User report - Mosab Fathy Ramadan Mohamed

?

Grade item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contribution to course total
[S21] Data Str	uctures and Alç	gorithm				
Final Grad	es					
■ Course grade	-	84.60 (A)	0–100	84.60 %		-
☐ Retake	-	-	0–100	-		-
☐ Retake	-	-	0–100	-		-
Mid of semester evaluation (for Doe)	-	A	0–100	100.00 %		-
☐ TA bonus points	-	-	0–3	-		-
Lab 1. Coding exercises	-		_			-
Lab 2. Coding exercises	-		_			-
<u>Attendance</u>	<u>-</u>	А	0–100	100.00 %		-

Grade item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contribution to course total
Homework Assignment (10%)		A	0-100	100.00 %	2.1 (out of 15) — 15; 2.2 (out of 15) — 15; code style for 2.1 and 2.2 (out of 5) — 5; 2.3 (out of 40) — 40; code style for 2.3 (out of 5) — 5; Total for coding part (out of 70) — 70; 3.1 (out of 10) — 10; 3.2 (out of 10) — 10; Total for theoretical part (out of 30) — 30; Feedback for 2.1 and 2.2: ; Feedback for 2.3: ; If you want to discuss your work, please contact your graders: 2.1 and 2.2 — Bader:	
					2.1 and 2.2 — Bader; 2.3 — Nikolai; 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 — Fahim	
Midterm Exam (20%)	-	C	0-100	61.00 %	Question P (out of 10) — 8; Question M (out of 15) — 15; Question F (out of 15) — 5; Question B (out of 20) — 8; Question N (out of 40) — 25; Feedback for Question P (out of 10) — wrong time complexity should be O(n^2); Feedback for Question M (out of 15) — no feedback; Feedback for Question F (out of 15) — no feedback; Feedback for Question B (out of 20) — no feedback; Feedback for Question N (out of 40) — interesting idea, but does not work; application is wrong;	-
					If you want to discuss your work, please contact your graders: Patrick (P), Moofiy (M), Fahim (F), Bader (B) and Nikolai (N).	

Gra	de item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contribution to course total
	☐ Homework	-	A	0–100	95.00 %	2.1 (out of 30) — 30;	-
	Assignment					2.2.1-3 (out of 10) — 10;	
	<u>№2 (10%)</u>					2.2.4 (out of 5) — 5;	
						2.2.5-8 (out of 10) — 10;	
						2.2.0 (out of 5) — 5;	
						2.3 (out of 25) — 25;	
						2.4 (out of 15) — 10;	
						Feedback for 2.1:	
						Feedback for 2.2.1-3:	
						Feedback for 2.2.4:	
						Feedback for 2.2.5-8:	
						Feedback for 2.2.9:	
						Feedback for 2.3:	
						Feedback for 2.4:	
						No explanation for the method	
						If you want to discuss your	
						work, please contact your	
						graders:	
						2.1 — Nick;	
						2.2.1-3 and 2.2.9 — Patrik;	
						2.2.4-8 — Moofiy;	
						2.3 — Fahim;	
						2.4 — Bader	

rade item	Calculated weight	Grade	Range	Percentage	Feedback	Contributi to course total
Homework	-	Α	0–100	97.00 %	2.1 (out of 30) — 30;	_
<u>Assignment</u>		Α	0 100	37.00 70	2.2 (out of 20) — 20;	
<u>№3 (10%)</u>					2.3 (out of 20) — 19;	
<u>1425 (1070).</u>					3.1 (out of 20) — 20;	
					3.2 (out of 10) — 8;	
					Feedback for 2.1:	
					Feedback for 2.2:	
					Feedback for 2.3:	
					No enough documentation	
					Feedback for 3.1:	
					Feedback for 3.2:	
					the algorithm does not store	
					paths, only distances	
					If you want to discuss your	
					work, please contact your	
					graders:	
					2.1 — Patrik;	
					2.2 — Moofiy;	
					2.3 — Bader;	
					3.1 — Fahim;	
					3.2 — Nick	
Final Exam	-	В	0–100	83.00 %	P (out of 15) — 13; M (out of	-
					10) — 6; F (out of 20) — 20; B	
					(out of 25) — 23; N (out of 30)	
					— 21; Feedback for P:	
					Feedback for M:Feedback for	
					F:Feedback for B:Feedback	
					for N: If you want to discuss	
					your work, please contact	
					your graders: P — Patrik; M	
					— Moofiy;F — Fahim;B —	
					Bader;N — Nick	
■ Course	-	Α	0-100	84.60 %		
total						
Include						
empty						
~[~.]						

https://moodle.innopolis.university/grade/report/user/index.php?id=671

Info

Contact Us

1 Universitetskaya, Innopolis, Tatarstan, 420500

Phone: +7 (843) 203-92-53

E-mail: university@innopolis.ru

Follow Us









Copyright © 2017 - Developed by <u>LMSACE.com</u>. Powered by <u>Moodle</u>