

Group 40

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	I think that I got it to build correctly, but I cant find any file to test it with nor expected output so if possible add that to the repo so that it can be tested by the user.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	In all honesty I would say that it does not really follow any guidelines, but this is a hard fix. The Fortran code uses the same variable names with no comments, so I don't see exactly how to fix this without talking to whoever wrote the Fortran	
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/ safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	I would say that this is pretty bug-prone code, but once again I don't see an easy way to fix it without understanding more about the original code, and since that is not possible it is as good as possible	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	I was told there was one test case, which seems like it should be enough for the project if it matches, but just add it to the repo if possible	
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	It sounds like it fulfills the requirements of porting the Fortran code well, with the	

		obvious hiccups that come with Fortran	
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	My only hope would be to make the c more readable, but at the same time this might not be possible just because of the nature of the project. Even with the flaws pointed out, because of the Fortran aspect and all of the issues that I know you have had with it from your previous presentation I feel like any working project no matter how fragile is a success.	