

Counting and Probability Week 5 Writing Problem

mxsail

November 10, 2025

Problem Statement

In a single-file queue of n people with distinct heights, define a blocker to be someone who is either taller than the person standing immediately behind them, or the last person in the queue. For example, suppose that Ashanti has height a , Blaine has height b , Charlie has height c , Dakota has height d , and Elia has height e , and that $a < b < c < d < e$. If these five people lined up in the order Ashanti, Elia, Charlie, Blaine, Dakota (from front to back), then there would be three blockers: Elia, Charlie, and Dakota. For integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, let $Q(n, k)$ be the number of ways that n people can queue up such that there are exactly k blockers.

- (a) Show that

$$Q(3, 2) = 2 \cdot Q(2, 2) + 2 \cdot Q(2, 1).$$

- (b) Show that for $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$Q(n, k) = k \cdot Q(n - 1, k) + (n - k + 1) \cdot Q(n - 1, k - 1).$$

(You can assume that $Q(1, 1) = 1$, and that $Q(n, 0) = 0$ for all n .)

Solution

(a)

To compute the values of $Q(3, 2)$, $Q(2, 2)$, and $Q(2, 1)$, we first list all possible arrangements of 3 people with distinct heights, say A, B, and C, where A \downarrow B \downarrow C. We can assume, without loss of generality, that the heights are 1, 2, and 3. The possible arrangements of A, B, and C are:

- ABC \implies 1, 2, 3 (1 blocker: C)
- ACB \implies 1, 3, 2 (2 blockers: C, B)

- BAC \implies 2, 1, 3 (2 blockers: B, C)
- BCA \implies 2, 3, 1 (2 blockers: C, A)
- CAB \implies 3, 1, 2 (2 blockers: C, B)
- CBA \implies 3, 2, 1 (3 blockers: C, B, A)

We see that there is one arrangement with 1 blocker (ABC), four arrangements with 2 blockers (ACB, BAC, BCA, CAB), and one arrangement with 3 blockers (CBA). Therfore:

$$Q(3, 2) = 4$$

$$Q(2, 2) = 1$$

$$Q(2, 1) = 1$$

Subsistuting for $Q(3, 2)$, $Q(2, 2)$, and $Q(2, 1)$:

$$4 = 2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1$$

Thus, the equation holds.

(b)

To prove the recurrence relation

$$Q(n, k) = k \cdot Q(n - 1, k) + (n - k + 1) \cdot Q(n - 1, k - 1),$$

We work backwards from $Q(n-1,k)$ and $Q(n-1,k-1)$ to $Q(n,k)$. Consider a queue of $n - 1$ people with k blockers. When we add the n^{th} person to the queue, who is the tallest person, there are two possible cases:

1. The new person does not affect the number of blockers. In this case, the new person must be placed behind one of the existing blockers in the queue. There are k blockers in the queue of $n - 1$ people, so there are k possible positions to place the new person. This contributes $k \cdot Q(n - 1, k)$ arrangements.
2. The new person is a blocker. In this case, the new person must be placed in a position that is not immediately behind an existing blocker. The set of possible positions to place the new person is the total number of positions $n - 1$ minus the number of positions immediately behind existing blockers $k - 1$. this gives us $n - 1 - (k - 1) = n$ possible positions. However, the new person can also be placed at the start of the queue, always as a blocker, becuase they are the tallest person. Therefore, there are $n - k + 1$ possible positions to place the new person. This contributes $(n - k + 1) \cdot Q(n - 1, k - 1)$ arrangements.

Combining both cases, we have:

$$Q(n, k) = k \cdot Q(n - 1, k) + (n - k + 1) \cdot Q(n - 1, k - 1).$$

Describing the ways to form a queue of n people with k blockers by adding the n^{th} person to a queue of $n - 1$ people with either k or $k - 1$ blockers.