Started on	Thursday, 15 September 2022, 10:50 AM
State	Finished
Completed on	Thursday, 15 September 2022, 10:59 AM
Time taken	8 mins 46 secs
Marks	1.25/2.00
Grade	**6.25** out of 10.00 (**62.5**%)

Question 1

Correct

Mark 1.00 out of 1.00

Match the following Racket expressions with their corresponding values.

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(< x y) (- y x)]
    [else (- x y)]))
 2 3)
```

1 ✔

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(> x y) y]
    [else x]))
 2 3)
```

2 ✔

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(< x y) 1]
    [(= x (* 2 y)) (- (+ x y) 1)]
    [(< x (* 2 y)) 2]
    [else 3]))
 4 2)
```

5 ✔

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(< x y) 1]
    [(< x (* 2 y)) 2]
    [else 3]))
 5 2)
```

3 ✔

Your answer is correct.

The correct answer is:

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(< x y) (- y x)]
    [else (- x y)]))
 2 3)
```

→ 1,

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(> x y) y]
    [else x]))
 2 3)
```

→ 2,

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(< x y) 1]
    [(= x (* 2 y)) (- (+ x y) 1)]
    [(< x (* 2 y)) 2]
    [else 3]))
 4 2)
```

→ 5,

```
((lambda (x y)
  (cond
    [(< x y) 1]
    [(< x (* 2 y)) 2]
    [else 3]))
 5 2)
```

→ 3

Question **2**

Partially correct

Mark 0.25 out of 1.00

Consider the following definition:

```
(define (compose f g x)
  (f (g x)))
```

Continue the following evaluation sequence (using Substitution Model for Racket) with the next expression (perform one step):

```
(compose (lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) (lambda (y) y) 3)
=> ((lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) ((lambda (y) y) 3))
=> ?
```

Select one:

- a. There is no next expression, we already arrived at a value.
- b. `(+ 1 3)`
- c. `((lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) 3)`
- d. `(+ 1 ((lambda (y) y) 3))` ✔
- e. `4`

Your answer is partially correct.

The correct answer is: `((lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) 3)`