Risk Analysis Using GARCH and ARIMA Models

Spencer Lyon

March 30, 2013



Macroeconomics and Computational Laboratory (MCL)

BYU Economics

Abstract

Put an awesome abstract here.

CONTENTS

1	Introduction		
_		2	
	2.1 The Data	2	
	2.2 ARIMA Models	3	
	2.3 (G)ARCH Models	3	
3	Results	3	
4	Conclusion	3	

Risk Analysis Using GARCH and ARIMA Models

1 Introduction

One longstanding phenomenon economists see in financial data is known as the equity premium puzzle (Mehra and Prescott, 1985). The heart of the equity premium puzzle can be summarized one of two equivalent questions: why is demand for risk free government bonds so high when returns on those assets are so low? The second question could be stated as follows: why is demand for stocks, and hence stock prices, so low despite the relatively high expected returns

Over the years economists have posed many possible explanations for why the equity puzzle premium (EPP) exists, or even if it exists. Modern economics is based largely on rational expectations and risk aversion. An appeal to these theories to explain the EPP seems natural and intuitive; however, this type of explanation falls very short. To put this disparity in perspective Mankiw and Zeldes (1991) pointed out that in order for risk aversion to explain the EPP, investors would have to be indifferent between taking a sure \$51,209 or having 50% at winning either \$50,000 and \$100,000. Other common explanations have generally been rooted in tax policy, market failures, liquidity constraints, and the implied volatility of the underlying equities.

The focus of this paper will be analyzing the ability of ARIMA and (G)ARCH models for identifying and explaining the equity premium puzzle. ARMIA methods have been around for some time and have held a significant foothold in the finance literature. An ARIMA model allows the economist to model the effect of historical moving averages on current values. This flexibility is particular appealing to someone trying to model financial time series because things like seasonality, momentum, and market lags and/or inefficiencies lead to these moving averages storing a lot of relevant data.

ARCH and GARCH models are, in a sense, very similar to ARIMA models. Instead of trying to model the effect of changing moving averages, (G)ARCH models attempt to capture changes in the variance of underlying parameters. It is immediately apparent the trained financial eye that focusing on the changes in expected variance might actually be more instructive than moving averages when applied to forecasting models.

GARCH - ARIMA Yield Curve 2

The remainder of this paper will be structured as follows: Section 2 will discuss ARIMA and (G)ARCH models as well as the data I am using, Section 3 will show the results of my analysis, and Section 4 will have a few concluding thoughts and ideas for further research.

2 ESTIMATION

2.1 THE DATA

The data for this paper is quite simple. To mimic a risk free asset I have gathered daily data for the price of both 3-month and 10-year US treasury bills. I obtained this data from the St. Louis Federal reserve under the data set names DGS3MO and DGS10 for the 3-month and 10-year maturities, respectively. The units on these data sets are percent returns, the data is not seasonally adjusted, and the data was sampled at a daily frequency.

To model risky assets I chose to use the daily returns on the S&P 500 stock market index. The units on this data set is US dollars (\$) and I retrieved the data from Yahoo! Finance. The S&P 500 was a natural choice because it is a very common metric used in finance to measure the overall movement of the stock market. Because some explaination of the EPP refer to the volatility of stocks I also chose to use the VIX, also from Yahoo! Finance. ¹

For the most part, these four time series had observations on the same days; all of them are reported for week-days only. However, the big difference is that the data from Yahoo! Finance is only reported on trading days, while the data from FRED is reported every Monday through Friday, including most holidays. To overcome this I simply dropped observations for days where FRED reported data, but the stock markets were closed. In Table 2.1 I have included a summary of this data.

¹The VIX is constructed using the implied volatilities on call and put options for the stocks making up the S&P 500. It is the generally accepted measure of overall stock market volatility.

GARCH - ARIMA Yield Curve 3

	DGS10 (%)	DGS3MO (%)	SP500 (\$)	VIX (\$)
obs	3305	3305	3305	3305
mean	3.99311	2.10994	1210.33	21.8055
std	1.14046	2.01772	187.527	9.16702
min	1.43	0	676.53	9.89
25%	3.38	0.15	1095.45	15.54
50%	4.12	1.59	1214.91	20.03
75%	4.74	3.85	1357.98	25.4
max	6.79	6.42	1569.19	80.86

Table 2.1: Summary of the data . All the data was sampled from 1/1/2000 to 3/28/2013. Note the rows with percent labels correspond to percentiles

- 2.2 ARIMA MODELS
- 2.3 (G)ARCH MODELS
 - 3 RESULTS
 - 4 CONCLUSION

GARCH - ARIMA Yield Curve 4

REFERENCES

Mankiw, N. Gregory and Stephen P. Zeldes, "The consumption of stockholders and nonstockholders," *Journal of Financial Economics*, March 1991, 29 (1), 97–112.

Mehra, Rajnish and Edward C. Prescott, "The Equity Premium: A Puzzle," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 1985, *15* (2), 145 – 161.