

---

# GAME THEORY

---

Rui Wu

CS Department, Rutgers University  
761@scarletmail.rutgers.edu

## 1 Game Theory Intro

### 1.1 Self-interested Agents and Utility Theory

An agent is **self-interested** mean that agent has its own description of states of the world. And each such agent has a utility function which represents their potential responses for the impact of uncertainty.

### 1.2 Defining Games

The **Players** of the Game are decision makers. And the **Actions** in the Game are things that players can do.

There are two standard representations of Games:

- Normal Form, which lists what payoffs get as a function of their actions.
- Extensive Form, which includes timing of moves.

The Normal Form of Games is:

Assuming we have  $n$ -person normal form:  $(N, A, u)$ :

- Players:  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  is a finite set, indexed by  $i$
- Actions for player is  $A_i$ , and the action profile is  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ .
- Utility function for player  $i$ :  $u_i : A \rightarrow R$ . And  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is a profile of utility functions.

### 1.3 Kinds of Games

**Pure Competition Games.** In pure competition games, for all action profile, the sum of payoffs is a constant number. Special case: zero sum.

**Cooperation Games.** Players have exactly the same interests. There is no conflict: all players want the same things. And they always get the same payoffs.

**General Games.** The most interesting games combine elements of **cooperation** and **competition**. The sum of the payoffs are always positive and players will never get "fail". They just compete for limited payoffs.

### 1.4 Nash Equilibrium Intro

Nash Equilibrium refers a situation where each player in a game is assumed to know the equilibrium strategies of the other players, and no player has anything to gain by changing their own strategy.

And if you know what everyone else was going to do, it would be easy to pick your **Best Response**.

Let  $a_{-i} = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$

now  $a = (a_{-i}, a_i)$

Your Best Response could be definite as:

$$a_i^* \in BR(a_{-i}) \text{ if } \forall a_i \in A_i, u_i(a_i^*, a_{-i}) \geq u_i(a_i, a_{-i})$$

Then the Nash Equilibrium could be definite as:

$a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a ("pure strategy") Nash Equilibrium if  $\forall i, a_i \in BR(a_{-i})$

