SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 1

# PoGaIN: Supplementary Material

Nicolas Bähler, Majed El Helou, Étienne Objois, Kaan Okumuş, and Sabine Süsstrunk, Fellow, IEEE.

## I. MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD DERIVATION

#### A. Poisson-Noise Modeling

Let us denote the observed noisy image as y and the ground-truth noise-free image as x. Then, the Poisson-Gaussian model takes the form of the following equation

$$y = \frac{1}{a}\alpha + \beta, \quad \alpha \sim \mathcal{P}(ax), \quad \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, b^2).$$
 (1)

Using the linearity property of expectation, we can compute the expected value

$$\mathbb{E}[y] = \frac{1}{a}\mathbb{E}[\alpha] = \frac{1}{a}ax = x. \tag{2}$$

Further, the variance has the following expression

$$\mathbb{V}[y] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{a}\alpha + \beta\right)^2\right] - x^2 = \frac{1}{a^2}\mathbb{E}[\alpha^2] + b^2 - x^2. \quad (3)$$

Given that  $\mathbb{E}[\alpha^2] = ax + a^2x^2$ , we have

$$V[y] = \frac{x}{a} + x^2 + b^2 - x^2 = \frac{x}{a} + b^2.$$
 (4)

## B. Likelihood Function of Single-Pixel Image

From the definition of the probability mass function (PMF) of a Poisson random variable  $\alpha$ , we get

$$\mathbb{P}[\alpha = k] = \frac{e^{-ax}(ax)^k}{k!}, \quad k \ge 0.$$
 (5)

From the relation between the probability density function (PDF) and the PMF of discrete random variable established with the Dirac delta function, i.e.  $f_X(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}[X = k] \delta(t-k)$ , we can derive that

$$f_{\alpha}(t|a,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ax}(ax)^k}{k!} \delta(t-k).$$
 (6)

Let us define  $\alpha' = \frac{1}{a}\alpha$ . Then, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of this random variable  $\alpha'$  has the following form

$$F_{\alpha'}(t) = \mathbb{P}[\alpha' \le t] = \mathbb{P}[\alpha \le at] = F_{\alpha}(at).$$
 (7)

By taking the derivative of Equation (7), the PDF of  $\alpha'$  can be found

$$f_{\alpha'}(t) = \frac{dF_{\alpha'}(t)}{dt} = \frac{dF_{\alpha}(at)}{dt} = af_{\alpha}(at).$$
 (8)

Hence, by combining Equations (6) and (8), the likelihood function of  $\alpha'$ , which consists of the first part of the noise model, can be derived

$$f_{\alpha'}(t|a,x) = a \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ax}(ax)^k}{k!} \underbrace{\delta(at-k)}_{=\frac{1}{a}\delta(t-\frac{k}{a})}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ax}(ax)^k}{k!} \delta(t-k/a).$$
(9)

On the other hand, the likelihood function of a Gaussian random variable  $\beta$  with 0 mean is defined as

$$f_{\beta}(t|b) = \frac{1}{b\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2b^2}.$$
 (10)

We then combine those equations and find the likelihood function of y. Since we know that  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  are independent of each other, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}(y|a, b, x) = (f_{\alpha'} * f_{\beta})(y|a, b, x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-ax - \frac{(y - k/a)^2}{2b^2}\right).$$
(11)

# C. Maximum Likelihood Solution for Single-Pixel Image

As derived, the maximum likelihood solution for a singlepixel image is the following

$$\hat{a}, \hat{b} = \arg\max_{a,b} \mathcal{L}(y|a, b, x)$$

$$= \arg\max_{a,b} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-ax - \frac{(y - k/a)^2}{2b^2}\right).$$
(12)

#### D. Likelihood Function of Multi-Pixel Image

We represent images as vectors of pixels, like  $y_n$  and  $x_n$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the index of single pixels. Hence, using this notation we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(y_n|a, b, x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax_n)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-ax_n - \frac{(y_n - k/a)^2}{2b^2}\right).$$
(13)

Given x, i.e., the vector of all  $x_n$ , we can see that  $y_n$  and  $y_{n'}$  are independent  $\forall n \neq n'$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(y|a,b,x) = \prod_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax_n)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-ax_n - \frac{(y_n - k/a)^2}{2b^2}\right).$$
(14)

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 2

# E. Maximum Likelihood Solution for Multi-Pixel Image Lastly, we get the following maximization problem

$$\hat{a}, \hat{b} = \arg\max_{a,b} \prod_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax_n)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-ax_n - \frac{(y_n - k/a)^2}{2b^2}\right).$$
(15)

Using the strict monotonicity of the logarithm, we can simplify the optimization problem while not altering its results by using the log-likelihood  $\mathcal{LL}$ 

$$\mathcal{LL}(y|a,b,x) = \sum_{n} \log \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ax_n)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \exp \left( -ax_n - \frac{(y_n - k/a)^2}{2b^2} \right).$$
(16)

Thus, the optimization problem becomes

$$\hat{a}, \hat{b} = \arg\max_{a,b} \mathcal{LL}(y|a, b, x). \tag{17}$$

In order to decrease the high computational complexity, we limit the range of k to a maximum value  $k_{max}$  which has to be chosen large enough to get a good approximation

$$\hat{a}, \hat{b} \approx \arg\max_{a,b} \sum_{n} \log \left( \sum_{k=0}^{k_{max}} \frac{(ax_n)^k}{k!b\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \exp \left( -ax_n - \frac{(y_n - k/a)^2}{2b^2} \right).$$
(18)

With bigger values of k the log-likelihood starts to plateau and does not grow significantly anymore. Hence, by limiting the sum to a large enough  $k_{max}$ , the approximation of the log-likelihood is still good. Typically, we choose  $k_{max}=100$ . We illustrate this property in the next Figure 1 where we can see how the log-likelihood is indeed reaching a plateau. We average over 25 pixels that we sample randomly, 25 linearly spaced values for  $a \in [1,100]$  and  $b \in [0.01,0.15]$ . Additionally, we show the growing computation time needed to obtain those results.




Fig. 1. The evolution of the log-likelihood with bigger k alongside the computation time.

#### II. CUMULANTS

#### A. The cumulant of a distribution

For a random variable X following the distribution  $\mathcal{X}$ , we consider the cumulant-generating function defined as

$$K_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{Xt}]). \tag{19}$$

Then, we define  $\kappa_r[\mathcal{X}]$ , the r-th cumulant of  $\mathcal{X}$ , as

$$\kappa_r[\mathcal{X}] := K_{\mathcal{X}}^{(r)}(0), \tag{20}$$

with  $K_{\mathcal{X}}^{(r)}(0)$  being the r-th derivative of  $K_{\mathcal{X}}$  evaluated in 0.

# B. Linearity

The cumulant-generating function of a sum of independent distributions is the sum of their cumulant-generating functions.

Proof.

$$K_{\mathcal{X}+\mathcal{Y}}(t) = \log(\mathbb{E}(e^{(X+Y)t}))$$

$$= \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{Xt+Yt}])$$

$$= \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{Xt}e^{Yt}])$$

$$= \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{Xt}]\mathbb{E}[e^{Yt}])$$

$$= \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{Xt}]) + \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{Yt}])$$

$$= K_{\mathcal{X}}(t) + K_{\mathcal{Y}}(t).$$
(21)

# C. Homogeneity

The r-th cumulant is homogeneous of degree r.

Proof.

$$\kappa_r[a\mathcal{X}] = a^r \kappa_r[\mathcal{X}]. \tag{22}$$

# D. Unbiased estimator

For a vector x obtained by sampling independently n times from the distribution  $\mathcal{X}$ , the author of [1] describes an unbiased estimator of  $\kappa_2[\mathcal{X}], \kappa_3[\mathcal{X}],$ 

$$\kappa_2[\mathcal{X}] = \frac{n}{n-1} m_2(x), \quad \kappa_3[\mathcal{X}] = \frac{n^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} m_3(x),$$
(23)

with  $m_2$  being the sample variance (2-rd sample central moment) and  $m_3$  the 3-rd sample central moment, that can be calculated using the formulae taken from [2]

$$m_2(x) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

$$m_3(x) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n^2} \sum_{i} (x_i - \overline{x})^3.$$
(24)

# E. Cumulant of Poisson-Gaussian Noise Model

We have that  $\mathcal{Y} = \frac{\mathcal{P}(a\mathcal{X})}{a} + \mathcal{N}(0, b^2)$  and we want to express  $\kappa_2[\mathcal{Y}]$  and  $\kappa_3[\mathcal{Y}]$  as a function of a and b. First, we use Equation (21), and get that,  $\kappa_r[\mathcal{Y}] = \kappa_r \left\lceil \frac{\mathcal{P}(a\mathcal{X})}{a} \right\rceil + \kappa_r[\mathcal{N}(0, b^2)]$ .

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 3

1) Gaussian noise component: The cumulants of  $\mathcal{N}(0, b^2)$ are known to be

$$\kappa_2[\mathcal{N}(0, b^2)] = b^2$$

$$\kappa_3[\mathcal{N}(0, b^2)] = 0.$$
(25)

2) Poisson noise component: Instead of trying to find the cumulant of  $\frac{\mathcal{P}(a\mathcal{X})}{a}$ , we can use Equation (22), and find the cumulant of  $Z \sim \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{P}(a\mathcal{X})$ 

$$e^{K_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)} = \sum_{k} \mathbb{P}[Z=k]e^{tk}.$$
 (26)

Moreover, we know that

$$\mathbb{P}[Z=k] = \sum_{i} \mathbb{P}[X=x_{i}] \mathbb{P}[Z=k|X=i]$$

$$= \sum_{i} n_{i} \frac{(ax_{i})^{k} e^{-ax_{i}}}{k!},$$
(27)

where  $n_i = \frac{|\{j:x_j=x_i\}|}{n}$  is the proportion of intensities that are equal to a given one  $x_i$ .

Thus, we have that

$$e^{K_{Z}(t)} = \sum_{k} \mathbb{P}[Z = k]e^{tk}$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{i} n_{i} \frac{(ax_{i})^{k} e^{-ax_{i}}}{k!} \exp(t)^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{i} n_{i} \frac{e^{-ax_{i}}}{exp(-ax_{i}e^{t})} \sum_{k} \frac{(ax_{i}e^{t})^{k} \exp(-ax_{i}e^{t})}{k!}$$

$$= \sum_{i} n_{i} \exp(ax_{i}(e^{t} - 1)).$$
(28)

If we further note that,  $f:t\mapsto \sum_i n_i \exp(ax_i(e^t-1))$ , then, we get that  $K_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)=\log(f(t))$ . Hence, we can now compute the different derivatives of  $K_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$ 

$$K_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \log(f(t))$$

$$K_{\mathcal{Z}}^{1}(t) = \frac{f^{(1)}(t)}{f(t)}$$

$$K_{\mathcal{Z}}^{2}(t) = \frac{f^{(2)}(t)f(t) - f^{(1)}(t)^{2}}{f(t)^{2}}$$

$$K_{\mathcal{Z}}^{3}(t) = \frac{f(t)[f(t)f^{(3)}(t) - 3f^{(2)}(t)f^{(1)}(t)] + 2f^{(1)}(t)^{3}}{f(t)^{3}}.$$
(29)

Further, by evaluating those at 0, we get

$$\kappa_0[\mathcal{Z}] = 0$$

$$\kappa_1[\mathcal{Z}] = a\overline{x}$$

$$\kappa_2[\mathcal{Z}] = a\overline{x} + a^2\overline{x^2} - a^2\overline{x}^2$$

$$\kappa_3[\mathcal{Z}] = a^3[\overline{x^3} - 3\overline{x^2}\overline{x} + 2\overline{x}^3] + a^2[3\overline{x^2} - 3\overline{x}^2] + a\overline{x},$$
(30)

using the properties that

$$f(0) = 1$$

$$f^{(1)}(0) = a\overline{x}$$

$$f^{(2)}(0) = a\overline{x} + a^{2}\overline{x^{2}}$$

$$f^{(3)}(0) = a\overline{x} + 3a^{2}\overline{x^{2}} + 2a^{3}\overline{x^{3}}.$$
(31)

Then, using Equation (22), we obtain

$$\kappa_{2} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{P}(a\mathcal{X})}{a} \right] = \frac{\overline{x}}{a} + \overline{x^{2}} - \overline{x}^{2}$$

$$\kappa_{3} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{P}(a\mathcal{X})}{a} \right] = \overline{x^{3}} - 3\overline{x^{2}}\overline{x} + 2\overline{x}^{3} + 3\frac{\overline{x^{2}}}{a} - 3\frac{\overline{x}^{2}}{a} + \frac{\overline{x}}{a^{2}}.$$
(32)

3) Poisson-Gaussian Noise Model: By putting Equations (25) and (32) together, we obtain the complete expression of the cumulants

$$\kappa_{2}[\mathcal{Y}] = \frac{\overline{x}}{a} + \overline{x^{2}} - \overline{x}^{2} + b^{2}$$

$$\kappa_{3}[\mathcal{Y}] = \overline{x^{3}} - 3\overline{x^{2}}\overline{x} + 2\overline{x}^{3} + 3\frac{\overline{x^{2}}}{a} - 3\frac{\overline{x}^{2}}{a} + \frac{\overline{x}}{a^{2}}.$$
(33)

# III. CNN ARCHITECTURE

The detailed architecture of the CNN can be found in table I.

TABLE I ARCHITECTURE OF THE CNN

Layer	Out channels	Parameters
Input	1	-
Conv2D	16	$kernel\_size = (3, 3), padding = same$
ReLU	16	=
BatchNorm	16	over the channels
MaxPool2D	16	$pool\_size = (2, 2)$
Conv2D	32	$kernel\_size = (3, 3), padding = same$
ReLU	32	-
BatchNorm	32	over the channels
MaxPool2D	32	$pool\_size = (2, 2)$
Conv2D	64	$kernel\_size = (3, 3), padding = same$
ReLU	64	-
BatchNorm	64	over the channels
MaxPool2D	64	$pool\_size = (2, 2)$
Dense	16	=
ReLU	16	-
BatchNorm	16	over the channels
Dropout	16	rate = 0.5
Dense	4	-
ReLU	4	=
Dense	2	=
Linear	2	-

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. W. Weisstein, "k-statistic from mathworld-a wolfram web resource."
- [Online]. Available: https://mathworld.wolfram.com/k-Statistic.html
  ——, "Sample central moment. from mathworld-a wolfram web resource." [Online]. Available: https://mathworld.wolfram.com/ SampleCentralMoment.html