

Macroeconomic integration

School of Economics, University College Dublin

Spring 2018

Balassa's six stage of macroeconomic integration

1. Preferential customs area
2. Free trade area
3. Customs union
4. Common market
5. Economic and monetary union
6. Political union

EU currently at stage 4

- ▶ All member countries part of the common market
- ▶ Some member of a monetary union (eurozone)

Eurozone member states at stage 5

Members of the eurozone can set **fiscal** policy but not **monetary** policy

- ▶ Autonomy over public spending and taxing
- ▶ Can't set interest rates or depreciate/appreciate currency

European debt crisis illustrated limitations of integration

- ▶ Due to heterogeneity across member states

For future integration two options (besides status quo)

1. Abolish euro: move back to stage 4
2. Further integration: moving towards stage 6
 - i Banking union (2014)
 - ii Fiscal union
 - iii Political union

Political project

Two schools of thought on integration¹

1. Intergovernmentalism

- ▶ National governments are in charge
- ▶ States can use EU for their own goals

2. Functionalism

- ▶ Integration pushed by elites and interest groups that transcend national boundaries
- ▶ Dynamic effect of transferring functions from national government to Brussels

¹Spoloare (2014), 'What is European integration really about? A political guide for economists', Journal of Economic Perspectives.

European integration therefore either

1. Follows from national economic interests
2. Or is a path towards political integration

Functionalism works through a **chain reaction**

1. Move functions in narrow areas from government to supranational body
2. Over time will lead to more integration

Further centralisation will follow through +ve/-ve mechanisms

- ▶ +ve: through learning, changing preferences
- ▶ -ve: generating problems and crises

Further centralisation through -ve mechanism implies that national politicians don't anticipate chain reaction

1. Short horizons
2. Asymmetric information
3. Democratic deficit

Dutch take on Macron with 'red lines' over eurozone integration

Mark Rutte says countries need to 'get back into line' rather than seek deeper ties



Mark Rutte speaks on his vision of Europe at the Bertelsmann Foundation in Berlin

Economic responsibility

Its time to get back into line. The recipe for a larger cake is not centralised bailout funds and printing more money, but structural reforms and sound budgets

EU project as a whole

the EU is not an unstoppable train speeding towards federalism

Role of European Commission in context of crisis

the commission should work more for EU governments and not the other way round

Concerning budget rules

There must be no political assessment

Examining macroeconomics of European integration will focus on

1. Monetary policy
2. Fiscal policy
3. Economic growth

Start with some basic economics



Before Economics.

Source: Saturday Morning Breakfast Comics

Closed economy

$$Y = C + I + G \quad (1)$$

Equilibrium GDP given by

$$Y = C(Y) + I + G \quad (2)$$

$C(Y)$

1. Consumers spend more when they earn more
2. Consumers earn more when firms produce more
 - 2.1 Firms invest borrowing at interest rate i : when i increases, I and C decrease

$$Y = C(Y) + I + G$$

Government can change spending G

- ▶ e.g. increase spending in infrastructure


G set by fiscal policy; relevant in business cycle context

- ▶ Expansionary policy will increase G & reduce taxes



Ireland GDP cycles





Role of **financial markets**

- ▶ Collect savings from households/firms
- ▶ Lend money to households/firm and public authorities

Number of feature of the financial market that are of interest

1. Most people rely on banks for savings deposits
2. Finance is associated with risk
3. Price associated with risk
4. Financial intermediaries deal with each other, continuously

Financial markets are subject to

1. Regulations
2. Monetary policy

Monetary policy is set by central bank, which generally has two objectives

1. Control inflation
2. Stabilise economy

Open economy

$$Y = C + I + G + X - M \quad (3)$$

X is exports

M is imports

$X - M$ is current account.

- ▶ Goods and services markets become interdependent across countries

Interest rate parity condition

$$1 + i_t = (1 + r^*) \frac{E_{t+1}^e}{E_t} \quad (4)$$

i_t , domestic interest rate

r^* , foreign bond return

E_{t+1}^e , expected exchange rate

- ▶ Higher interest rate when exchange rate is expected to depreciate: to prevent capital outflows
- ▶ Lower interest rate when exchange rate is expected to appreciate

Kevin De Bruyne's new Manchester City deal will pay him in euros because of Brexit

It is understood that a number of players feel that they have lost out on current contracts due to the effect on the currency after Britain voted to leave the European Union in June 2016

Miguel Delaney Chief Football Writer | @MiguelDelaney | Wednesday 20 December 2017 15:52 GMT | 50 comments



3K
shares



Click to follow
The Independent Sport



Can restate parity condition as

$$i_t = i_t^* + \frac{E_{t+1}^e}{E_t} \quad (5)$$

*Domestic interest rate = Foreign interest rate +
Expected exchange rate depreciation*

Parity condition cannot be directly observed

- ▶ E_{t+1}^e cannot be measured
- ▶ On whom does E_{t+1}^e depend?

Interest rate parity condition shows **market sentiment**, revealing market expectations

$$\frac{E_{t+1}^e}{E_t} = i_t - i_t^* \quad (6)$$

Or the expected exchange rate depreciation equals Domestic interest rate minus Foreign interest rate

Given

$$i_t = i_t^* + \frac{E_{t+1}^e}{E_t} \quad (7)$$

a country can


1. Adjust i to influence capital movement (E_t varies)
2. Adjust E_t and set i (no capital movement)
3. Have capital movement and set E_t (cannot set i)

Impossible trinity principle

1. Monetary autonomy
2. Exchange-rate management
3. Free capital mobility

Picking only two of of three is possible.

The policy trilemma



1. Full capital mobility and autonomous monetary policy, flexible exchange rate
 - ▶ Eurozone
 - ▶ Volatile exchange rates could harm competitiveness
2. Full capital mobility and fixed exchange rate
 - ▶ Bretton Woods system (1944-1973), Denmark
3. Fixed exchange rate and monetary policy autonomy, with capital controls
 - ▶ Brazil
 - ▶ Need to enforce restrictions

Interest rate set by central bank will affect price levels

- ▶ Recall, lower i will increase spending

However, monetary policy loses influences after about 3 years

- ▶ Stronger demand leads to higher prices: erodes purchasing power
- ▶ Purchasing power inversely related to price level

Monetary neutrality

In the long run, monetary policy loses its effectiveness because the price level increases in the same proportion as the money stock

Can define **real exchange rate** as

$$\frac{EP}{P^*} \quad (8)$$

P , price for domestic good

P^* , price for foreign good

- ▶ Relative price of domestic goods expressed in foreign goods
- ▶ Prices matter for competitiveness just as E

Rate appreciates when

- ▶ Nominal exchange rate E appreciates
- ▶ $\frac{P}{P^*}$ increases, i.e. P rises faster than P^*

Purchasing power parity (PPP) principle

$$\frac{E_t}{E_{t-1}} = \pi^* - \pi \quad (9)$$

π is inflation rate.

- ▶ Rate of change of the nominal exchange rate is equal to the difference between inflation rates in two countries

Currency should appreciate when

$$\pi < \pi^* \quad (10)$$

Principle only holds in the long run (i.e. many many years)

Can also write PPP principle as

$$E = \frac{P}{P^*} \quad (11)$$


i.e. the exchange rate reflects the relative price levels.

PPP is based on the Law of One Price

- ▶ Does not hold on short-run
- ▶ Goods are not instantly tradeable (some are non-tradeable)

The Big Mac index

Local currency under(-)/over(+) valuation against the dollar, %



*At market exchange rates (Jan 17th 2018)

†Average of four cities ‡Weighted average of member countries

§Average of five cities

Sources: McDonald's; *The Economist*

Equilibrium real exchange rate

PPP implies that $\frac{EP}{P^*}$ is constant.

Rate of change of real exchange rate can be given by

$$\frac{\Delta \frac{EP}{P^*}}{\frac{EP}{P^*}} = \frac{\Delta E}{E} + \frac{\Delta P}{P} - \frac{\Delta P^*}{P^*} \quad (12)$$

If follows that

$$\frac{\Delta \frac{EP}{P^*}}{\frac{EP}{P^*}} = 0 \quad (13)$$

when

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{\Delta P^*}{P^*} - \frac{\Delta P}{P} \quad (14)$$

Consider appreciation of real exchange rate

- ▶ e.g. introduction of Euro

Country becomes less competitive (increase in price level)

- ▶ Exports decline: domestic goods are more expensive on foreign markets
- ▶ Imports increase: foreign goods cheaper at home

$$X < M \quad (15)$$

Deficit needs to be financed through borrowing abroad

For real exchange rate to return to equilibrium one of two things need to happen

1. Depreciation of nominal exchange rate
 - ▶ Need to be able to manage exchange rate
2. Prices must move to re-establish competitiveness
 - ▶ Will have effect on wages

Balassa-Samuelson effect

Equilibrium real exchange rates of countries that enjoy lasting fast growth - because they are catching up from a lower level of development - follow an appreciating trend

By-product of catch-up process

- ▶ Relatively underdeveloped countries gradually close the technology gap between themselves and advanced countries.


The Balassa-Samuelson effect happens when

- ▶ EP/P^* is steadily increasing as domestic prices rise relative to foreign prices evaluated in domestic currency P^*/E
- ▶ Or when domestic prices evaluated in foreign currency EP rise relative to foreign prices P^*

Real appreciation can happen due to

1. Higher inflation at home: P/P^* increasing
2. Continuous nominal appreciation: rising trend in E

or combination of these two.



Source: devpolicy.org