

Lab 02 - Order Quantities When Demand is Approximately Level

Huehn-Brown Products in St. Petersburg offers the following discount schedule for its 4-by-8-foot sheets of quality plywood.

ORDER	UNIT COST
9 sheets or less	\$18.00
10 to 50 sheets	\$17.50
More than 50 sheets	\$17.25



Home Sweet Home Company sources its plywood from Huehn-Brown, facing an ordering cost of \$45 per order, a carrying cost rate of 20% per year, and an annual demand of 100 sheets. To determine the most cost-effective purchasing strategy, it is essential to analyze the impact of different order quantities under the supplier's discount schedule. The following sections outline the development of a computer program that reads input data from an Excel sheet and visualizes the total replenishment cost as a function of order quantity, guiding the selection of the optimal order policy.

Assignment: Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) with Price Breaks

Objective

Develop a program that determines the **cost-minimizing order quantity** under a vendor's **quantity discount schedule**.

Your task is to:

1. Read input data from a spreadsheet.
2. Compute feasible EOQs by price tier.
3. Evaluate **total annual replenishment cost** for each feasible order quantity.
4. Identify and report the optimal order policy.
5. Plot the **Total Annual Cost vs. Order Quantity** curve.

Base case parameters:

- Ordering cost = \$45
- Carrying-cost rate = 20% per year
- Annual demand = 100 units

1) Program Requirements

Inputs

From the spreadsheet, your program must read:

- **Global parameters:**
 - Annual demand (D) (units/year)
 - Ordering cost (K) (\$/order)
 - Carrying-cost rate (i) (fraction/year)
 - Optional: Lead time (L) (days) and working days/year
- **Discount schedule (All-Units price breaks):**

min_qty	max_qty	unit_price
1	49	120.00
50	99	115.00
100	∞	110.00

Core Calculations

1. EOQ per tier:

$$Q_k^* = \sqrt{\frac{2 D K}{i c_k}}$$

If (Q_k^*) is below the tier minimum, replace it with that minimum.

2. Total Annual Cost (TAC):

$$\text{TAC}(Q) = Dc(Q) + \frac{D}{Q}K + \frac{Q}{2}, i, c(Q)$$

where ($c(Q)$) is the price for the tier containing (Q).

3. Evaluation:

Calculate TAC for each candidate (Q_k^*) and for every tier boundary.
Choose the (Q) that gives the **lowest TAC**.

4. Visualization:

Generate a **cost curve** showing TAC vs. (Q), with vertical lines marking the price breaks.

Outputs

- **Candidates Table:** shows each tier, unit price, candidate (Q_k^*), feasibility, and TAC.
 - **Cost Curve Plot:** Total Annual Cost vs. Order Quantity.
 - **Recommendation Paragraph:** identify the optimal (Q), tier, and minimum TAC.
-

2) Example Input Data

Sheet “config”

parameter	value
D	100
K	45
i	0.20

Sheet “discounts”

min_qty	max_qty	unit_price
1	49	120
50	99	115
100	∞	110

3) Expected Output

A. Candidates Table

Tier Price (\$) Nominal EOQ Feasible? Evaluated Q TAC (\$)

B. Cost Curve Plot

- X-axis: Order Quantity (Q)
- Y-axis: Total Annual Cost
- Mark the minimum cost and price-break lines

C. Recommendation

State the best (Q), its price tier, and total cost, and briefly explain why this policy is optimal.

4) Discussion Questions

1. How much cost savings (in \$ and %) does the optimal discounted policy achieve compared to the no-discount case?
 2. If the carrying-cost rate increases to 25%, does the optimal (Q) change? Why?
 3. Find the minimum demand (D) that makes a move to the next price tier worthwhile.
 4. Summarize the managerial lesson: when do price breaks justify larger lots?
-

5) Deliverables

- **Program Script** that reads the spreadsheet, performs EOQ calculations, and plots TAC vs. (Q).
 - **Input Spreadsheet** (config and discounts sheets).
 - **Candidates Table and Cost Curve** (exported or embedded).
 - **Short Report (2–3 pages)** including:
 - Objective and method
 - Results and plots
 - Recommendation and answers to discussion questions
-

6) Evaluation Criteria

Criterion	Description	Weight
Calculation accuracy	Feasibility check, EOQ per tier, and correct TAC evaluation	35 %
Graph clarity	Readable cost curve with tier markers	15 %
Explanation quality	Clear, well-reasoned recommendation	20 %
Code structure	Readable logic and comments	20 %
Presentation	Professional tables and report format	10 %

Bonus Challenge (Optional)

- Extend the program to handle **Incremental discounts** as well as All-Units.
 - Produce a **heatmap** showing optimal (Q) for various values of (D) and (i).
 - Incorporate a **Reorder Point** calculation if lead time (L) and daily demand are known.
-