# Mecnaum/SMP Design Document

# **SDSMT** Robotics Team

Ian Carlson

August 11, 2015

# Contents

Title		İ	
$\mathbf{C}$	Contents		
Li	dist of Figures		ii
1		pose	1
	1.1	Packages	1
<b>2</b>	Rec	quirements	5
	2.1	Stable Research Platform	Ę
	2.2	Good Examples of ROS Design	Ę
	2.3	Separate Noisy Components	Ę
	2.4	Easily Reconfigurable	6
	2.5	Easily Maintainable and Accessible	6

ii CONTENTS

# List of Figures

iv LIST OF FIGURES

# Purpose

The SMP and Mecanum robots are intended for demonstration and research purposes. They are intended to provide a "minimal working set" or ROS packages that can be used for testing or expanded to fulfill other roles. These robots are not intended for use in robotics competitions, but they could be adapted for competition fairly easily. The 2 SMP robots are functional both in and outdoors, while Mecanum is only really feasible for indoor use.

2 Purpose

## Requirements

As demonstration robots, the SMP and Mecanum robots don't have any specific external requirements. However, there were a few goals in mind when the robots were reassembled this more recent time:

- Stable Research Platform
- Good Examples of ROS Design
- Separate Noisy Components
- Easily Reconfigurable
- Easily Maintainable and Accessible

#### 2.1 Stable Research Platform

The typical sensor payload for the SMP and Mecanum robots includes:

- ASUS RGBD Vision Sensor
- LiDAR
- IMU

The mechanical and electrical setup for the SMP robots currently includes the LiDAR, IMU, and ASUS Sensors, although the current ROS packages compiled on the robots do not use them.

### 2.2 Good Examples of ROS Design

Since the Mecanum and SMP robots are intended to be good examples of ROS design, great care was taken to use as many ROS Good Practices as possible. This includes using the system services model for auto-boot, udev rules for mounting hardware, dedicated driver nodes, launch files, and not using any custom messages. This is detailed further in Chapter ??.

## 2.3 Separate Noisy Components

One of the problems these robots experienced in the past was a condition in which the motor controllers would unmount from the odroid. The cause was ruled to be noise generated by either the motor controllers themselves or the motors creating voltage spikes that scared the Odroid hardware into unmounting the USB devices to protect itself. To mitigate this problem, two measures were taken. First, motor controllers were selected which could be connected over serial lines. The big advantage here is that putting an FTDI

4 Requirements

between the motor controller and the Odroid adds a layer of electrical isolation. In addition, according to the documentation on the motor controller, the serial lines are more electrically stable than the USB lines. The USB was intended by the manufacturer for debugging only. The second measure taken was to keep all of the unregulated battery power inside the metal frame of the robot. All regulated 5V and 12V power is on the top.

### 2.4 Easily Reconfigurable

For all three robtots, one of the

### 2.5 Easily Maintainable and Accessible

One of the big goals of the design was to make it easy to swap batteries out for charging, and also to make it as painless as posssible to make modifications. As it turned out, the robot frame for the SMPs ended up being pretty cramped, but