CS6510 Applied Machine Learning

Kernel Classifiers


5 Feb 2019

Vineeth N Balasubramanian




Assume we are in 1-dimension

What would SVMs do with this data?



Assume we are in 1-dimension


Not a big surprise






Harder I-dimensional Dataset


What can be done about this?



Harder I-dimensional Dataset




Harder I-dimensional Dataset



Apply the following map

$$\mathbf{z}_k = (x_k, x_k^2)$$

Harder 2-dimensional Dataset



Apply the following map

$$\mathbf{z}_{k} = (x_{k}, y_{k}, x_{k}^{2}, y_{k}^{2}, x_{k}y_{k})$$

Other Mapping Functions

 $\mathbf{z}_k = (\text{ polynomial terms of } \mathbf{x}_k \text{ of degree } \mathbf{I} \text{ to } q)$

 $\mathbf{z}_k = (\text{ radial basis functions of } \mathbf{x}_k)$

$$\mathbf{z}_{k}[j] = \varphi_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{k}) = \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{c}_{j}|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)$$

 $\mathbf{z}_k = (\text{ sigmoid functions of } \mathbf{x}_k)$

Recall: SVM Lagrangian Dual

Maximize
$$\sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \sum_{l=1}^{R} \alpha_k \alpha_l Q_{kl}$$
 where $Q_{kl} = y_k y_l (\mathbf{x}_k \cdot \mathbf{x}_l)$

constraints:

$$0 \le \alpha_k \le c \quad \forall k$$

subject to onstraints:
$$0 \le \alpha_k \le c \quad \forall k \quad \sum_{k=1}^R \alpha_k y_k = 0$$

Once solved, we obtain w and b using:

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k y_k \mathbf{x}_k$$
$$y_i (x_i \cdot w + b) - 1 = 0$$
$$b = -y_i (y_i (x_i \cdot w) - 1)$$

Then classify with:

$$f(x, w, b) = sign(w, x + b)$$

SVM QP with Basis Functions

$$\text{Maximize} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \sum_{l=1}^{R} \alpha_k \alpha_l Q_{kl} \text{ where } Q_{kl} = y_k y_l (\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k) \cdot \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_l))$$

$$0 \le \alpha_k \le C$$

$$\forall k$$

subject to
$$0 \le \alpha_k \le C \quad \forall k$$
 onstraints: $\sum_{k=1}^R \alpha_k y_k = 0$

Then compute:

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k \text{ s.t. } \alpha_k > 0} \alpha_k y_k \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k)$$


Then classify with:

$$f(x, \mathbf{w}, b) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}, \Phi(x) + b)$$

Most important change:

$$x \rightarrow \Phi(x)$$

5-Feb-19



Quadratic Dot Products

SVM QP with Basis Functions

$$\text{Maximize} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \sum_{l=1}^{R} \alpha_k \alpha_l Q_{kl} \text{ where } Q_{kl} = y_k y_l (\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k) \cdot \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_l))$$

subject to constraints:

$$0 \le \alpha_k \le 0$$

 $0 \le \alpha_k \le$ (We must do R²/2 dot products to get this matrix ready

Then compute:

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k \text{ s.t. } \alpha_k > 0} \alpha_k y_k \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k)$$

Assuming a quadratic polynomial kernel, each dot product requires m²/2 additions and multiplications (where m is the dimension of x)

The whole thing costs $R^2 m^2/4$.

5-Feh-19

Quadratic Dot Products

$$\Phi(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{b}) = 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 b_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} 2a_i a_j b_i b_j$$

Just out of interest, let's look at another function of **a** and **b**:

$$(\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{b}+1)^{2}$$

$$= (\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{b})^{2} + 2\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{b} + 1$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i}\right)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i} + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i}a_{j}b_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i} + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{i}b_{i})^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i}a_{j}b_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i} + 1$$

Quadratic Dot Products

They're the same!
And this is only O(m)
to compute!

$$\Phi(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{b}) = 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 b_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} 2a_i a_j b_i b_j$$

Just out of interest, let's look at another function of **a** and **b**:

$$(\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{b} + 1)^{2}$$

$$= (\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{b})^{2} + 2\mathbf{a}.\mathbf{b} + 1$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i}\right)^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i} + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i}a_{j}b_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i} + 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{i}b_{i})^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i}a_{j}b_{j} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}b_{i} + 1$$

5-Feb-19

SVM QP with Basis Functions

$$\text{Maximize} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \sum_{l=1}^{R} \alpha_k \alpha_l Q_{kl} \text{ where } Q_{kl} = y_k y_l (\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k) \cdot \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_l))$$

subject to $0 \le \alpha_k \le C$ constraints:

We must do R²/2 dot products to get this matrix ready

Then compute:

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k \text{ s.t. } \alpha_k > 0} \alpha_k y_k \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k)$$

Now, each dot product now only requires m additions and multiplications

Most important change:

$$x \rightarrow \Phi(x)$$

Higher-Order Polynomials

Poly- nomial	f(x)	Cost to build Q _{kl} matrix traditiona	Cost if 100 dimensions	f(a).f(b)	Cost to build Q _{kl} matrix sneakily	Cost if 100 dimen sions
Quadratic	All m ² /2 terms up to degree 2	$m^2 R^2/4$	2,500 R ²	(a.b+1) ²	$m R^2 / 2$	50 R ²
Cubic	All m ³ /6 terms up to degree 3	$m^3 R^2/12$	83,000 R ²	(a.b+1) ³	$m R^2 / 2$	50 R ²
Quartic	All m ⁴ /24 terms up to degree 4	m ⁴ R ² /48	1,960,000 R ²	(a.b+1) ⁴	$m R^2 / 2$	50 R ²



5-Feb-19

SVM QP with Basis Functions

Maximize
$$\sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{R} \sum_{l=1}^{R} \alpha_k \alpha_l Q_{kl} \text{ where } Q_{kl} = y_k y_k K(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_l)$$

Subject to these constraints:

$$0 \le \alpha_k \le C \quad \forall k$$

 $\sum_{k=1}^{R} \alpha_k$ Kernel gram matrix

Then define:

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{k \text{ s.t. } \alpha_k > 0} \alpha_k y_k \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}_k)$$

Then classify with:

$$f(x, w, b) = sign(K(w, x) - b)$$

Most important change:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}_k).\Phi(\mathbf{x}_l) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{x}_k,\mathbf{x}_l)$$

SVM Kernel Functions

- $K(a,b)=(a \cdot b + I)^d$ is an example of a kernel function in SVM
- Beyond polynomials, there are other high-dimensional kernel functions such as:
 - Radial-Basis-style Kernel Function:

$$K(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \exp\left(-\frac{(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

- Sigmoidal function

Kernel Tricks

- Replacing dot product with a kernel function
- Not all functions are kernel functions
 - Need to be decomposable: $K(a,b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
- Mercer's condition To expand Kernel function K(x,y) into a dot product, i.e. $K(x,y)=\Phi(x)\cdot\Phi(y)$, K(x,y) has to be positive semi-definite function, i.e., for any function f(x) whose $\int f^2(x)dx$ is finite, the following inequality holds:

$$\int dx dy f(x) K(x, y) f(y) \ge 0$$

How to choose a kernel function?

- Not easy! Remember this depends on your data geometry
- If linear works, go with it
- RBF kernels are considered good in general, especially for images (and other smooth functions/data)
- For discrete data, chi-square kernel preferred of late (especially for histogram data)
- You can also do Multiple Kernel Learning
- Still not sure? Use cross-validation to select a kernel function from some basic options



Kernelizing other Methods

- The same kernel trick can also be applied to other methods including:
 - Kernel k-NN
 - Kernel Perceptron (we will see later)
 - Kernelized Linear Regression (we will see later)
 - Many more...

Readings

- PRML, Bishop, Chapter 7 (7.1-7.3)
- "Introduction to Machine Learning" by Ethem Alpaydin, 2nd edition, Chapters 3 (3.1-3.4), Chapter 13 (13.1-13.9)
- For kernel functions:
 - http://crsouza.com/2010/03/17/kernel-functions-for-machine-learning-applications/