Business cycle in a macromodel with oligopoly and agents heterogeneity: an agent-based approach – The making of the model

Marco Mazzoli, Matteo Morini, and Pietro Terna

University of Genova, Italy
—
University of Torino, Italy
—
University of Torino, Italy (retired professor)

February 20, 2017; corrected March 20, 2017 and April 3, 2017

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

OVERVIEW

Introduction

Sequence

A tale of fifty cycles

Concluding remarks

INTRODUCTION

Let us describe how—concerning individual agents' behavior—the equations introduced in the analytical sections are here transformed, via a sequence of steps, into instructions for the agent-based simulation.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
                       ○○○
                       ○○○○
                       ○○○
                       ○○○○○
                       ○○
                       ○○○

INTRODUCTION

The agent-based model uses the simulation shell SLAPP, online at https://github.com/terna/SLAPP.
SLAPP (Swarm-Like Agent Protocol in Python) has a Reference Handbook and it is deeply described in Chapters 2–7 in R. Boero, M. Morini, M. Sonnessa and P. Terna (2015), *Agent-based Models of the Economy–From Theories to Applications*, Palgrave Macmillan.

The calibration has been quite lengthy, spanning over the whole construction of the model, in five successive configurations documented at https://github.com/terna/oligopoly, where we report the "making of" the model, with all the calculating routines.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

OVERVIEW

Introduction

Sequence
    make/adaptProductionPlan, hireFireWithProduction
    workTroubles, produce
    planConsumptionInValue (entrepreneurs and workers)
    setMarketPrice, evaluateProfit
    toEntrepreneur, toWorker
    fullEmploymentEffectOnWages, incumbentActionOnWages

A tale of fifty cycles

THE SEQUENCE, REPEATED AT EACH TIME STEP (1/2)

1. entrepreneurs makeProductionPlan
2. entrepreneurs adaptProductionPlan
3. entrepreneurs hireFireWithProduction
4. entrepreneurs (with probability 0.05) workTroubles
5. entrepreneurs produce
6. entrepreneurs, workers planConsumptionInValue
7. WorldState setMarketPrice
8. entrepreneurs evaluateProfit
9. workers toEntrepreneur
10. entrepreneurs toWorker
11. WorldState fullEmploymentEffectOnWages
12. WorldState incumbentActionOnWages

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

THE SEQUENCE, REPEATED AT EACH TIME STEP (2/2)

The methods or commands are sent to the agents of the model
in a ordered way, at each time step.

The agents act in random order; if a probability is set, it is
applied to each agent, to decide if to activate or not the method.

WorldState is an abstract agent that acts defining or modifying
the general data of the world

We have 10 initial *entrepreneurs* and 10,000 initial *workers* (at
time = 0, unemployed)

MAKE/ADAPTPRODUCTIONPLAN, *time* = 1

The method (or command) `makeProductionPlan`, acting only if
time = 1, sent to the ith of the entrepreneurs, orders it to guess
its production for the initial period. The production plan $\widehat{P}_t^i$ is
determined using a Poisson distribution, with mean ν.

$$\widehat{P}_t^i \sim Pois(\nu) \tag{1}$$

with

$$\nu = \rho \frac{(N_{workers} + N_{entrepreneurs})}{N_{entrepreneurs}} \tag{2}$$

In this way about a ρ ratio of the agents is employed in the
beginning, as one unit of production roughly requires one
employee.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○●○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

MAKE/ADAPTPRODUCTIONPLAN, *time > 1*

The method adaptProductionPlan, sent to entrepreneurs, orders to the ith firm to set its production plan to a fraction of the demand of the previous period, corrected with a random uniform correction in the interval $-k$ to $+k$. Being $\widehat{P}_t^i$ the planned production of firm i, with $u_t^i \sim \mathcal{U}(-k, k)$ we have:

► if $u_t^i \geq 0$

$$\widehat{P}_t^i = \frac{\frac{D_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}}}{N_{entrepreneurs}}(1 + u_t^i) \tag{3}$$

► if $u_t^i < 0$

$$\widehat{P}_t^i = \frac{\frac{D_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}}}{N_{entrepreneurs}}/(1 + |u_t^i|) \tag{4}$$

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○●
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

HIREFIREWITHPRODUCTION

The method (or command) hireFireWithProduction, sent to the entrepreneurs, orders them to hire or fire, considering the labor forces required for the production plan $\widehat{P}_t^i$ and the labor productivity π; the labor force required is (being L_t^i the current one):

$$\widehat{L}_t^i = \widehat{P}_t^i / \pi \tag{5}$$

1. if $\widehat{L}_t^i = L_t^i$ nothing has to be done;
2. if $\widehat{L}_t^i > L_t^i$, the entrepreneur is hiring, with the limit of the number of unemployed workers;
3. if $\widehat{L}_t^i < L_t^i$, the entrepreneur is firing the workers in excess.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
●○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

WORKTROUBLES (1/2)

For each entrepreneur at time *t*, so for each firm *i*, we generate a shock $\Psi_{i,t} > 0$ due to work troubles, with probability p_Ψ (set for all the entrepreneurs as a parameter of the model) and a value uniformly distributed between $V_\Psi/2$ and V_Ψ. The shock reduces the production of firm *i*, following:

$$Pc_t^i = P_t^i(1 - \Psi_{i,t}) \qquad (6)$$

where *Pc* means *corrected production*.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○●○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

WORKTROUBLES (2/2)

If a specific parameter is set to *True*, also the wages are cut in the same proportion of the production.

With w indicating the constant basic wage level, cw_t^i is the corrected value at time t and for firm i:

$$cw_t^i = w(1 - \Psi_{i,t}) \tag{7}$$

This correction is superimposed to the other possible corrections, due to (i) full employment or (ii) to artificial barrier creation.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○●○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

PRODUCE (1/2)

The method (or command) produce, sent to the entrepreneurs, orders them—in a deterministic way, in each unit of time—to produce proportionally to their labour force, obtaining the profit Π_t^i, where i identifies the firm and t the time. L_t^i is the number of workers of firm i at time t. We add 1 to L_t^i, to account for the entrepreneur as a worker. π is the labor productivity, with its value set to 1 (in this version of the model not changing with t).

P_t^i is the production of firm i at time t:

$$P_t^i = \pi(L_t^i + 1) \tag{8}$$

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○●
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

PRODUCE (2/2)

With eq. (6) above, the production is finally corrected for work troubles, calculating the value Pc_t^i.

The production of the firm i is added to the total production of the time step.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
●○○
○○○○○
○○○

PLANCONSUMPTIONINVALUE, SENT TO
ENTREPRENEURS OR TO WORKERS (1/3)

The method (or command) planConsumptionInValue operates
both with the entrepreneurs and the workers, with the
function below. [The description is unique for both the cases.]

Considering the individual i of the group k, we have:

$$C_i = a_k + b_k Y_i + u \tag{9}$$

with $u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, consumptionRandomComponentSD)$.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○●○
○○○○○
○○○

PLANCONSUMPTIONINVALUE, SENT TO
ENTREPRENEURS OR TO WORKERS (2/3)

The constant a_k corresponds to the autonomous component
$\Omega(r_t) \cdot [A_t + [1/(1 + r_t)]$ of the aggregate demand. This means
that a_k would be affected by exogenous changes in the
monetary policy (future versions of the model).

The income Y_i appears in its current value and not in its future
expected value because in the theoretical part of the paper it
has been assumed (like for all the expectations) that its future
expected value is equal to its current observable value.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○●
○○○○○
○○
○○○

PLANCONSUMPTIONINVALUE, SENT TO ENTREPRENEURS OR TO WORKERS (3/3)

About k, the individual i can be:

1. an entrepreneur, with $Y_i = profit_{i,t-1} + wage$ and $k = 1$;
2. an employed worker, with $Y_i = wage$ and the special case $Y_i = cw_t^i$, with cw_t^i defined in eq. 7, and $k = 2$;
3. an unemployed workers, with $Y_i = socialWelfareCompensation$ and $k = 3$.

The a_k and b_k values are set as parameters of the model.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○○
●○○○○
○○
○○○

SETMARKETPRICE (1/2)

The method (or command) `setMarketPrice`, sent to the
`WorldState` abstract agent, orders it to evaluate the market
clearing price considering each agent behavior and an *external
shock, potentially large*.

The shock Ξ is uniformly distributed between $-L$ and $+L$
where L is a rate on base 1, e.g., 0.10.

With (simplified solution in the current version of the model):

- p_t, clearing market price at time t;
- D_t total demand in value at time t (obtained summing up agents' decisions);
- O_t, total offer in quantity (obtained summing up firms' actions) at time t.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○●○○○
○○
○○○

SETMARKETPRICE (2/2)

If the shock Ξ is (≥ 0):

$$p_t = \frac{D_t(1 + \Xi)}{O_t} \tag{10}$$

if the shock Ξ is (< 0):

$$p_t = \frac{D_t/(1 + |\Xi|)}{O_t} \tag{11}$$

INTRODUCTION   **SEQUENCE**   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○●○○
○○
○○○

EVALUATEPROFIT (1/3)

The method (or command) evaluateProfit, sent to the
entrepreneurs, orders them to calculate their profit.
The production Pc_t^i accounts for: (i) the production plan; (ii) the
limits in hiring, if any; (iii) the work troubles, if any.
p_t is the price clearing the market at time t.
w is the wage per employee and time unit, not changing with t,
but for work troubles and for events as:

- ► wage rise due to full employment or
- ► to the creation of barriers against new entrants .

C are extra costs for new entrant firms.

INTRODUCTION   **SEQUENCE**   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○●○
○○
○○○

EVALUATEPROFIT (2/3)

In presence of work troubles the firm i has to accept a reduction of its price (amount of the reduction: pv_t^i), to compensate its customers, having undermined their confidence in the implicit commitment of producing a given quantity (the production plan).

Currently (April 2017) we set $pv_t^i = 0$

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○●
○○
○○○

EVALUATEPROFIT (3/3)

$$\Pi_t^i = p_t(1 - pv_t^i)Pc_t^i - w(1 - \Psi_{i,t})(L_t^i - 1) - w - C \qquad (12)$$

The $-w$ addend represents the pay of the entrepreneur.

The new entrant firms have extra cost C to be supported for k periods.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
●○
○○○

TOENTREPRENEUR

With toEntrepreneur, workers decide to become an
entrepreneur at time *t*, if their employer had a relative profit $\geq$
a given *threshold* at time $t - 1$.

In actual business world, the decision is a quite rare one, so we
have to match a higher level control, that we define with the
parameter
max new entrant number in a time step.

This parameter represents a *potential max number of new
entrepreneurs* in each cycle.

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○●
○○○

TOWORKER

With the method (or command) toWorker, an entrepreneur
moves to be an unemployed worker if its relative profit
(reported to the total of the costs) at time *t* is ≤ a given *threshold*.

FULLEMPLOYMENTEFFECTONWAGE

The method `fullEmploymentEffectOnWages`, sent to the `WorldState`, orders it to modify wages accordingly to full employment situation, in a reversible way.

Being U_t the unemployment rate at time t, ζ the unemployment threshold to recognize the *full employment* situation, s the proportional increase step (reversible) of the wage level and w_t the wage level at time t (being w_b the basic level), we have:

$$\begin{cases} w_t = w_b(1+s) \text{ if } U_t \leq \zeta \\ w_t = w_b \text{ if } U_t > \zeta \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

INTRODUCTION   **SEQUENCE**   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS

○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○●○

INCUMBENTACTIONONWAGES (1/2)

The method `incumbentActionOnWages`, orders to `WorldState`
to modify the wage level for one period, accordingly to the
attempt of the incumbent oligopolists of creating an entry
barrier when new firms are observed into the market.
The current number of entrepreneurs H_t is calculated by the
model and the previous one H_{t-1} is extracted from the
structural dataframe generated while the model is running.
We have here two levels:

- ► K as the (relative) threshold of entrepreneur presence to
  determine the reaction on wages;
- ► k as the relative increment of wages;

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○●

INCUMBENTACTIONONWAGES (2/2)

Formally,:

$$
\begin{cases}
w_t = w_0(1 + k) \text{ if } \dfrac{H_t}{H_{t-1}} - 1 > K \\[2ex]
w_t = w_0 \text{ if } \dfrac{H_t}{H_{t-1}} - 1 \leq K
\end{cases}
\tag{14}
$$

OVERVIEW

Introduction

Sequence

A tale of fifty cycles

Concluding remarks

A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES

CORRELATION MATRIX

	unempl.	totalProfit	totalProd.	plannedP.	price	wage
unemployed	1.00	-0.31	-0.38	-0.38	-0.32	-0.40
totalProfit	-0.31	1.00	-0.49	-0.40	0.73	0.51
totalProduction	-0.38	-0.49	1.00	0.90	-0.26	0.14
plannedProduction	-0.38	-0.40	0.90	1.00	-0.04	0.16
price	-0.32	0.73	-0.26	-0.04	1.00	0.48
wage	-0.40	0.51	0.14	0.16	0.48	1.00

Table: Correlations among the time series of the model

INTRODUCTION   SEQUENCE   A TALE OF FIFTY CYCLES   CONCLUDING REMARKS
○○○
○○○○
○○○
○○○○○
○○
○○○

OVERVIEW

Introduction

Sequence

A tale of fifty cycles

Concluding remarks

CONCLUDING REMARKS

► The agent-based simulations show that the model can generate cyclical fluctuations in the economy, as an effect of the entry/exit mechanism associated to the social mobility and informational shocks.

► The simulations also show that the model provides an explanation for countercyclical markups.