



When plotted on a logarithmic scale, the lines for the RST and `std::set` show a linear relationship between the number of nodes and the average number of comparisons. This agrees with their theoretical big-O of $\log(N)$.

On the other hand, the line for the BST is not proportional to $\log(N)$. Its line shows exponential growth when graphed on a logarithmic scale.

Both the RST and the RB tree (`std::set`) greatly outperform the BST in terms of comparisons needed for a successful find operation. The data supports Aragon and Seidel's claim that RSTs are almost as efficient as a RB tree.