

1 Sphere sampling algorithm

Data: Configuration Space

Result: Spheres that cover most of the free space

$s_0 = \text{sampleSphere}(\text{randomly position})$;

$S = \{s_0\}$;

// Every two boundary points distance equals to δ

boundaryQueue = a queue that contains all boundary points of s_0 ;

while *boundaryQueue is not empty* **do**

 point = boundaryQueue.pop();

if *point in an sphere* **then**

 | continue;

else

$s_i = \text{sampleSphere}(\text{point})$;

if $\delta \leq s_i.\text{radius}$ **then**

 | $S = S \cup \{s_i\}$;

 | boundaryQueue.put(all boundary points of s_i);

end

end

end

Algorithm 1: Sphere sampling algorithm

2 Path searhing alogrithm

Data: spheres, start configuration, goal configuration

Result: a path that connects start and end configuratioin.(or fail)

openSet = priority queue containing start;

closedSet = empty set;

```
while openSet is not empty do
  current = remove lowest rank point from openSet;
  add current to closedSet;
  neighbors = getSuccessors( current );
  foreach neighbor in neighbors do
    if neighbor == goal then
      | set neighbors parent to current; backtrain( neighbor )
    else
      cost = g(current) + movementcost(current, neighbor);
      if neighbor in openSet and cost less than g(neighbor) then
        | remove neighbor from openSet; // because new path is better
      end
      if neighbor in closedSet and cost less than g(neighbor) then
        | remove neighbor from closedSet;
      end
      if neighbor not in openSet or closedSet then
        | set g(neighbor) to cost;
        | add neighbor to openSet;
        | set priority queue rank to g(neighbor) + h(neighbor);
        | set neighbors' parent to current;
      end
    end
  end
end
```

Algorithm 2: Search an Optimal Path

Data: point, goal

Result: successor points

sphere = get the sphere that contains point;

if *sphere contains goal* **then**

| return goal.

else

| return boundary points of sphere.

end

Algorithm 3: getSuccessors()

3 Finite number of spheres

As is shown in the algorithm, we get boundary points of an existing sphere by limiting the distance between every two points to be equal to δ . Then the algorithm samples new spheres centered at these points. So the distance between two spheres centers is always larger than or equal to δ .

The problem is equivalent to proof there could be finite number of points put in the space such that the distance between every two points is larger than or equal to δ .

Assume we partition the whole configuration space(including free space and obstacle space) into hyper-cubes. The length of every edge of these hyper-cubes is exactly δ . As long as the configuration space has finite volume, the number of hyper-cubes is finite. Assume the number of hyper-cubes is N , the number of hyper-cubes that is total or partly in free space is less than N . Thus the number of spheres is finite, and less than N .

So the algorithm will always converge.

4 Path quality

Assuming the path maintains δ clearance, the worst path found by our algorithm is this: the optimal path is in a chain of spheres with radius δ . The point our algorithm chooses to use is $\delta / 2$ away from the actual point the path is going through.

Then the chosen path is 2 times of length of the actual optimal path.

????????? SO BAD ????????????

5 Inaccurate matrix

Suppose we have an inaccurate matrix which gives us the distance to obstacle: $\text{dist}[i] = \text{accurate_dist}[i]/c[i]$, where $1 \leq c[i] \leq \text{upper_bound}$. When sampling at a point near the obstacle, $\text{dist}[i] = \text{accurate_dist}[i]/c[i] = \delta$ the algorithm will stop sampling at that point. So the farthest distance from spheres boundary to obstacles is $\delta * \text{upper_bound}$.

if the optimal has $\delta * \text{upper_bound}$ clearance. The algorithm can still find a path.