### assignment-1-brandenburg

March 22, 2024

#

#### DATA SCIENCE 2: ASSIGNMENT 1

###

Ian Brandenburg (2304791)

#### GitHub Repo

The assignment is aimed at developing a predictive model that minimizes the loss in order to predict real estate prices in New Taipei City as accurately as possible. The project creates a linear model, multi-linear model, random forest model, and gradient boosted random forest model. Furthermore, feature engineering was conducted to transform some of the variables through squares, interations, and interacting the squared variables.

#### 0.0.1 Import Libraries

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
   import numpy as np
   from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
   from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
   from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
   from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
   from xgboost import XGBRegressor

import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

#### 0.0.2 Import Data

We only are looking at 20% of the dataset.

```
# sectioning off 20% of the data into `real_estate_sample`, and setting the random_state to prng
real_estate_sample = real_estate_data.sample(frac=0.2, random_state = prng)
```

#### 0.0.3 Set X (features) and Y (outcome) variables for predictive modelling

Setting the outcome to the house\_price\_of\_unit\_area variable, since this is what we are trying to predict

Set features to: house\_age, distance\_to\_the\_nearest\_MRT\_station, number\_of\_convenience\_stores, latitude, longitude

Split test and train, with the test size being 30%

```
[3]: real_estate_sample.head()
```

```
[3]:
               transaction_date
                                  house_age distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station \
           id
     372 373
                       2013.000
                                       33.9
                                                                         157.6052
                                                                        2175.0300
     5
            6
                       2012.667
                                        7.1
     263
         264
                       2013.417
                                        3.9
                                                                        2147.3760
     345 346
                                        0.0
                       2012.667
                                                                         185.4296
     245 246
                       2013.417
                                        7.5
                                                                         639.6198
```

```
Size of the training set: (58, 3), size of the test set: (25, 3)
```

The size of the training and test set will work for constructing the models and premptively working on them, but will need to be later tested on the full dataset. This is acting like a validation set.

0.0.4 1) Think about an appropriate loss function you can use to evaluate your predictive models. What is the risk (from a business perspective) that you would have to take by making a wrong prediction?

The Loss Function This loss function is appropriate, including in a business context or the context of predicting real estate. This function can handle high value predictions, which can be important in price prediction. Unlike Mean Squared Error (MSE), RMSLE can handle asymmetry in prediction errors by comparing the log of predicted values with the log of actual values. Additionally, this function avoids negative predictions (np.where(prediction < 0, 0, prediction)) here, which will allow for the results to remain interpretable. A negative price prediction would not make sense. The two primary business risks include underestimation and overestimation. Underestimating could cause a loss in revenue, due to setting prices too low, while overestimating could lead to properties not getting purchased or rented due to them being overpriced. Either way, there would be a loss in revenue.

0.0.5 2) Build a simple benchmark model and evaluate its performance on the holdout set (using your chosen loss function).

```
[7]: # collecting results into the results_df, so that it is repeatable throughout__
the code

result_columns = ["Model", "Train", "Test"]

results_df = pd.DataFrame([benchmark_result], columns=result_columns)

results_df
```

```
[7]: Model Train Test
0 Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
```

Here, the bench mark model was created based on the mean of the y variable in the training set. This is a very naive model, and not very accurate. There are definitely improvements that can be made. Additionally, the training and test RMSLE scores are not very close to each other.

## 0.0.6 3) Build a simple linear regression model using a chosen feature and evaluate its performance. Would you launch your evaluator web app using this model?

```
[8]: # building and fitting the simple linear regression model
     lin reg = LinearRegression().
      ofit(X_train[["distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station"]], y_train)
     # calculating the predictions on training and testing sets
     train_predictions = lin_reg.
      →predict(X_train[["distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station"]])
     test predictions = lin reg.
      →predict(X_test[["distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station"]])
     # calculating the RMSLE for the training and testing sets
     model_train_rmsle = calculateRMSLE(train_predictions, y_train)
     model_test_rmsle = calculateRMSLE(test_predictions, y_test)
     # preparing the model's results
     model_result = pd.DataFrame([["Simple Linear Regression", model_train_rmsle,_
      →model_test_rmsle]],
                                 columns=["Model", "Train", "Test"])
     # appending model_result to the existing results_df
     results_df = pd.concat([results_df, model_result], ignore_index=True)
     results df
```

```
[8]: Model Train Test
0 Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
1 Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
```

The simple linear regression was run using the distance\_to\_the\_nearest\_MRT\_station variable. This model did significantly improved the RMSLE scores to 0.2305 in the test set. However, it is too naive and basic to be considered for evaluating an app, and thus should not be used. This model can be further improved.

0.0.7 4) Build a multivariate linear model with all the meaningful variables available. Did it improve the predictive power?

```
[9]: # reset features
    features = ['house_age', 'distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station',_
     # building and training the model
    lin_reg_multi = LinearRegression()
    lin_reg_multi.fit(X_train[features], y_train)
    # calculating the predictions on training and testing sets
    train_predictions_multi = lin_reg_multi.predict(X_train[features])
    test_predictions_multi = lin_reg_multi.predict(X_test[features])
    # calculating the RMSLE for the training and testing sets
    model train rmsle multi = calculateRMSLE(train predictions multi, y train)
    model_test_rmsle_multi = calculateRMSLE(test_predictions_multi, y_test)
    # preparing the model's results
    model_result_multi = pd.DataFrame([["Multivariate Linear Regression",__
     columns=["Model", "Train", "Test"])
    # appending the model result to the existing results df
    results_df = pd.concat([results_df, model_result_multi], ignore_index=True)
    results_df
```

```
[9]: Model Train Test
0 Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
1 Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
2 Multivariate Linear Regression 0.1993 0.2317
```

The multivatiate linear regression did not improve the loss score by very much, and furthered the gap between the train and test set scores as compared to the simple linear regression. However, these scores could still be improved, since three features is not very many in accurately predicting. Additionally, this could still yield over or underestimating prices with a large error margin.

## 0.0.8 5) Try to make your model (even) better. Document your process and its success while taking two approaches:

- 1. Feature engineering e.g. including squares and interactions or making sense of latitude & longitude by calculating the distance from the city center, etc.
- 2. Training more flexible models e.g. random forest or gradient boosting

#### Feature Engineering

```
[10]: # squared terms
      real_estate_sample['house_age_squared'] = real_estate_sample['house_age'] ** 2
      real_estate_sample['distance to the nearest_MRT station squared'] = __
       →real_estate_sample['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station'] ** 2
      real_estate_sample['number_of_convenience_stores_squared'] =__
       Greal_estate_sample['number_of_convenience_stores'] ** 2
      # interaction terms
      real_estate_sample['age_x_distance'] = real_estate_sample['house_age'] *__
       Greal_estate_sample['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station']
      real_estate_sample['age_x_stores'] = real_estate_sample['house_age'] *__
       →real_estate_sample['number_of_convenience_stores']
      real_estate_sample['distance_x_stores'] =__
       Greal_estate_sample['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station'] *□
       Greal_estate_sample['number_of_convenience_stores']
      # interactions between squared terms
      real_estate_sample['age_squared_x_distance_squared'] =__
       →real_estate_sample['house_age_squared'] *_
       Greal estate sample['distance to the nearest MRT station squared']
      real_estate_sample['age_squared_x_stores_squared'] =__
       →real_estate_sample['house_age_squared'] *_
       →real_estate_sample['number_of_convenience_stores_squared']
      real estate sample['distance squared x stores squared'] = []
       \negreal_estate_sample['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared'] *\sqcup
       Greal_estate_sample['number_of_convenience_stores_squared']
      real_estate_sample.head()
[10]:
            id transaction_date
                                  house_age distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station \
      372 373
                        2013.000
                                       33.9
                                                                         157.6052
      5
            6
                        2012.667
                                        7.1
                                                                        2175.0300
      263 264
                        2013.417
                                        3.9
                                                                        2147.3760
      345 346
                                        0.0
                                                                         185.4296
                        2012.667
                                        7.5
      245 246
                        2013.417
                                                                         639.6198
           number_of_convenience_stores latitude longitude \
      372
                                      7 24.96628 121.54196
      5
                                      3 24.96305 121.51254
      263
                                      3 24.96299 121.51284
      345
                                      0 24.97110 121.53170
      245
                                      5 24.97258 121.54814
           house_price_of_unit_area house_age_squared \
      372
                               41.5
                                               1149.21
      5
                               32.1
                                                 50.41
```

```
263
                          31.7
                                             15.21
345
                          37.9
                                              0.00
245
                          40.8
                                             56.25
     distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared
372
                                      2.483940e+04
5
                                      4.730756e+06
263
                                      4.611224e+06
345
                                      3.438414e+04
245
                                      4.091135e+05
     number_of_convenience_stores_squared
                                             age_x_distance
                                                              age_x_stores \
372
                                         49
                                                  5342.81628
                                                                      237.3
5
                                          9
                                                 15442.71300
                                                                       21.3
263
                                          9
                                                  8374.76640
                                                                       11.7
345
                                          0
                                                     0.00000
                                                                        0.0
245
                                         25
                                                  4797.14850
                                                                       37.5
     distance_x_stores
                         age_squared_x_distance_squared
372
             1103.2364
                                            2.854569e+07
             6525.0900
                                            2.384774e+08
5
263
             6442.1280
                                            7.013671e+07
345
                 0.0000
                                            0.000000e+00
245
             3198.0990
                                            2.301263e+07
     age_squared_x_stores_squared distance_squared_x_stores_squared
                          56311.29
372
                                                           1.217131e+06
5
                            453.69
                                                           4.257680e+07
263
                            136.89
                                                           4.150101e+07
345
                              0.00
                                                           0.000000e+00
245
                           1406.25
                                                           1.022784e+07
```

Feature engineering was conducted in order to add more features to be analyzed and considering in the upcoming flexible models.

- Squared Terms: Each of the three main variables looked at in the multivariate model were squared.
- *Interaction terms*: Each of the three variables were interacted to identify possible interaction associations.
- Interactions between Squared Terms: Each of the squared terms were interacted to get a greater indepth perspective.

#### Distance from City Center using Lat/Long

```
[11]: # coordinates of New Taipei City center
city_center_lat = 25.0143
city_center_lon = 121.4672
```

```
def haversine(lat1, lon1, lat2, lon2):
          # radius of the Earth in kilometers
          R = 6371.0
          # convert latitude and longitude from degrees to radians
          lat1_rad = np.radians(lat1)
          lon1_rad = np.radians(lon1)
          lat2 rad = np.radians(lat2)
          lon2_rad = np.radians(lon2)
          # computer differences in coordinates
          dlat = lat2 rad - lat1 rad
          dlon = lon2_rad - lon1_rad
          # apply the Haversine formula
          a = np.sin(dlat / 2)**2 + np.cos(lat1_rad) * np.cos(lat2_rad) * np.sin(dlon_
       4/2)**2
          c = 2 * np.arctan2(np.sqrt(a), np.sqrt(1 - a))
          distance = R * c
          return distance
      # calculating the the distance for each property
      real_estate_sample['distance_to_city_center'] = real_estate_sample.apply(
          lambda row: haversine(row['latitude'], row['longitude'], city_center_lat,__
       ⇔city_center_lon), axis=1)
      real_estate_sample.head()
[11]:
           id
               transaction_date house age distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station \
      372 373
                        2013.000
                                       33.9
                                                                        157.6052
      5
           6
                        2012.667
                                        7.1
                                                                       2175.0300
                                        3.9
      263 264
                                                                       2147.3760
                        2013.417
                                        0.0
      345 346
                        2012.667
                                                                        185.4296
      245 246
                        2013.417
                                        7.5
                                                                        639.6198
          number_of_convenience_stores latitude longitude \
      372
                                      7 24.96628 121.54196
      5
                                      3 24.96305 121.51254
                                      3 24.96299 121.51284
      263
      345
                                      0 24.97110 121.53170
      245
                                        24.97258 121.54814
          house_price_of_unit_area house_age_squared \
      372
                               41.5
                                               1149.21
      5
                               32.1
                                                 50.41
      263
                               31.7
                                                 15.21
      345
                               37.9
                                                  0.00
      245
                               40.8
                                                 56.25
           distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared \
      372
                                          2.483940e+04
```

```
5
                                      4.730756e+06
263
                                      4.611224e+06
345
                                      3.438414e+04
245
                                      4.091135e+05
     number_of_convenience_stores_squared
                                             age_x_distance
                                                              age_x_stores \
372
                                                 5342.81628
                                                                      237.3
                                         49
5
                                          9
                                                15442.71300
                                                                       21.3
263
                                          9
                                                 8374.76640
                                                                       11.7
345
                                          0
                                                    0.00000
                                                                       0.0
245
                                                 4797.14850
                                                                       37.5
                                         25
                         age_squared_x_distance_squared \
     distance_x_stores
372
             1103.2364
                                            2.854569e+07
5
             6525.0900
                                            2.384774e+08
263
             6442.1280
                                            7.013671e+07
                                            0.000000e+00
345
                0.0000
245
             3198.0990
                                            2.301263e+07
     age_squared_x_stores_squared distance_squared_x_stores_squared
372
                          56311.29
                                                           1.217131e+06
5
                            453.69
                                                           4.257680e+07
263
                            136.89
                                                           4.150101e+07
345
                                                           0.000000e+00
                              0.00
245
                           1406.25
                                                           1.022784e+07
     distance_to_city_center
372
                     9.234846
5
                     7.304606
263
                     7.328752
345
                     8.082769
245
                     9.384164
```

The function above, using the assistance of chatgpt, calculates the distances from the city center of Taipei New City by utilizing the latitude and longitude values. It appends a new column named distance\_to\_city\_center to the real\_esate\_sample. This allows us to use this variable in the predictive models.

#### Random Forest Model

```
'age_squared_x_distance_squared', 'age_squared_x_stores_squared',⊔

    distance_squared_x_stores_squared',
            'distance_to_city_center']
outcome = 'house price of unit area'
# splitting the data into training and testing sets
features fe = real estate sample[features]
outcome_fe = real_estate_sample[outcome]
X_train_fe, X_test_fe, y_train_fe, y_test_fe = train_test_split(features_fe, u
 →outcome_fe, test_size=0.3, random_state=prng)
# defining the pipeline for the random forest
pipe_rf = Pipeline([
    ("random_forest", RandomForestRegressor(random_state=prng))
1)
# fitting the model on the training data
pipe_rf.fit(X_train_fe, y_train_fe)
# calculating the RMSLE on the prediction
train_error = calculateRMSLE(pipe rf.predict(X_train_fe), y_train_fe)
test_error = calculateRMSLE(pipe_rf.predict(X_test_fe), y_test_fe)
# preparing the the model's results and concatinating to results df
model_result_rf = pd.DataFrame([["FE Random Forest", train_error, test_error]],
                               columns=["Model", "Train", "Test"])
results df = pd.concat([results df, model result rf], ignore index=True)
results df
```

```
[12]: Model Train Test
0 Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
1 Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
2 Multivariate Linear Regression 0.1993 0.2317
3 FE Random Forest 0.0815 0.1449
```

Here, the feature engineered random forest shows to be performing better in the training set, but the test sample is not performing much better. Nevertheless, the FE Random Forest test RMSLE score is better than the multivariate linear regression, impliying the possibility of some of the feature engineered variables adding mor explanation of the variance in the price variable. With a large gap between the train and test scores, this suggests an overfitting, possibly due to the small number of observations in the training and test sets and a large number of features.

#### Gradient Boosted RF Model

```
# fitting the model on the training data
pipe_xgb.fit(X_train_fe, y_train_fe)

# calculating the RMSLE on the prediction
train_error_xgb = calculateRMSLE(pipe_xgb.predict(X_train_fe), y_train_fe)
test_error_xgb = calculateRMSLE(pipe_xgb.predict(X_test_fe), y_test_fe)

# preparing and concatinating the model's results to results_df
model_result_xgb = pd.DataFrame([["FE Gradient Boosted RF", train_error_xgb,_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text_\text
```

```
[13]: Model Train Test
0 Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
1 Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
2 Multivariate Linear Regression 0.1993 0.2317
3 FE Random Forest 0.0815 0.1449
4 FE Gradient Boosted RF 0.0195 0.1890
```

The feature engineered gradient boosted random forest does not seem like it's doing better, but with further investigation, it seems to be overfitting as a result of the difference between the training and test RMSLE scores. The RMSLE score on the test set is actually higher in the gradient boosted model compared to the random forest model, with 0.1890 in the gradient boosted model and a 0.1449 in the random forest model.

## 0.0.9 6) Would you launch your web app now? What options you might have to further improve the prediction performance?

I would not launch my web app now, because the data seems to be overfitting. To further improve, increasing the number of observations should help minimzing the overfitting. This could be done through collecting more observations, or potentially boost strapping. The issue with boostrapping here is that there are very few observations, which might not be representative of the entire dataset. So, collecting more observations would be ideal. Additionally, adjusting the hyperparameters of the models could further strengthen the models.

# 0.0.10 7) Rerun three of your previous models (including both flexible and less flexible ones) on the full train set. Ensure that your test result remains comparable by keeping that dataset intact.

```
Size of the full training set: (389, 8)
```

Here, the full dataset is added on, excluding the X test set. This will allow us to see the improvement of the models when more data is added.

The full dataset was used as a training set, and the X and Y variables are set manually above the same as they were for the multilinear regression from before.

#### **Multivariate Linear Regression**

```
[16]: # initializing the linear regression model
      lin_reg_multi_full = LinearRegression()
      # building and fitting the simple linear regression model
      lin_reg_multi_full.fit(X_full_train, y_full_train)
      # calculating predictions on the full training set and the original test set
      train_predictions_full = lin_reg_multi_full.predict(X_full_train)
      test_predictions_full = lin_reg_multi_full.predict(X_test)
      # calculating the RMSLE for the full training set and original test set
      model train rmsle full = calculateRMSLE(train predictions full, y full train)
      model_test_rmsle_full = calculateRMSLE(test_predictions_full, y_test)
      # preparing the model's results
      model_result_full = pd.DataFrame([["Multivariate Linear Regression (FULL)",__
       →model_train_rmsle_full, model_test_rmsle_full]],
                                  columns=["Model", "Train", "Test"])
      # concatinating model result to results df
      results_df = pd.concat([results_df, model_result_full], ignore_index=True)
      results df
```

```
[16]:
                                       Model
                                               Train
                                                        Test
                                   Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
     0
                     Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
     1
               Multivariate Linear Regression 0.1993 0.2317
     2
     3
                             FE Random Forest 0.0815 0.1449
     4
                       FE Gradient Boosted RF 0.0195 0.1890
        Multivariate Linear Regression (FULL)
                                              0.2639 0.2152
```

The multivariate linear regression run on the original test set using the full dataset did not see a significant improvement. There is a separation between the training and test RMSLE values, with the training set being larger than the tests. The test set RMSLE value did decrease by a few, which

could suggest that the addition in data did help a small amount.

**Feature Engineering** Feature engineering was conducted again on the full dataset. This was done so that we can see the benefit of adding more data on the feature engineered models.

```
[17]: # squared terms
      real_estate_full['house_age_squared'] = real_estate_full['house_age'] ** 2
      real_estate_full['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared'] =__

¬real_estate_full['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station'] ** 2
      real_estate_full['number_of_convenience_stores_squared'] =__
       Greal_estate_full['number_of_convenience_stores'] ** 2
      # interaction terms
      real estate full['age x distance'] = real estate full['house age'] * |
       →real_estate_full['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station']
      real estate full['age x stores'] = real estate sample['house age'] *,,
       →real_estate_full['number_of_convenience_stores']
      real estate full['distance x stores'] = []
       →real_estate_full['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station'] *_
       →real_estate_full['number_of_convenience_stores']
      # interactions between squared terms
      real_estate_full['age_squared_x_distance_squared'] =__
       →real_estate_full['house_age_squared'] *__
       →real_estate_full['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared']
      real_estate_full['age_squared_x_stores_squared'] =__
       →real_estate_full['house_age_squared'] *_
       →real_estate_full['number_of_convenience_stores_squared']
      real_estate_full['distance_squared_x_stores_squared'] =__

¬real_estate_full['distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared'] *
□
       →real_estate_full['number_of_convenience_stores_squared']
      real_estate_full.head()
「17]:
         id transaction_date house_age distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station \
                                                                      84.87882
         1
                     2012.917
                                    32.0
         2
                                    19.5
                                                                     306.59470
      1
                     2012.917
      2
          3
                     2013.583
                                    13.3
                                                                     561.98450
          4
```

```
3
              2013.500
                             13.3
                                                             561.98450
5
   6
              2012.667
                              7.1
                                                            2175.03000
  number_of_convenience_stores
                                latitude longitude \
0
                            10 24.98298 121.54024
1
                             9 24.98034 121.53951
2
                             5 24.98746 121.54391
3
                             5 24.98746 121.54391
5
                             3 24.96305 121.51254
```

```
house_price_of_unit_area house_age_squared
0
                        37.9
                                         1024.00
                        42.2
                                          380.25
1
2
                        47.3
                                          176.89
3
                        54.8
                                          176.89
5
                        32.1
                                           50.41
   distance to the nearest MRT station squared
0
                                    7.204414e+03
1
                                    9.400031e+04
2
                                    3.158266e+05
3
                                    3.158266e+05
5
                                    4.730756e+06
   number_of_convenience_stores_squared
                                          age_x_distance
                                                            age_x_stores
0
                                      100
                                                2716.12224
                                                                      NaN
1
                                       81
                                                5978.59665
                                                                      NaN
2
                                       25
                                               7474.39385
                                                                      NaN
3
                                       25
                                                7474.39385
                                                                      NaN
5
                                               15442.71300
                                                                     21.3
                       age_squared_x_distance_squared
   distance_x_stores
0
            848.7882
                                          7.377320e+06
1
           2759.3523
                                          3.574362e+07
2
           2809.9225
                                          5.586656e+07
           2809.9225
                                          5.586656e+07
5
           6525.0900
                                          2.384774e+08
   age_squared_x_stores_squared
                                   distance_squared_x_stores_squared
0
                       102400.00
                                                         7.204414e+05
1
                        30800.25
                                                         7.614025e+06
2
                         4422.25
                                                         7.895664e+06
3
                         4422.25
                                                         7.895664e+06
                          453.69
                                                         4.257680e+07
```

The distance from the center using the latitude and longitude is calculated again for the full dataset.

```
[18]: # calculating the distance for each property
real_estate_full['distance_to_city_center'] = real_estate_full.apply(
    lambda row: haversine(row['latitude'], row['longitude'], city_center_lat,
    city_center_lon), axis=1)
real_estate_full.head()
```

```
[18]: id transaction_date house_age distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station \
0 1 2012.917 32.0 84.87882
1 2 2012.917 19.5 306.59470
```

```
13.3
2
    3
                2013.583
                                                                 561.98450
3
    4
                2013.500
                               13.3
                                                                 561.98450
5
    6
                2012.667
                                7.1
                                                                2175.03000
   number_of_convenience_stores
                                   latitude
                                            longitude
0
                                   24.98298
                                             121.54024
                               10
1
                                9
                                   24.98034
                                            121.53951
2
                                5
                                  24.98746
                                            121.54391
3
                                   24.98746
                                            121.54391
                                5
5
                                   24.96305
                                             121.51254
   house_price_of_unit_area house_age_squared
0
                        37.9
                        42.2
                                          380.25
1
2
                        47.3
                                          176.89
3
                                          176.89
                        54.8
5
                        32.1
                                           50.41
   distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station_squared
0
                                    7.204414e+03
1
                                    9.400031e+04
2
                                    3.158266e+05
3
                                    3.158266e+05
5
                                    4.730756e+06
   number_of_convenience_stores_squared
                                           age_x_distance
                                                            age x stores
0
                                               2716.12224
                                                                      NaN
                                      100
1
                                       81
                                               5978.59665
                                                                      NaN
2
                                               7474.39385
                                                                      NaN
                                       25
3
                                       25
                                               7474.39385
                                                                     NaN
5
                                        9
                                              15442.71300
                                                                     21.3
                       age_squared_x_distance_squared
   distance_x_stores
0
            848.7882
                                          7.377320e+06
           2759.3523
1
                                          3.574362e+07
2
           2809.9225
                                          5.586656e+07
3
           2809.9225
                                          5.586656e+07
5
           6525.0900
                                          2.384774e+08
   age_squared_x_stores_squared
                                   distance_squared_x_stores_squared
0
                       102400.00
                                                         7.204414e+05
                        30800.25
                                                         7.614025e+06
1
2
                         4422.25
                                                         7.895664e+06
3
                         4422.25
                                                         7.895664e+06
5
                                                         4.257680e+07
                          453.69
```

distance\_to\_city\_center

```
1
                      8.207602
     2
                      8.286630
     3
                      8.286630
     5
                      7.304606
[19]: # setting the training values using the full dataset and engineered features
     X_full_train_fe = real_estate_full[['house_age',_
      distance_to_the_nearest_MRT_station', 'number_of_convenience_stores',
                 'latitude', 'longitude', 'house_age_squared', u
      'number_of_convenience_stores_squared', 'age_x_distance', _

¬'age_x_stores', 'distance_x_stores',
                 'age_squared_x_distance_squared', 'age_squared_x_stores_squared',

    distance_squared_x_stores_squared',
                 'distance_to_city_center']]
     y_full_train_fe = real_estate_full['house_price_of_unit_area']
```

#### Random Forest Model with the Feature Engineered Full Dataset

8.143117

0

```
[20]: Model Train Test
0 Benchmark 0.3434 0.3221
1 Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
2 Multivariate Linear Regression 0.1993 0.2317
3 FE Random Forest 0.0815 0.1449
4 FE Gradient Boosted RF 0.0195 0.1890
5 Multivariate Linear Regression (FULL) 0.2639 0.2152
```

The feature engineered random forest modul using the full training set suggests an improvement in the predictive modelling, both compared to the random forest model conducted on 20% of the dataset, as well as the multivariate linear regression run on the full dataset. The RMSLE scores on the test set are more similar to each other, and are the lowest seen so far for the test set. This implies that the addition of data improved the model. The gradient boosting will be run to compare to the random forest and see if there is further improvement.

#### Gradient Boosted Random Forest with the Feature Engineered Full Training Set

```
[21]: # defining the pipeline with XGBRegressor
      pipe xgb = Pipeline([
          ("gradient_boosting", XGBRegressor(random_state=prng))
      1)
      # fitting the model on the training data
      pipe_xgb.fit(X_full_train_fe, y_full_train_fe)
      # calculating the RMSLE on the predictions
      train_error xgb = calculateRMSLE(pipe_xgb.predict(X_full_train_fe),__

y_full_train_fe)

      test_error_xgb = calculateRMSLE(pipe_xgb.predict(X_test_fe), y_test_fe)
      # preparing and concatinating the model's results to results_df
      model_result_xgb = pd.DataFrame([["FE Gradient Boosted RF (FULL)",__

→train_error_xgb, test_error_xgb]],
                                      columns=["Model", "Train", "Test"])
      results_df = pd.concat([results_df, model_result_xgb], ignore_index=True)
      results df
```

```
[21]:
                                         Model
                                                 Train
                                                           Test
      0
                                     Benchmark 0.3434
                                                        0.3221
                      Simple Linear Regression 0.2250 0.2305
      1
      2
                Multivariate Linear Regression 0.1993
                                                        0.2317
      3
                              FE Random Forest 0.0815
                                                        0.1449
      4
                        FE Gradient Boosted RF 0.0195
                                                        0.1890
      5
        Multivariate Linear Regression (FULL)
                                                0.2639
                                                        0.2152
      6
                       FE Random Forest (FULL)
                                                0.0811
                                                        0.1100
      7
                 FE Gradient Boosted RF (FULL)
                                                0.0272
                                                        0.1081
```

Here, the feature engineered gradient boosted random forest using the full training data shows to have a better test score than the random forest run on the full training set. However, with the difference between the training and test set RMSLE in the gradient boosted model, it suggests that there is potentially some overfitting occurring. Nevertheless, there is significant improvement in the the gradient boosted model using the full training data as compared to using 20% of the data. This suggests that the additional data improves the models.

Did it improve the predictive power of your models? The addition of data did improve the predictive power of my models, which was seen mostly in the gradient boosting and random forest models. It was not seen as strongly in the multivariate linear regression, likely due to the small number of features included in this model.

Where do you observe the biggest improvement? The most significant improvment in RMSLE score from the 20% dataset model to the full dataset model was the gradient boosting model, which was initially at a 0.1890 RMSLE score and went down to 0.1081 once the additional data was added in. However, this might not be the best model due to the significant difference between the training and test set RMSLE in the gradient boosting. So, the ideal model to go with at this point would be the Random Forest model on the feature engineered full data set. This is because it has nearly the same RMSLE score as compared to the gradient boosting, but a more comparable training RMSLE.

Would you launch your web app now? There would still be some risk in launching the app now, because of the RMSLE of the ideal model in the test set being 0.11. This could result in over-or underestimating the prices of real estate in New Taipei City. Furthermore, more data may need to be collected to better fit the models for an app launch and create more accurate predictions. The addition of data clearly improved the models perdictive capabilities, so furthering this would enhance the effectiveness of an app. So no, I would not launch the web app now, I would collect more data first.