- InChI is a line notation with layers.
- InChI is designed for machines and information exchange.
- InChIKey is a “hashed” InChI:

KDXKERNSBIXSRK-YDUYVQCESA-N

Version Type
Chemical formula
Connectivity
Charge & proton
Stereochemical
Other (e.g., isotopic)




InChI is a machine friendly molecular structure identifier.

SMILES vs. InChI? No, SMILES and InChI

SMILES are complementary to InChI, **we need both**. Three main reasons:


1. InChI is a machine descriptor identifier, powerful at linking information [1]. SMILES are difficult to link [2], but more closely tied to human (chemist) representation [3].



Many valid SMILES

```
O=C1NC(NC)=NC=C1CC
CNc1ncc(CC)c(=O)[nH]1
N(C)c1[nH]c(=O)c(c[n1])CC
CNc1ncc(c(=O)[nH]1)CC
c1(=O)[nH]c(NC)ncc1CC
n1c([nH]c(=O)c(CC)c1)NC
n1cc(c(=O)[nH]c1NC)CC
...
```

One Standard InChI



InChI normalization
may return
representation
other than chemist
preferred choice
(can be lossy
without AuxInfo).

```
InChI=1S/C7H11N3O/c1-3-5-4-9-7(8-2)10-6(5)11/
h4H,3H2,1-2H3,(H2,8,9,10,11)
```

[1] Heller et al. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, **2015**, 7:23. [DOI: 10.1186/s13321-015-0068-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-015-0068-4)


[2] Exception: O'Boyle, N.M. *Journal of Cheminformatics* **2012**, 4:22. [DOI: 10.1186/1758-2946-4-22](https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-4-22).

[3] Weininger, D. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **1988**, 28, 31-36. [DOI: 10.1021/ci00057a005](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci00057a005)


SMILES vs. InChI? No, SMILES and InChI

SMILES are complementary to InChI, **we need both**. Three main reasons:

2. We need to prevent corruption of InChI from SMILES input data (e.g., SMILES → InChI API or SMILES → molfile → InChI)



s1ccsc2=c1c(=O)c1c(c2=O)cccc1




MarvinSketch (ChemAxon JChem) 18.1
JEBDOQPBSPBGAP-UHFFFAOYSA-N

ChemDraw 18.1
IVQJELKILULDFK-UHFFFAOYSA-N


SMILES vs. InChI? No, SMILES and InChI

SMILES are complementary to InChI, **we need both**. Three main reasons:



3. SMARTS (a superset of SMILES) substructure/pattern searching [1]. InChI is not designed for this, however a connectivity “skeleton” search is possible with IK hash.




[*][N+]1=CN([*])C=C1



SMARTS pattern for Benzodioxole
c1cccc-2c1-[#8]-[#6]-[#8]-2



BSYNRYMUTXBXSQ-UHFFFAOYSA-N



BSYNRYMUTXBXSQ-UHFFFAOYSA-M

BSYNRYMUTXBXSQ-FIBGUPNXSA-N

[1] <https://www.daylight.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/theory.smarts.html>

Current SMILES Specification Documents

Unlike InChI, SMILES are not always well defined....

- Daylight's last update to specification was in **2011** [1].
- OpenSMILES, a Blue Obelisk community driven effort created a non-proprietary open specification of SMILES (**2007**) [2].
- OpenSMILES clarified some ambiguities in the Daylight SMILES specification.

OpenSMILES specification

Craig A. James

version 1.0, 2016-05-15

Current specification

www.opensmiles.org

Copyright © 2007-2016, Craig A. James

Content is available under [GNU Free Documentation License 1.2](#)

Contributors: Richard Apodaca, Noel O'Boyle, Andrew Dalke, John van Drie, Peter Ertl, Geoff Hutchison, Craig A. James, Greg Landrum, Chris Morley, Egon Willighagen, Hans De Winter, Tim Vandermeersch, John May

1. Introduction

"... we cannot improve the language of any science, without, at the same time improving the science itself; neither can we, on the other hand, improve a science, without improving the language or nomenclature which belongs to it ..."

[Antoine Lavoisier, 1787](#)

1.1. Purpose


This document formally defines an [open specification](#) version of the [SMILES](#) language, a typographical [line notation](#) for specifying chemical structure. It is hosted under the banner of the [Blue Obelisk](#) project, with the intent to solicit contributions and comments from the entire computational chemistry community.

[1] daylight.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/index.html

[2] opensmiles.org/opensmiles.html


Many SMILES Extensions Exist

Documentation from toolkit providers often extend Daylight and OpenSMILES specification with additional features:




Cl[Hg]23Cl.c1ccn->2cc1.c1ccn->3cc1

[1] RDKit dative bonds, -> and <-



c%(1000)occc%(1000)

[2] Ring closure notation > 100, %(nnn). (Jmol, Open Babel, RDKit)



CCc


[3] Open Babel radical centers via lowercase symbols

[1] rdkit.org/docs/RDKit_Book.html#dative-bonds

[2] Hanson, R.M. *J. Cheminform.* **2016**, 8:50. DOI: [10.1186/s13321-016-0160-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-016-0160-4)

[3] openbabel.org/docs/current/Features/Radicals.html

SMILES Extension Notation Can Vary




[R2][N+]1=CN(**[R1]**)C=C1

R groups can be one of the following depending on toolkit [1-3]

[R], [R1], [R2]

[Z]

&n



Dative bonds can be either [1,4]

-> and <-

|

Cl[Hg]23Cl.c1ccn->2cc1.c1ccn->3cc1

Cl[Hg]23Cl.c1ccn|2cc1.c1ccn|3cc1

[1] docs.chemaxon.com/display/docs/SMILES

[2] [CDK 2.2 API](https://cdk.org/docs/2.2/API/)

[3] docs.eyesopen.com/toolkits/python/ochemtk/SMILES.html


[4] https://www.rdkit.org/docs/RDKit_Book.html#dative-bonds

Useful to document these all in one place so we can avoid conflicts.

SMILES Interoperability

Compatibility and interoperability issues can exist in SMILES reading. Examples:

1. Reading aromatic SMILES and disagreement with SMILES valence models [1].
2. SMILES support (e.g., higher order stereochemistry) and extension symbols and support varies across toolkits.

DISAGREEMENTS WITH SMILES VALENCE MODEL		
Avalon	Cl2 Cl4 Br2 Br4 I2 I4	"Happy valence models are all alike; every unhappy valence model is unhappy in its own way." ...with apologies to Tolstoy
BIOVIA Draw	Cl2 Cl4 Br2 Br4 I2 I4	
Cactvs	N4 P4 S3 S5 (or none*)	
CDK		
CEX (Weininger)		
ChemDoodle		'9.5'/15 correct now. When I started, it was 6/15.
ChemDraw		
Indigo†		
iwtoolkit	N4 Cl2 Cl3 Cl4 Cl5 Br2 Br3 Br4 I2 I4 (or P4 S3 S5*)	
JChem		
KnowItAll		
OEChem		
Open Babel		
OpenChemLib	N4 Cl2 Cl4 Br2 Br4 I2 I4	
RDKit†	P6 I3 I4	

* If the default options are modified
† Results exclude 17 atom types rejected by Indigo, and 19 rejected by RDKit

[1] O'Boyle, N.M.; Mayfield, J. W.; Sayle, R. A. A De Facto Standard or a Free-for-all? A Benchmark for Reading SMILES.
https://github.com/rdkit/UGM_2018/blob/master/Presentations/OBoyle-SMILESBenchmark.pdf

IUPAC SMILES+ Project

A formalized recommended up-to-date open specification of the SMILES format that articulates standard interpretation of SMILES.

Primary goal is documentation that facilitates:

1. Consistent ***reading*** of SMILES between toolkits
2. Mechanism for community “approved” edits and extensions
3. A validation suite to test compatibility and show what a set of SMILES “means”

IUPAC SMILES+ Team

Vincent F. Scalfani (Chair), University of Alabama

Evan Bolton, NIH/NLM/NCBI

Chris Grulke, EPA

Gregory Landrum, KNIME AG

Susan Richardson, Royal Society of Chemistry

José L. Medina-Franco, Universidad Nacional
Autónoma de México

Helen Cooke, RSC CICAG Committee Member

Issaku Yamada, The Noguchi Institute

Miguel Quirós Olozábal, Universidad de Granada

John Irwin, University of California San Francisco;

Oliver Koepler, German National Library of Science
and Technology




...and the community!

Project Phases of IUPAC SMILES+

- Phase 1** Establish dedicated communication channels with stakeholders
- Phase 2** Collect SMILES documentation and use cases. Start from OpenSMILES
- Phase 3** Identify SMILES edge cases where there are different toolkit interpretations and use this data to identify ambiguities within SMILES
- Phase 4** Write version 1 of IUPAC SMILES+ (w/lots of community input)
- Phase 5** Discuss implementation of IUPAC SMILES+ with toolkit developers (throughout)
- Phase 6** Outline an ongoing maintenance procedure with IUPAC and community

Progress: GitHub Repository for Working Docs

- Open workflow on GitHub for the IUPAC SMILES+ project.
- Made a copy of the OpenSMILES specification to start from.
- Anyone can open a new “Issue”, comment, or Pull Request to suggest a change as work progresses.



https://github.com/vfscalfani/IUPAC_SMILES_plus

Progress: GitHub Repository for Working Docs

How we hope to engage the broader community.

Targeted “Issues” in GitHub to get feedback from community.

https://github.com/vfscalfani/IUPAC_SMILES_plus/issues/4

The screenshot shows a GitHub issue page. At the top, the title is "Should select SMILES extensions become part of the core IUPAC SMILES+ specification? #4". Below the title, it says "vfscalfani opened this issue 4 days ago · 0 comments". There is a green "Open" button. The issue content includes a comment from vfscalfani, the owner, dated 4 days ago. The comment discusses the current state of SMILES extensions and asks for community feedback. The right sidebar shows settings for assignees, labels, projects, milestones, notifications, and participants.

Should select SMILES extensions become part of the core IUPAC SMILES+ specification? #4

Open vfscalfani opened this issue 4 days ago · 0 comments

vfscalfani commented 4 days ago

Based on a high-level survey of current [SMILES extensions and support across toolkits](#) (via their documentation), there are several SMILES extensions that are somewhat well-adopted already (i.e., >3 toolkits support them).

These extensions include: ChemAxon CXSMILES, R group notation `[Z]` or `[R]`, aromatic `[*e]`, ring closures `> 100 %(nnn)`, and quadruple bonds `$`.

Note that the current [IUPAC SMILES+ Specification \[working draft\]](#) (based off OpenSMILES) already has support for quadruple bonds in the core specification.

So, a few questions to consider:

1. When does it make sense to incorporate a SMILES extension into the core specification, rather than leaving it as an add-on extension? What are the important considerations?
2. Are there any extensions such as those listed above that you would recommend becoming a part of the core specification?
3. If yes to question 2, would incorporating SMILES extensions limit toolkit adoption of an IUPAC SMILES+ specification? How do we lower the barrier here?

One important consideration is to avoid conflicting extension notation, and we'll have to be careful and thoughtful about this before incorporating anything into the core specification.

Vin

Assignees: No one—assign yourself

Labels: None yet

Projects: None yet

Milestone: No milestone

Notifications: Unsubscribe

1 participant

Lock conversation

https://github.com/vfscalfani/IUPAC_SMILES_plus

Progress: Survey of Toolkit Docs

Survey of 10
toolkit docs:

Stereochemistry

**Aromaticity
models**

Extensions

The image shows a stack of three small comparison tables, one for each toolkit: CACTVS, CDK, and ChemAxon. Each table has columns for various features and rows for different versions. The tables are partially overlapping, showing the top of each one.

Toolkit	CXSMILES	R Groups [Z] or [R]	[te]	Quadruple Bond \$	Ring Closures > 100 (% (nnn))
CACTVS v3.4.8.3	-	✓	✓	-	-
CDK v2.2	✓	✓	✓	-	-
ChemAxon 2019	✓	✓	-	-	-
OEChem 2.2.0	-	✓	✓	✓	-
Open Babel v3.0.0rc1	-	-	✓	✓	✓
RDKit v2019.03.1	✓	-	✓	-	✓

Progress: Collecting sets of SMILES for Validation Tests

Started to collect lists of SMILES for future validation tests [1-3]:

Aromatic SMILES

Kekule SMILES

Valance Model

Elements

Nonstandard SMILES

And more...

```
cdk... - □ ×
File Edit Format View Help
C1CN2C(=C)N(CC2C1)C 30
C1CCN2C1CN(C2=N)C 3064
N12C(=O)CC(C1)CC=C2 30
[n+]1(cccn1C)C1 3066
O1CC2CCCC(C1)C2 3067
N1C(=O)CC=CC1=O 3068
C12N(CC01)C(=O)C2 3069
C1=CC(=O)CCC1=C 3070
n1c[nH]c2c1cco2 3071
C1CN(CN1C)[SH5] 3072
c1cc(=O)oc(=O)[nH]1 30
C1N=C2N(CCCC2)CC1 3074
C1CCNc2cnnn21 3075
O1c2csc20CC1 3076
C1=CC(=O)C2CC1CC2 3077
c12c(COCC1)sc2 3078
C1=CN2C(CC2=O)CN1 3079
c1cc2c([nH]1)nc2 3080
c12c(scn1)[nH]cc2 3081
C12CCC(=N)CC1C2 3082
C1N=CC2C(C1)CCCC2 3083
C1C=NN(C2C1OCC2)C 3084
C1[N+](CCOC1)(C)C 3085
C1c2c(CCN1)nc[nH]2 308
C1c2n[nH]cc2CN1 3087
< >
Win Ln 110
```

```
smile... - □ ×
File Edit Format View Help
B B0
BC B1
B(C)C B2
B(C)(C)C B3
B(C)(C)(C)C B4
C C0
CC C1
C(C)C C2
C(C)(C)C C3
C(C)(C)(C)C C4
C(C)(C)(C)(C)C C5
N N0
NC N1
N(C)C N2
N(C)(C)C N3
N(C)(C)(C)C N4
N(C)(C)(C)(C)C N5
N(C)(C)(C)(C)(C)C N6
O O0
OC O1
O(C)C O2
O(C)(C)C O3
P P0
PC P1
P(C)C P2
< >
Win Ln 110
```

```
tetr... - □ ×
File Edit Format View Help
Br[C@@](C1)(F)I 1
Br[C@@](F)(I)C1 1
Br[C@@](I)(C1)F 1
Br[C@](C1)(I)F 1
Br[C@](F)(C1)I 1
Br[C@](I)(F)C1 1
[C@@](Br)(C1)(F)I 1
[C@](Br)(C1)(I)F 1
[C@](Br)(F)(C1)I 1
[C@@](Br)(F)(I)C1 1
[C@@](Br)(I)(C1)F 1
[C@](Br)(I)(F)C1 1
[C@](C1)(Br)(F)I 1
[C@@](C1)(Br)(I)F 1
[C@@](C1)(F)(Br)I 1
[C@](C1)(F)(I)Br 1
[C@](C1)(I)(Br)F 1
[C@@](C1)(I)(F)Br 1
[C@@](F)(Br)(C1)I 1
[C@](F)(Br)(I)C1 1
[C@](F)(C1)(Br)I 1
[C@@](F)(C1)(I)Br 1
[C@@](F)(I)(Br)C1 1
[C@](F)(I)(C1)Br 1
[C@](I)(Br)(C1)F 1
< >
Win Ln 110
```

```
non... - □ ×
File Edit Format View Help
C(/Br)=C\I
C((C))O
C((C))O
(N1CCCC1)
[Na+].[Cl-]
.CCO
CCO.
C1CCC
C/C=C
C/C=CC
CC/C=C/C
C/C(\F)=C/C
CccccC
Ccc
C1.C1
C%00CC%00
C(C.C)C
C(C)1CC1
C(.C)
C()
(CO)=O
(C)
.c
C..C
C.
< >
Win Ln 110
```

```
View Help
[H]
[He]
[Li]
[Be]
[B]
[C]
[N]
[O]
[F]
[Ne]
[Na]
[Mg]
[Al]
[Si]
[P]
[S]
[Cl]
[Ar]
[K]
[Ca]
[Sc]
[Ti]
[V]
[Cr]
< >
W Ln 1
```


[1] <https://github.com/nextmovesoftware/smilesreading>

[3] <https://docs.eyesopen.com/toolkits/python/ochemtk/SMILES.html#chapter-smiles>

[2] <https://sourceforge.net/p/blueobelisk/mailman/blueobelisk-smiles/>

Progress: Validation Suite

Started to prototype a validation method with InChI with SMILES edge cases (e.g., [1-2]). Include SMILES [A] and InChI [A]



[1] github.com/nextmovesoftware/smilesreading

[2] <https://sourceforge.net/p/blueobelisk/mailman/blueobelisk-smiles/>

Other Outputs in Near Future...

1. A FAQ and project overview in *Chemistry International*
2. Technical report outlining complementary use cases of SMILES and InChI (aiming to submit to *Pure And Applied Chemistry*)
3. Start editing IUPAC SMILES+ specification document

Conclusions

1. SMILES and InChI are complementary. We need both.
2. There is a need for a comprehensive up-to-date SMILES reference document.
3. InChI can help us validate SMILES and improve interoperability between toolkits. This further extends the utility of InChI.
4. Through IUPAC, and an open workflow, we hope to create an international SMILES specification that can be responsive to community needs and serve as the document of reference for SMILES.

Acknowledgements

- IUPAC
- IUPAC SMILES+ Team
- InChI Community
- All cheminformatics toolkit developers and contributors [1]
- The University of Alabama Libraries

[1] It is a lot of fun using these wonderful tools, and we benefit from them everyday!

Contact:

Vincent F. Scalfani

The University of Alabama

vfscalfani@ua.edu

IUPAC Project: [2019-002-2-024](#)

GitHub Link: https://github.com/vfscalfani/IUPAC_SMILES_plus