新冠病毒题目

- $1. \forall_p suspect(p, covid_1 9) \land test(p, RT PCR) \Rightarrow diagnose(p, covid_1 9)$
- $2.\forall_p suspect(p, covid_19) \land test(p, gene) \Rightarrow diagnose(p, covid_19)$
- $3. \forall_{p} suspect(p, covid_{1}9) \land test(p, igM) \land test(p, igG) \Rightarrow diagnose(p, covid_{1}9)$

4.

 $\forall_{p} suspect(p, covid_{1}9) \land [[\neg testPre(p, igM) \land testNow(p, igM)] \lor [recurring(p) \land testSevere(p, igG, 4)]] \Rightarrow diagnose(p, covid_{1}9)$

9.7

- **a**. Let P(x,y) be the relation "x is less than y" over the integers. Then $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$ is true but $\exists x P(x,x)$ is false.
- **b**. Converting the premise to clausal form gives P(x,Sk0(x)) and converting the negated goal to clausal form gives $\neg P(q,q)$. If the two formulas can be unifified, then these resolve to the null clause.
- **c**. If the premise is represented as P(x,Sk0) and the negated goal has been correctly converted to the clause $\neg P(q,q)$ then these can be resolved to the null clause under the substitution $\{q/Sk0,x/Sk0\}$
- **d**. Suppose you are given the premise $\exists x \operatorname{Cat}(x)$ and you wish to prove $\operatorname{Cat}(\operatorname{Socrates})$. Converting the premise to clausal form gives the clause $\operatorname{Cat}(\operatorname{Sk1})$. If this unififies with $\operatorname{Cat}(\operatorname{Socrates})$ then you can resolve this with the negated goal $\neg \operatorname{Cat}(\operatorname{Socrates})$ to give the null clause.