

CS 331: Algorithms and Complexity (Fall 2023)
Unique Number: 52765, 52770

Assignment 4 - Solution

Due on Tuesday, 27 February, by 11.59pm

Problem 1

(10 points)

(a) (1pt each)

$T_2(n)$ has $\frac{4}{3}$ inside the recurrence, while results in subsequent calls growing the value of n .

$T_3(n)$ has $-5n^3$ as the cost, which results in negative time complexity.

(b) (2pt each)

- $T_1(n) = 2T_1(\frac{n}{4}) + n^2$, $T_1(1) = 1$

Using Master's Theorem, $a = 2$, $b = 4$, $f(n) = n^2$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_4 2} = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a}), \text{ so } T_1(n) = \Theta(f(n)) = \Theta(n^2)$$

- $T_4(n) = 2T_4(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$, $T_4(2) = 0$

Using Master's Theorem, $a = 2$, $b = 2$, $f(n) = n \log n$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n$$

However, $f(n) = n \log n$ is not polynomially larger than n , so we cannot use Master's Theorem.

I will use the recurrence relation

| Level | Problem size | Total time |
|----------|---------------------|--|
| 0 | n | $n \log n$ |
| 1 | $\frac{n}{2}$ | $2 \cdot \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{n}{2}$ |
| 2 | $\frac{n}{2^2}$ | $2^2 \cdot \frac{n}{2^2} \log \frac{n}{2^2}$ |
| \vdots | \vdots | \vdots |
| k | $\frac{n}{2^k} = 1$ | $2^k \cdot \frac{n}{2^k} \log \frac{n}{2^k}$ |

$$\rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^k 2^i \cdot \frac{n}{2^i} \log \frac{n}{2^i}$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} n \log \frac{n}{2^i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} n \log n - n \log 2^i \\
&\rightarrow n \log n \log n - \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} ni \\
&\rightarrow n \log n \log n - n \frac{\log_2 n (\log_2 n + 1)}{2} \\
&\rightarrow n \log n \log n - \frac{n \log_2 n \log_2 n}{2} - \frac{n \log_2 n}{2} \\
&\rightarrow \frac{n \log_2 n \log_2 n}{2} - \frac{n \log_2 n}{2} \\
&\therefore, T_4(n) = \Theta(n \log n \log n)
\end{aligned}$$

- $T_5(n) = \sqrt{n}T_5(\sqrt{n}) + n, n \geq 2$

I'll convert this recurrence into a different form to allow for using Master's Theorem

Let $m = \log_2 n; n = 2^m$

Then, $T_5(n) = \sqrt{n}T_5(\sqrt{n}) + n$ becomes $T_5(2^m) = 2^{m/2}T_5(2^{m/2}) + 2^m$

Divide both sides by 2^m

This yields $\frac{T_5(2^m)}{2^m} = \frac{T_5(2^{m/2})}{2^{m/2}} + 1$

We define $S(m) = \frac{T_5(2^m)}{2^m}$

Now, the equation is equivalent to $S(m) = S(m/2) + 1$

Using Master's Theorem, $a = 1, b = 2, f(m) = 1$

$f(m) = \Theta(1)$

$m^{\log_b a} = m^{\log_2 1} = m^0 = 1$

$f(m) = \Theta(m^{\log_b a})$, so the recurrence is $\Theta(\log m)$

Then, $S(m) = \Theta(\log m)$

Since $S(m) = \frac{T_5(2^m)}{2^m}$, then $S(m)2^m = T_5(2^m)$

Then, $T_5(2^m) = \Theta(2^m \log_2 m)$

Then, $T_5(n) = \Theta(n \log n \log n)$

(c) (2 pts)

Both T_4 and T_5 are $\Theta(n \log n \log n)$

They are smaller than T_1 , which is $\Theta(n^2)$

Problem 2

(10 points)

(a) (4 points)

Divide: Split array into 5 equal parts of size $n/5$.

Combine: Merge the size $n/5$ sorted arrays back together into a size n sorted array.

Split the array recursively into 5 equal parts, until the size of the array is 1. Then, merge the arrays back together.

To merge the arrays, we will use 5 pointers to each of the 5 arrays, let them be size $n/5$. We then compare each of them to find the smallest element. Then, we will add the smallest element to the new array of size n , repeat until all pointers are at the end of their respective array.

This yields a new sorted array of size n .

We combine the sorted arrays to get the final sorted array.

(b) (2 points)

Let each array be size $n/5$. We assume each of the arrays are sorted.

Each element has at most 4 comparisons until some subarrays have no elements, so the total number of comparisons is at most $4n - \sum_{i=1}^5 i = 4n - 10$.

Example: $[1, 6], [2, 7], [3, 8], [4, 9], [5, 10] \rightarrow n = 10$

We compare 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \rightarrow 4 comparisons

We compare 6, 2, 3, 4, 5 \rightarrow 4 comparisons

We compare 6, 7, 3, 4, 5 \rightarrow 4 comparisons

We compare 6, 7, 8, 4, 5 \rightarrow 4 comparisons

We compare 6, 7, 8, 9, 5 \rightarrow 4 comparisons

We compare 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 \rightarrow 4 comparisons

We compare 7, 8, 9, 10 \rightarrow 3 comparisons

We compare 8, 9, 10 \rightarrow 2 comparisons

We compare 9, 10 \rightarrow 1 comparison

We compare 10 \rightarrow 0 comparisons

Total comparisons = $30 = 4(10) - 10$.

(c) (2 points)

We split the array into 5 equal subarrays recursively so $T(n)$ becomes $5T(n/5)$.

The combining step takes $\Theta(n)$ time.

$T(n) = 5T(n/5) + 4n - 10$ with $T(1) = 1$

(d) (2 points)

Using Master's theorem, $a = 5$, $b = 5$, $f(n) = 4n - 10$

$f(n) = \Theta(n)$

$n^{\log_5 5} = n$.

$f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_5 5})$, so the recurrence is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

Problem 3

(10 pts)

(a) Recursive Solution with Memoization

```
memo = [-1] * n
MR(i):
    if i > n:
        return 0
    if memo[i] != -1:
        return memo[i]
    MAX = max( $p_i + MR(i + 1 + c_i)$ , MR(i + 1))
    memo[i] = MAX
    return MAX
```

(b) Iterative Solution

```
MT(low):
    memo[n] = 0
    for i in range(n, low - 1):
        memo[i] = max( $p_i + memo[i + 1 + c_i]$ , memo[i + 1])
    return memo[low]
```

(c) Proof of Correctness

Claim: $MT(low) = OPT(low)$, in other words, MT returns the maximum points possible with tasks starting at time low

Base Case: $low = n$

$MT(n) = p_n$ if it's greater than 0, which is the maximum points possible.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume $MT(i) = OPT(i)$ for all $i \geq k$.

Inductive Step: Prove $MT(k - 1) = OPT(k - 1)$

By the inductive hypothesis, we know that $MT(k) = OPT(k)$ and $MT(i + c_i) = OPT(i + c_i)$

Case 1: It's better to not do the task $k - 1$

In other words, $OPT(k - 1) = OPT(k)$

If that's the case, our algorithm picks the maximum of the two options $p_{k-1} + MT(k + c_{k-1})$ and $MT(k)$, which would yield $MT(k)$

Then, $MT(k - 1) = MT(k)$

This yields $MT(k - 1) = MT(k)$

By the inductive hypothesis, $MT(k) = OPT(k)$, which is equivalent to $OPT(k - 1)$ since the task is not worth taking

Then, $MT(k - 1) = OPT(k - 1)$

Then, case 1 of MT is optimal

Case 2: It's better to do the task $k - 1$

In other words, $OPT(k - 1) = p_{k-1} + OPT(k + c_{k-1})$

If that's the case, our algorithm picks the maximum of the two options $p_{k-1} + MT(k$

+ c_{k-1}) and $MT(k)$, which would yield $p_{k-1} + MT(k + c_{k-1})$

Then, $MT(k - 1) = p_{k-1} + MT(k + c_{k-1})$

By the inductive hypothesis, $MT(k + c_{k-1}) = OPT(k + c_{k-1})$

Add p_{k-1} to both sides, we get $p_{k-1} + MT(k + c_{k-1}) = p_{k-1} + OPT(k + c_{k-1}) = OPT(k - 1)$

Then, $MT(k - 1) = OPT(k - 1)$

Then, case 2 of MT is optimal

Therefore, $MT(k - 1) = OPT(k - 1)$

Therefore, $MT(low) = OPT(low)$

Therefore, the iterative solution is correct and optimal.

Since the iterative solution iterates through the array indexing each element once, it's $O(n)$.