Asadullah

Chapter 4

Programming Rubric Cha

| Program fully meets and/or exceeds the specifications. Program folicy meets the specifications. Program contains no logical errors. Output is very professional-looking, easy to read, and attractive. Program is robust and handles user errors in a graceful way. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Programming constructs. Programming sonstructs of existing programming constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structures was of existing programming constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the run concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a very without over-commenting standards for the course, without over-c | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Program fully meets and/or exceeds the specifications. Program fully meets the specifications. Program contains no logical errors. Output is very professional-looking, easy to read, and attractive. Program is robust and handles user errors in a graceful way. Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure and also contain innovative uses of existing programming standards for the course, without overcommenting. Comments are very well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent. Program fully meets the specifications. Program is specifications. Program is the specifications. Program structure despecifications. Program is the specifications. Program structure dearnors to a be specifications. Program is most two specifications. Program is most the specifications. Program is mothed the specifications. Program is most the specifications. Progr | Level 5 (A+) | Level 4 (A) | Level 3 (B) | Level 2 (C) | Level 1 (D) | Below 1 (F) | |
| exceeds the specifications. Program contains no logical crrors. Output is very professional-looking, easy to read, and attractive. Program is robust and handles user errors in a graceful way. Structure: (Thinking) | Performance: (Application) | | | | | | |
| Program structure demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a bigh level of perstanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a bigh level of perstanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a bigh level of perstanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure demonstrates a limited understa | exceeds the specifications. Program contains no logical errors. Output is very professional-looking, easy to read, and attractive. Program is robust and handles user errors in a graceful way. | specifications. Program contains no logical errors. Output is professional and easy to read. Program is robust and handles user errors | the specifications. Program contains almost no legical errors. Output is clear and readable. Program is robust and handles most user errors in a graceful | meets the specifications. Program contains a few logical errors. Output is somewhat clear and readable. Program is somewhat robust and often does not handle | specifications in a limited way. Program contains numerous logical errors. Output is unclear. Program is not very robust and does not handle user errors | Program will not compile, or does not meet the specifications at all. | |
| demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure may also contain innovative uses of existing programming constructs. Commenting fully meets and/or exceeds the current commenting standards for the course, without overcommenting. Comments are very well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course, without overcommenting standards for the course, without overcommenting commentation. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Commenting standards for the course to commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. | Structure: (Thinking) | | | | | | |
| Commenting fully meets and/or exceeds the current commenting standards for the course, without over-commenting. Comments are very well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course, without over-commenting. Comments are very way. Commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course, without commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Commenting mostly meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indenting is somewhat meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are cryptic or difficult to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indenting is somewhat inconsistent. Program indenting is somewhat inconsistent. Program indenting is somewhat inconsistent. | demonstrates a very high level of understanding of the relevant concepts and constructs. Program structure may also contain innovative uses of existing programming constructs. | demonstrates a high level of erstanding of the runt concepts and constructs. | demonstrates a good understanding of the relevant concepts and | demonstrates some understanding of the relevant concepts and | demonstrates a limited understanding of the relevant concepts and | understanding of the relevant concepts and | |
| and/or exceeds the current commenting standards for the course, without over-commenting. Comments are very well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. meets the current commenting standards for the course, without commenting standards for the course, without commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to understand. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Program indenting is somewhat inconsistent. | | | | | | | |
| meaningful and consistent way. meaningful and consistent way. indented in a meaningful and consistent way. somewhat inconsistent. limited and/or inconsistent. consistent way. | and/or exceeds the current commenting standards for the course, without over- commenting. Comments are very well written and easy to | meets the current commenting standards for the course, without over-commenting. Comments are tell written and easy to | meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are mostly well written and easy to | meets the current commenting standards for the course. Comments are somewhat well written | current standards for the course in a limited way. Comments are cryptic or difficult to | attempt is made to comment, indent, and/or provide | |
| names. Most variables have Many variables do not Most variables do not have meaningful have meaningful have meaningful | meaningful and consistent way. All variables have meaningful | meaningful and consistent way. All variables have | indented in a meaningful and consistent way. Most variables have | somewhat inconsistent. Many variables do not | limited and/or inconsistent. Most variables do not | | |