

Proof of Correctness

Total Correctness: Termination and Partial Correctness

Partial Correctness: Loop invariants and induction

Loop Invariant: A property that holds before and after each iteration of a loop

Initialization: The loop invariant holds before the first iteration

Maintenance: If the loop invariant holds before an iteration, it holds after the iteration

Termination: When loop terminates, invariant gives useful property to show the algorithm is correct

Iterative: Usually loop invariants

Recursive: Usually induction

Stable Marriage

Perfect Matching with Stability

Self-enforcing: Self-interest itself prevents offers from being retracted and redirected

Gale-Shapley Algorithm

Men get the best women while women get the worst men

Greedy algorithm that picks the best available women for each man

Time: $O(n^2)$

Complexity

$$a^{\log_b x} = x^{\log_b a}$$

$$\log_b x = \frac{\log_c x}{\log_c b}$$

$$\log_b M \cdot N = \log_b M + \log_b N$$

$$\log_b \frac{M}{N} = \log_b M - \log_b N$$

$$\log_b M^k = k \log_b M$$

Big Oh: $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ if $f(n) \leq cg(n)$ for $n \geq n_0$: $c, n_0 > 0$

Big Omega: $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ if $f(n) \geq cg(n)$ for $n \geq n_0$: $c, n_0 > 0$

Big Theta: $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$ if $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ and $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$

Little Oh: Strict Big Oh

Little Omega: Strict Big Omega

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}:$$

0 if $f(n)$ is $o(g(n))$, ∞ if $f(n)$ is $\omega(g(n))$

$< \infty$ if $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$, > 0 if $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$

$0 < \infty$ if $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$

Growth Rates: $1 < \log(n) < \sqrt{n} < n < n \log(n) < n^2 < n^c < 2^n < c^n < n! < n^n$

Harmonic: $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sim \ln n$

Triangular: $\sum_{k=1}^n k = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \sim \frac{n^2}{2}$

Squares: $\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \sim \frac{n^3}{3}$

Stirling's Approximation: $\log_2(n!) \sim n \log_2 n$

Master's Theorem: $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$, $\epsilon > 0$

If $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b(a)-\epsilon})$, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$

If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$

If $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b(a)+\epsilon})$, $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$

Graphs

$n = |V|$, $m = |E|$, $e = (u, v)$

Complete: $|E| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

Adjacency Matrix

Space: n^2 , Check if (u, v) is an edge: $\Theta(1)$, Check all edges: $\Theta(n^2)$

Adjacency List

Space: $n + m$, Check if (u, v) is an edge: $O(\deg(u))$ (actually $O(1 + \deg(u))$), Check all edges: $\Theta(n + m)$ (actually $\Theta(n + 2m)$)

Paths

Sequence of vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k such that (v_i, v_{i+1}) is an edge

Simple Path: No repeated vertices

Connected: There is a path between every pair of vertices

Cycle: Simple path with $v_1 = v_k$ and $k > 2$

Tree: Connected graph with no cycles, $|E| = n - 1$

Breadth First Search

$O(n + m)$ if adjacency list, $O(n^2)$ if adjacency matrix

Depth First Search

$O(n + m)$ if adjacency list, $O(n^2)$ if adjacency matrix

Directed Graphs

Mutually Reachable: $u \rightarrow v$ and $v \rightarrow u$

Strongly Connected: Every node mutually reachable

Directed Acyclic Graph: No directed cycles

Topological Ordering: Ordering of vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n such that if (v_i, v_j) is an edge, $i < j$

DAG \Leftrightarrow Topological Ordering

Bipartite Graphs

No odd cycles, can be colored with 2 colors

Connected Components

Strongly Connected Components (Di-graph only)

Greedy Algorithms

Use local optimization to find a global solution.

Interval Scheduling

Maximum number of non-overlapping intervals
 Sort by finish time, take the first interval that doesn't overlap with the previous interval
 Time: $O(n \log n)$

Interval Partitioning/Scheduling all Intervals

Minimum number of rooms to schedule all intervals
 Sort by start time, if interval overlaps with all preceding intervals, allocate new room
 Time: $O(n \log n)$

Scheduling to Minimize Lateness

Minimizes the maximum lateness
 Sort by deadline, schedule in order of increasing deadline
 Time: $O(n \log n)$

Dijkstra

Single-source shortest path; weighted BFS
 Assumes non-negative edge weights, non-deterministic(consistent) otherwise
 Use Bellman-Ford if negative edge weights, which uses dynamic programming
 Time: $O(nm)$ if naive, $O(m \log n)$ if priority heap

Minimum Spanning Trees

Assumes connected, undirected, distinct and non-negative edges

Kruskal

Sort edges by weight, add edge if it doesn't form a cycle
 Time: $O(m^2)$ if naive, $O(m \log n)$ if union-find

Prim

Start with any vertex, add the minimum weight edge that connects to the tree(one inside tree and one outside)
 Time: $O(n^2)$ if naive, $O(m \log n)$ if priority heap

Reverse-Delete

Sort edges in decreasing order, remove edge if it doesn't disconnect the graph
 Time: $O(e \log e)$ in PPT, $O(E \log(V)(\log \log V)^3)$ online

Greedy Stays Ahead

Define: Optimal- \mathbb{O} and Greedy- \mathbb{G}
 Measurement: Define criteria to compare each element of \mathbb{O} and \mathbb{G}
 Stays Ahead: Show \mathbb{G}_i is better than \mathbb{O}_i ($\forall i$), usually through induction

Optimality: Show that \mathbb{G} is optimal since it is always better than \mathbb{O} , usually through contradiction by assuming greedy isn't optimal but it stays ahead of optimal

Exchange Argument

Define: Optimal- \mathbb{O} and Greedy- \mathbb{G}
 Compare Solutions: Show differences in order or existence
 Exchange Pieces: Show that transforming \mathbb{O} to \mathbb{G} doesn't reduce optimality
 Iterate: Repeat until \mathbb{O} is \mathbb{G}

Divide and Conquer/Combine

Divide: Break problem into smaller subproblems
 Conquer/Combine: Solve subproblems recursively and combine

Recurrence Relation

A function defined in terms of itself
 Mirrors the recursive algorithm it represents
 Analysis of the running time of a divide and conquer algorithm generally involves solving a recurrence relation
 1,2,3 Method Example: (Merge Sort)
 $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n - 1$, $T(1) = 0$

| Level | Problem Size | Total Time |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | n | n |
| 1 | $\frac{n}{2}$ | $2 \frac{n}{2} = n$ |
| 2 | $\frac{n}{4}$ | $4 \frac{n}{4} = n$ |
| \vdots | \vdots | \vdots |
| k | $\frac{n}{2^k} = 1$ | $2^k \frac{n}{2^k} = n$ |
| $\rightarrow (\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n) + 0 \cdot 2^{\log_2 n} \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} n \rightarrow n \log n$ | | |

Dynamic Programming