

Predicates & Quantifiers

Propositional Logic is lacking Given that

"all even integers are divisible by 2"

We can't logically prove "4 is divisible by 2"

We need predicates: essentially variables.

Ex: $x > 3$ x is divisible by 2

Def: Let $P(x)$ be a statement involving the variable x

We call P a propositional function or predicate if

for each x $P(x)$ is a proposition.

Ex $P(x): x > 3$ - not a proposition
but for any value of x
it is!

What are the truth values of $P(4)$ & $P(2)$?

F F

Ex: $Q(n) = n^2 + 2n$ is an odd integer.

Is this a proposition? No it's a predicate.

value of $Q(2) = T$

$Q(3) = F$

This on its own is not enough. In math (and CS) we don't get much use from this. We don't get use from claims such as $Q(3)$ is true etc.

We need more generality.

Quantifiers:

Quantification allows us to express truth values for ranges such as all, some, many, none, & few.

Def: Universal Quantifier: Many math statements assert something is true for all inputs in domain such as $P(x)$ is true for all x in domain.

We use \forall for this 'e.g. $\forall x P(x)$ read as for all x , $P(x)$ or $P(x)$ for every x . The claim is true if $P(x)$ is true for every valid x in the domain. It is false if some x in the domain make $P(x)$ false. This x is a Counter example

Ex: $\forall x (x^2 \geq 0)$ ^{for x in real numbers.} Here $P(x) = x^2 \geq 0$. Our domain is real numbers.

It is true if for every real number x , $x^2 \geq 0$ & false if there is at least one x_0 in the real numbers such that $x^2 < 0$.

this is true.

Remarks: 1. We usually assume our domains are not empty.

$\forall x P(x)$ requires that $P(x)$ be true for all x in domain. if there is no x in domain $\forall x P(x)$ is vacuously true.

2. When discussing this avoid using "any". In English any is acceptable to use for many or lots. But \forall means for every one, for all of them, for each thing etc.

Ex: In some cases we can think of \forall being a conjunction:

What is the truth value of $\forall x P(x)$ where $P(x) = x^2 < 10$ and our domain is positive integers less than 5.

$$\text{Then } \forall x P(x) = P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge P(4)$$

$P(4) = F$ so $\forall x P(x)$ is False.

Existential Quantifier:

Def: The existential quantifier of $P(x)$ is the statement

"There exists an element x in the domain such that $P(x)$ "

This is denoted $\exists x P(x)$

This can be stated as there is an x s.t. or there exists x s.t.
or for some x $P(x)$

Ex: $\exists x \left(\frac{x}{x^2+1} = \frac{2}{5} \right)$ So $P(x) = \frac{x}{x^2+1} = \frac{2}{5}$

is $P(x)$ a proposition? No! it is a predicate.

$\exists x P(x)$ is a proposition, it is true $x=2 \Rightarrow P(2)=T$.

Only need to find one x .

Ex: Consider $\exists x Q(x)$ where $Q(x) = x = x+1$

What is the truth value of $\exists x Q(x)$?

False, there is no number x where $x = x+1$ so we cannot find an x to satisfy $Q(x)$.

Notice: to disprove existential quantifiers we must show it is always false, on its domain. More on this next time.

Ex: What is the truth value of $\exists x P(x)$ for $P(x) = x^2 > 10$
on domain of ^{positive} integers less than 5.

This is equivalent to $P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3) \vee P(4)$

We only need one to be true. Indeed $P(4)=T$

so $\exists x P(x)$ is true on this domain.