Coalescent Process Simulation
○○○○○○○

Simulation Verification
○○○○○

MCMC Update Scheme
○○○○○

References
○

The Structured Coalescent

Ian Roberts

07/06/2021

Homochronous Leaves

- Homochronous leaves occur when each lineage is sampled at the same time
- Each pair of lineages coalesces at constant rate 1, resulting in a combined coalescence rate of $\frac{1}{\theta}\binom{n_t}{2}$ where n_t denotes the number of lineages remaining in the sample at time t backwards in time and θ denotes the product of effective population and mutation rate
- Homochronous.Sim simulates the ordinary Kingman n-coalescent (Kingman 1982) with simultaneously sampled lineages
- Homochronous.Sim outputs a phylo object from the ape package (containing edge matrix, vector of edge lengths)

Figure 1: Homochronous *n*-coalescent simulation using Homochronous.Sim where Effective population $\times$ generation length $= 25$

Heterochronous Leaves

- Heterochronous leaves occur when lineages are sampled at various times
- Heterochronous.sim again simulates the Kingman *n*-coalescent with lineages sampled at various times. Requires an additional input of the time of the sample measured in continuous years
- Reduces to Homochronous.sim if all leaves have the same age (homochronous leaves)

ID	1	2	3
Date	05/01/2021	30/05/2020	14/03/2021
Cts. Time	2021.014	2020.411	2021.200

| ID	4	5
Date	08/09/2018	16/12/2019
Cts. Time	2018.687	2019.958

Figure 2: Heterochronous *n*-coalescent simulation using
Homochronous.Sim where Effective population $\times$ generation length $= 25$

Coalescent Process Simulation
○○○○●○○

Simulation Verification
○○○○○

MCMC Update Scheme
○○○○○

References
○

Structured Coalescent

- Under the structured coalescent multiple populations or demes evolve with migration events occuring between distinct demes
- Each pair of lineages coalesce at rate 1, with an instantaneous coalescence rate $\frac{1}{\theta_i}\binom{n_{it}}{2}$, where n_{it} denotes the number of lineages in deme i at time t and θ_i denotes the product of the effective population size of deme i and mutation rate. Further, each lineage may migrate from deme i to deme j ($j \neq i$) at rate λ_{ij}
- Structured.sim generates a realisation of this structured coalescent process where at each event time, either:
  - Two lineages in the same deme coalesce
  - One lineage migrates between two demes

Example Simulation

- Augment the previous example dataset with an initial deme for each lineage
- Assume 2 demes and an example migration matrix Λ

ID	Age	Deme
1	2021.014	1
2	2020.411	1
3	2021.200	2
4	2018.687	2
5	2019.958	2

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.02 \\ 0.05 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Coalescent Process Simulation
○○○○○○●

Simulation Verification
○○○○○

MCMC Update Scheme
○○○○○

References
○

Figure 3: Structured heterochronous *n*-coalescent realisation using Structured.sim with Effective population × generation length = 25 and migration rates 0.02 ($1 \rightarrow 2$) and 0.05 ($2 \rightarrow 1$)

Heterochronous.Sim

- Simulations obtained from Heterochronous.sim were verified via calculation of the log-likelihood
- The log-likelihood can be calculated recursively by considering the contributions made when either a new leaf is added, or a coalescence occurs
- This log-likelihood can be compared to the likelihood from Drummond et al. (2002),

$$L = \frac{1}{\theta^{n-1}} \prod_{i=2}^{2n-1} \exp\left\{ -\frac{k_i(k_i-1)}{2\theta}(t_i - t_{i-1}) \right\}$$

where

- t_i denotes the time of the ith event (either a coalescence or adding a newly sampled lineage)
- k_i denotes the number of current lineages at t_i
- θ denotes the product of effective population and generation length.

Structured.Sim

- Simulations from Structured.Sim were verified via calculation of the log-likelihood with the exact form of the likelihood from Ewing, Nicholls, and Rodrigo (2004),

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j \neq i} \prod_{r=2}^{2n+M-1} \frac{1}{\theta_i^{c_i}} \lambda_{ij}^{m_{ij}} \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{k_{ir}(k_{ir}-1)}{2\theta_i} + k_{ir}\lambda_{ij} \right) (t_r - t_{r-1}) \right\}$$

- where
  - n denotes the number of leaves
  - d denotes the number of demes
  - m gives a $d \times d$ matrix with entries m_{ij} giving the number of migrations ($i \rightarrow j$) and $M = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} m_{ij}$ gives the total number of migration events
  - c gives a d-dimensional vector with entries c_i giving the number of coalescence events occuring in deme i
  - k_{ir} denotes the number of lineages in deme i at time t_r

Recursive log-likelihood

The recursive log-likelihood for the structured coalescent can be calculated by summing the contributions when each node is added to the phylo. Nodes can be added in one of three ways:

- A new leaf is added
- A coalescence occurs in deme i
- A migration occurs $i \rightarrow j$

Likelihood contributions

Total coalescence rate $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\theta_i}\binom{k_i}{2}$, Total migration rate $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} k_i \lambda_{ij}$ and Total event rate: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{M}$.

- New leaf added:
  - $L \leftarrow L + \log\left(1 - \mathcal{E}\exp\{-\mathcal{E}\delta\}\right)$ where δ is the time to next new leaf being added

- Coalescence event in deme i:

$$L \leftarrow L + \log(\mathbb{P}[\text{Coal}]\mathbb{P}[\text{Coal deme}]\mathbb{P}[\text{Coal pair}]f_T(t))$$

$$= L + \log\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\theta_i}\binom{k_i}{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{\theta_j}\binom{k_j}{2}} \cdot \binom{k_i}{2}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{E}\exp\{-\mathcal{E}t\}\right) = L - \mathcal{E}t - \log\theta_i$$

- Migration event $i \rightarrow j$:

$$L \leftarrow L + \log(\mathbb{P}[\text{Mig}]\mathbb{P}[\text{Mig origin}]\mathbb{P}[\text{Mig target}]\mathbb{P}[\text{Mig lineage}]f_T(t))$$

$$= L + \log\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \frac{k_i\sum_{\eta\neq i}\lambda_{i\eta}}{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{d}k_\alpha\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha\beta}} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\sum_{\gamma\neq i}\lambda_{i\gamma}} \cdot \frac{1}{k_i} \cdot \mathcal{E}\exp\{-\mathcal{E}t\}\right) = L - \mathcal{E}t + \log(\lambda_{ij})$$

Testing

Running 100 generated processes for the example dataset and
comparing the exact likelihood (Ewing, Nicholls, and Rodrigo 2004)
to the recursive likelihood. Maximum discrepancy over the 100
iterations was $1.2434498 \times 10^{-14}$.

```
N <- 100; likelihoods <- matrix(0,nrow = N,ncol = 2)

for (i in 1:N){
  phylo <- Structured.sim(struc.data, 1,1,2,migration.mat,
  likelihoods[i,1] <- phylo$log.likelihood
  likelihoods[i,2] <- structured.likelihood(phylo,1,1,migra
}

max(abs(likelihoods[,2] - likelihoods[,1]))
```

```
## [1] 1.24345e-14
```

Aim

Currently implementing a reversible-jump MCMC scheme to infer the migration events and migration rates for a fixed genealogy. Based on the work of:

- Drummond et al. (2002) who propose a reversible-jump MCMC scheme to simultaneously estimate the genealogy alongside the mutation rate and effective population size for a heterochronous coalescent model
- Ewing, Nicholls, and Rodrigo (2004) who develop this MCMC scheme to again simultaneously estimate the genealogy alongside the mutation rate, population size and migration rates for a structured coalescent model

Reversible Jump Moves

The selected reversible jump moves are those of Ewing, Nicholls, and Rodrigo (2004) which do not modify the (fixed) genealogy:

- Migration Birth/Death
- Migration Pair Birth/Death
- Coalescent Node Merge/Split

Migration Birth/Death

A migration birth/death move samples an ancestral node, r, uniformly at random and selects either a birth or death event with probability $\frac{1}{2}$,

- Birth event: Add one new migration node uniformly along the edge connecting r and parent(r), reselecting demes over the maximal connected subtree containing the edge $<r$, parent(r)$>$ containing no interior migration nodes
- Death event: If the parent(r) is a migration node, delete parent(r) and reselect demes over the maximal connect subtree containing the edge $<r$, parent$^2(r)>$ containing no interior migration nodes
- IMAGE OF MIGRATION BIRTH/DEATH???

Coalescent Process Simulation
0000000

Simulation Verification
00000

MCMC Update Scheme
00000

References
0

Migration Pair Birth/Death

A migration pair birth/death move samples an edge, e, uniformly at random and selects either a birth or death event with probability $\frac{1}{2}$,

- Pair birth event: A pair of migration nodes are each added uniformly along e, selecting a deme different to the deme of e
- Pair death event: If both terminal nodes of e are migration nodes and lie in the same deme, both nodes are deleted and the deme between them is updated

Coalescent Node Merge/Split

A coalescent node merge/split samples a coalescent node, c, uniformly at random and selects either a merge or split event with probability $\frac{1}{2}$,

- Merge event: If both children of c are migration nodes lying in the same deme, the two migration nodes are pulled through c and merged onto the parent edge of c
- Split event: If parent(c) is a migration node, the migration node is pulled through c and split onto both child edges

References

Drummond, Alexei J, Geoff K Nicholls, Allen G Rodrigo, and Wiremu Solomon. 2002. "Estimating Mutation Parameters, Population History and Genealogy Simultaneously from Temporally Spaced Sequence Data." *Genetics* 161 (3): 1307–20.

Ewing, Greg, Geoff Nicholls, and Allen Rodrigo. 2004. "Using Temporally Spaced Sequences to Simultaneously Estimate Migration Rates, Mutation Rate and Population Sizes in Measurably Evolving Populations." *Genetics* 168 (4): 2407–20.

Kingman, John Frank Charles. 1982. "The Coalescent." *Stochastic Processes and Their Applications* 13 (3): 235–48.