

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes. Had to reinstall MinGW but after that it worked fine. Got it to compile.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	It looked pretty good to me. Some of the stuff in the FileReader.py file seemed like there could be a more elegant way of calling all the _readGroup?() functions, however, that's pretty minor. The variable naming in the C code is <i>cool</i> but that matches the fortran code so that's probably actually good in terms of existing documentation/consistency. (?)	
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	Nothing really jumped out at me as inefficient. Hard to tell with the more math-oriented parts of the code, but the python UI stuff looked good.	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	They did talk about testing the simulation output, but honestly this is too complicated for me to decide here and now if it is comprehensive. In general though, this seems like a difficult thing to test for.	
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Yes. They mentioned that there is some more testing which needs to be done, I think, but it seems to do what it set out to do.	

Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Nothing stands out.	
-------	---	---------------------	--

