

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain of our interest, and $\partial\Omega$ its boundary. In this work, our aim is to describe a numerical approximation of the scalar conservation law, also known as the continuity equation

$$\phi_t + \nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\phi = \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the conserved scalar quantity, $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$. For simplicity, we consider velocity fields $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ that are functions of the position only. Initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions are given

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \phi^0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \quad (2)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}_b, t) = \phi_b(t), \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3)$$

0.0.1 Discretization of the domain

In case of a complex computational domain, it is often necessary to use unstructured polyhedral mesh. The finite volume method (FVM) is well suited for space-discretization of arbitrary shape. In FVM, the unknowns are the cell averages. A particular finite volume scheme is defined by its approximation of the fluxes at cell faces. Let p be a finite volume cell. We discretize the domain Ω by open non-overlapping polyhedral sets $\Omega_p \subset \Omega$. Let $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{I}} \bar{\Omega}_p \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a computational domain, where an open set Ω_p with the non-zero volume $|\Omega_p|$ is a cell in discretized domain and \mathcal{I} is the set of cell indices, $p \in \mathcal{I}$.

A distorted cell means that the cell has a nonplanar face, which usually exists when there is a complex computation boundary. It is an unrealistic assumption that all faces of $|\Omega_p|$ for all $p \in \mathcal{I}$ are of a planar shape in a case of industrial problems.

Whenever a face is not triangle, the face is tessellated into triangles; from a given center of the face, two consecutive vertices of the face are selected to construct one triangle. A center \mathbf{x}^* of face whose vertices are $\mathbf{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ is usually given by the area average. To make it simple, using a cyclic notation $\mathbf{x}_{r+1} = \mathbf{x}_1$, the area average face center is computed by

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r |\Delta_i| \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^r |\Delta_i|} \quad (4)$$

where $|\Delta_i|$ is the area of Δ_i , $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is the center of mass on Δ_i , and Δ_i is a triangle of $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}$, and $\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbf{x}_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then, the i -th tessellated triangle at the

face is defined by three points: $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}$, and \mathbf{x}^* . The index set \mathcal{F} denotes all triangles e_f , $f \in \mathcal{F}$ tessellated from a face or a triangle face of Ω_p for all $p \in \mathcal{I}$. We denote \mathbf{x}_f as the center of triangle e_f .

To indicate the neighbor cells of Ω_p we consider only the cells whose face is shared:

$$\mathcal{N}_p = \{q \in \mathcal{I} : \text{there exists a face } e_f \subset \partial\Omega_q \cap \partial\Omega_p, f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

The faces of Ω_p are indicated by two sets:

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : e_f \subset \partial\Omega_p\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : e_f \subset \partial\Omega_p \cap \partial\Omega\}.$$

If Ω_p is a cell whose all faces are not overlapped to $\partial\Omega$, then we call the cell as an internal cell and $\mathcal{B}_p = \emptyset$. Otherwise, we call the cell as a boundary cell. Similarly, an internal face e_f , $f \in \mathcal{F}$, means that the face is not overlapped to $\partial\Omega$ and a boundary face e_b , $b \in \mathcal{F}$, is a part of $\partial\Omega$. Throughout the rest of paper, the subscript b indicates the face index whose face is a boundary face. When a quantity is defined on a face e_f , and it depends on a cell sharing the face, the cell index should be explicitly indicated at the first subscript and the face index at the second subscript. For instance, for a face e_f $f \in \mathcal{F}_p$, the outward normal vector to the face is indicated by \mathbf{n}_{pf} . Note that we also use the length of the normal vector as the area of the face, that is, $|\mathbf{n}_{pf}| = |e_f|$. If a face e_f is an internal face for $f \in \mathcal{F}_p$, there exists a cell Ω_q , $q \in \mathcal{I}$, such that $e_f \subset \partial\Omega_p \cap \Omega_q$. Then, clearly, $\mathbf{n}_{pf} = -\mathbf{n}_{qf}$. Whenever a directional vector is denoted, we use a notation \mathbf{d} with relevant indices:

$$\mathbf{d}_{pq} = \mathbf{x}_q - \mathbf{x}_p, \quad p, q \in \mathcal{I}.$$

0.0.2 Numerical scheme

We integrate (1) in space and time

$$\int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_{\Omega_p} \phi_t + \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{u}) = 0. \quad (5)$$

In the first term we change the order of integration and apply the Newton-Leibniz theorem for the time integration

$$\int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \int_{\Omega_p} \phi_t = \int_{\Omega_p} \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \phi_t = \int_{\Omega_p} (\phi^n(\mathbf{x}) - \phi^{n-1}(\mathbf{x})) = |\Omega_p|(\bar{\phi}_p^n - \bar{\phi}_p^{n-1}),$$

where we denote $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t^n) = \phi^n(\mathbf{x})$, and, $\bar{\phi}_p^n$ is the cell average at time t^n such that

$$\bar{\phi}_p^n = \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} \phi^n(\mathbf{x}). \quad (6)$$

Next we apply the divergence theorem to the volume integral

$$\int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\partial\Omega_p} (\phi \mathbf{u}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_p}{|\mathbf{n}_p|} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \int_{e_f} (\phi \mathbf{u}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_{pf}}{|\mathbf{n}_{pf}|},$$

where \mathbf{n}_{pf} is an outward facing normal vector of the face e_f . Thus, we can rewrite the integral form of the conservation law (5) as

$$|\Omega_p|(\bar{\phi}_p^n - \bar{\phi}_p^{n-1}) + \int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \int_{e_f} (\phi \mathbf{u}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_{pf}}{|\mathbf{n}_{pf}|} = 0, \quad (7)$$

which is still exact for a finite volume cell p .

Throughout this text, we will approximate the cell average with the cell center value

$$\bar{\phi}_p^n \approx \phi_p^n.$$

In order to show that this is a second order approximation, we describe the spatial variation of ϕ within an element via Taylor series expansion around the cell center \mathbf{x}_p as

$$\phi^n(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p) + \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p|^2). \quad (8)$$

We substitute (8) to (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}_p^n &= \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} \phi^n(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} [\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p) + \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p|^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} \phi_p^n + \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p) + \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p|^2) \\ &= \phi_p^n + \frac{1}{|\Omega_p|} \int_{\Omega_p} \mathcal{O}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The second integral equals to 0, since \mathbf{x}_p is the cell center. For more details, see, e.g., [13, 6].

We approximate the expression under the integral with the face center values

$$\int_{e_f} (\phi \mathbf{u}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_{pf}}{|\mathbf{n}_{pf}|} \approx \int_{e_f} \phi(\mathbf{x}_{pf}, t) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{pf}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_{pf}}{|\mathbf{n}_{pf}|} = \phi(\mathbf{x}_{pf}, t) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{pf}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{pf},$$

since we defined the normal vector such that $|\mathbf{n}_{pf}| = |e_f|$. Similarly to (9), it can be shown that this is a second order approximation of the face integral. See, e.g., [13, 6].

We denote the volumetric flux as

$$a_{pf} \equiv \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{pf}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{pf}.$$

Thus, we end up with the approximation of the divergence term

$$\int_{\Omega_p} \nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{u}) \approx \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \phi(\mathbf{x}_{pf}, t) a_{pf}.$$

In order to achieve second order in time, we approximate the time integral of the fluxes using the midpoint rule

$$\int_{t^{n-1}}^{t^n} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \phi(\mathbf{x}_{pf}, t) a_{pf} \approx \Delta t \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \phi(\mathbf{x}_{pf}, t^{n-1/2}) a_{pf} = \Delta t \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} a_{pf},$$

where $\Delta t = t^n - t^{n-1}$, is the uniform time step, $t^{n-1/2} = \frac{t^n + t^{n-1}}{2}$, and we use the notation $\phi(\mathbf{x}_{pf}, t^n) = \phi_{pf}^n$.

Thus, the second order in space and time discretization of (7) reads as

$$|\Omega_p|(\phi_p^n - \phi_p^{n-1}) + \Delta t \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p} \phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} a_{pf} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Next we express the value at the face center at half-time step as the average value of old and new values. We take the average of reconstructed face values from neighboring cells, the upwind value is treated implicitly, and the downwind explicitly. In particular, for the outflow case $a_{pf} \geq 0$

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{pf}^n + \phi_{qf}^{n-1}),$$

where

$$\phi_{pf}^n = \phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{qf}^{n-1} = \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}.$$

This mimics the approximation of the face value of the IIOE method [12, 2, 5] in 1D:

$$\phi_{i+1/2}^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_i^n + \phi_{i+1}^{n-1}).$$

For the inflow case $a_{pf} < 0$

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{pf}^{n-1} + \phi_{qf}^n),$$

where

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1} = \phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf}, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{qf}^n = \phi_q^n + \nabla \phi_q^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}. \quad (11)$$

We divide the set of faces to inflow and outflow parts

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_p^- &= \{b \in \mathcal{B}_p \mid a_{pb} < 0\} & \text{and} & & \mathcal{B}_p^+ &= \mathcal{B}_p \setminus \mathcal{B}_p^- \\ \mathcal{F}_p^- &= \{f \in (\mathcal{F}_p \setminus \mathcal{B}_p) \mid a_{pf} < 0\} & \text{and} & & \mathcal{F}_p^+ &= (\mathcal{F}_p \setminus \mathcal{B}_p) \setminus \mathcal{F}_p^-.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can rewrite (10)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\Omega_p|}{\Delta t}(\phi_p^n - \phi_p^{n-1}) &+ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} a_{pf} + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} a_{pf} \\ &+ \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^-} \phi_{pb}^{n-1/2} a_{pb} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^+} \phi_{pb}^{n-1/2} a_{pb} = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where the face values depend on the sign of flux a_{pf}

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}) & \text{if } a_{pf} \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^n + \nabla \phi_q^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}) & \text{if } a_{pf} < 0, \end{cases}\tag{13}$$

$$\phi_{pb}^{n-1/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^{n-1})) & \text{if } a_{pb} \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n)) & \text{if } a_{pb} < 0. \end{cases}\tag{14}$$

Substituting (13), (14) to (10) yields a linear system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\Omega_p|}{\Delta t}(\phi_p^n - \phi_p^{n-1}) &+ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^n + \nabla \phi_q^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}) a_{pf} \\ &+ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}) a_{pf} \\ &+ \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^-} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n)) a_{pb} \\ &+ \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^+} \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^{n-1})) a_{pb} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

As described in [2], because of practical considerations, the simplest overlapping domain decomposition is required under the 1-ring face neighborhood structure in order to simplify the parallel computations. From a cell it is possible to access the values from neighboring cells. However, because of the unknown gradient terms of the neighbors $\nabla \phi_q^n$, we would need values from a 2-ring neighborhood. Another complication is that for the gradient term $\nabla \phi_p^n$ it is quite cumbersome to extract the coefficients needed for the neighbors. So, because of the above practical considerations, we employ a deferred correction procedure [7, 13, 2] to solve the system. The method we use is based on

writing a high-order flux terms (13), (14) - second order in our case - as a low-order scheme plus a high-order correction term as

$$\phi_{pf}^{HO} = \phi_{pf}^{LO} + (\phi_{pf}^{HO} - \phi_{pf}^{LO}). \quad (16)$$

In our case of an implicit scheme, the first term appears in our calculations implicitly, while the second term is evaluated using the latest available values. By choosing an appropriate low-order flux, the linear-system will have an M-matrix, which has favorable stability properties ([reference here](#)).

For the case $a_{pf} \geq 0$ we can rewrite the approximation of the face values as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}) \\ &= \phi_p^n + \frac{1}{2}(-\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which is now written as (16), where the low-order flux is the implicit upwind flux

$$\phi_{pf}^{LO} = \begin{cases} \phi_p^n & \text{if } a_{pf} \geq 0 \\ \phi_q^n & \text{if } a_{pf} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

By applying the deferred correction procedure discussed above, the face value in the k -th iteration is computed as

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2,k} = \phi_p^{n,k} + \frac{1}{2}(-\phi_p^{n,k-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n,k-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}), \quad (19)$$

where $k = 1 \dots K$, $\phi_p^{n,0} = \phi_p^{n-1}$ and $\nabla \phi_p^{n,k-1}$ is computed using $\phi_p^{n,k-1}$. To simplify the notation, we denote the correction term as

$$\mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(-\phi_p^{n,k} + \nabla \phi_p^{n,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_q^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf}), \quad (20)$$

where the plus sign indicates that $a_{pf} \geq 0$ is non-negative. Analogously to the outflow case, if $a_{pf} < 0$, we can rewrite the approximation of the face values as

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2,k} = \phi_q^n + \frac{1}{2}(-\phi_q^{n,k-1} + \nabla \phi_q^{n,k-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf} + \phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf}), \quad (21)$$

which is now written, as in the outflow case, as the upwind cell center value plus a correction term. To simplify the notation, we denote the correction term as

$$\mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(-\phi_q^{n,k} + \nabla \phi_q^{n,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{qf} + \phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf}), \quad (22)$$

the minus sign indicating that $a_{pf} < 0$ is negative.

We do similarly at boundary faces. For $a_{pb} \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{pb}^{n-1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} (\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pb} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^{n-1})) \\ &= \phi_p^n + \frac{1}{2} (-\phi_p^n + \nabla \phi_p^n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pb} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^{n-1})) \\ &= \phi_p^n + \mathcal{D}_{pb}^+ \phi\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

$$\phi_{pb}^{n-1/2,k} = \phi_p^{n,k} + \mathcal{D}_{pb}^+ \phi^{k-1}\tag{24}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{pb}^+ \phi^k = \frac{1}{2} (-\phi_p^{n,k} + \nabla \phi_p^{n,k} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pf} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^{n-1})).\tag{25}$$

For $a_{pb} < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{pb}^{n-1/2} &= \frac{1}{2} (\phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pb} + \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n)) \\ &= \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n) + \frac{1}{2} (\phi_p^{n-1} + \nabla \phi_p^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{pb} - \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n)) \\ &= \phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n) + \mathcal{D}_{pb}^- \phi.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

Notice that there is no need to compute the inflow boundary term iteratively.

Substituting (21), (19), (26), (24) to (10) we end up with a linear system

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|\Omega_p|}{\Delta t} (\phi_p^{n,k} - \phi_p^{n-1}) &+ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} (\phi_q^{n,k} + \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1}) a_{pf} + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} (\phi_p^{n,k} + \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1}) a_{pf} \\ &+ \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^-} (\phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n) + \mathcal{D}_{pb}^- \phi) a_{pb} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^+} (\phi_p^{n,k} + \mathcal{D}_{pb}^+ \phi^{k-1}) a_{pb} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

This yields a system with an M-matrix, which has favorable properties ([reference here](#)).

The inverse of an M-matrix has positive components. We can think of the solution of the system as applying the inverse matrix \mathbf{M}^{-1} to the RHS.

0.0.3 Numerical experiments

0.0.4 Limiter

Solving the system (27) for a smooth profile we get an EOC ≈ 2 . For a step function initial profile, however, in the vicinity of the discontinuity we observe spurious oscillations. This behavior is inherent for linear high-order schemes in 1D, proved by Godunov's theorem [1, 15, 4, 11, 9, 10], which states that any linear monotone scheme

can be at most first-order accurate. To circumvent this obstacle, we have to employ a nonlinear scheme even for linear equations, whose coefficients depend on the solution itself. There were many attempts to do this. This type of limiting process is well established for 1D problems, see, e.g. [16, 14, 3, 8]. One way of interpreting limiters is that we limit the high-order correction terms in a way to ensure boundedness of the numerical solution.

0.0.5 Limiter in 1D

0.0.6 Limiter for unstructured mesh

Thus, for a general mesh, we define the limited face value for outflow $a_{pf} > 0$ as follows:

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2} = \phi_p^n + \Psi_{pf} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi, \quad (28)$$

where Ψ_{pf} is a limiter chosen in a way to ensure boundedness. In this work, we bound the limiter

$$0 \leq \Psi_{pf} \leq 1. \quad (29)$$

This is equivalent to a convex combination of the implicit upwind flux (18) and our proposed high-order flux (13). We can see that, if we write the face value (28) in the form (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{pf}^{HO} &= \phi_{pf}^{LO} + \Psi_{pf}(\phi_{pf}^{HO} - \phi_{pf}^{LO}) \\ &= (1 - \Psi_{pf}) \phi_{pf}^{LO} + \Psi_{pf} \phi_{pf}^{HO}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In the outflow case $a_{pf} \geq 0$, if $\Psi_{pf} = 0$, we have the implicit upwind flux (18), and in the other extreme case if $\Psi_{pf} = 1$ we have the unlimited high-order flux (13). The inflow case $a_{pf} < 0$ is analogous to the outflow case.

Substituting the limited face value (28) to (12), because Ψ_{pf} depends on the solution, yields a nonlinear system of equations. Similarly to the unlimited case, we solve the equations using the deferred correction procedure. The face value in the k -th iteration becomes

$$\phi_{pf}^{n-1/2,k} = \phi_p^{n,k} + \Psi_{pf}^k \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1}. \quad (31)$$

We rearrange the terms

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|\Omega_p|}{\Delta t} \phi_p^{n,k} + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \phi_q^{n,k} a_{pf} + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \phi_p^{n,k} a_{pf} + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^+} \phi_p^{n,k} a_{pb} = \\
& \frac{|\Omega_p|}{\Delta t} \phi_p^{n-1} - \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \Psi_{pf}^{-,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} - \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \Psi_{pf}^{+,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} \\
& - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^-} (\phi_e(\mathbf{x}_{pb}, t^n) + \Psi_{pb}^- \mathcal{D}_{pb}^- \phi) a_{pb} - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}_p^+} \Psi_{pb}^{+,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pb}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pb}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

For internal cells, we require for the right-hand side

$$\phi_{p,vnbd}^{min} \leq \phi_p^{n-1} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \Psi_{pf}^{-,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \Psi_{pf}^{+,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} \leq \phi_{p,vnbd}^{max}.$$

Let us define

$$\phi_{est,p} = \phi_p^{n-1} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf}. \tag{33}$$

First we check in each cell if $\phi_{est,p} > \phi_{p,vnbd}^{max}$, then we define

$$\phi_{target,p} = \phi_{p,vnbd}^{max},$$

else if $\phi_{est,p} < \phi_{p,vnbd}^{min}$, then

$$\phi_{target,p} = \phi_{p,vnbd}^{min},$$

otherwise

$$\phi_{target,p} = \phi_p^{n-1} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf}.$$

We can write this in a compact form as

$$\phi_{target,p}^n = \min(\phi_{p,vnbd}^{max}, \max(\phi_{p,vnbd}^{min}, \phi_{est,p}^n)). \tag{34}$$

We assume, that

$$\phi_{target,p}^n = \phi_p^{n-1} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \Psi_{pf}^{-,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} - \lambda_p \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \Psi_{pf}^{+,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf}, \tag{35}$$

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \Psi_{pf}^{-,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \Psi_{pf}^{+,k-1} \mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf} = \frac{\phi_p^{n-1} - \phi_{target,p}^n}{\lambda_p}. \tag{36}$$

Furthermore, we want to minimize

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^-} \left(\Psi_{pf}^{-,k-1} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathcal{D}_{pf}^- \phi^{k-1} a_{pf})^2 + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_p^+} \left(\Psi_{pf}^{+,k-1} - 1 \right)^2 (\mathcal{D}_{pf}^+ \phi^{k-1} a_{pf})^2 \tag{37}$$