Predicting Mental Illness: A Data-Driven Approach

- 1. Key Insights from the Data
- **Class Imbalance**: The dataset is imbalanced, with 69.6% negative cases (No mental illness) and 30.4% positive cases (Yes mental illness).
- **Important Predictors**: The top three predictors of mental illness are Income, Age, and Number of Children.
- **Health Factors**: Chronic medical conditions, substance abuse history, and family history of depression are significant predictors.
- **Lifestyle Factors**: Alcohol consumption, physical activity, and sleep patterns also play important roles.
- 2. Model Performance and Prediction
- **Logistic Regression**:
- Accuracy: 69.45%
- Poor performance on positive cases (0% recall for 'Yes' class)
- Likely affected by class imbalance
- **Random Forest**:
- Accuracy: 65.77%
- Better balanced performance (88% recall for 'No', 15% for 'Yes')
- More suitable for this imbalanced dataset
- 3. Model Assessment and Potential Biases
- **Model Choice**: Random Forest outperforms Logistic Regression for this task.
- **Performance**: Moderate overall accuracy, but struggles with predicting positive cases.
- **Potential Biases**:
- 1. Class Imbalance Bias: Models favor majority class prediction.
- 2. Feature Selection Bias: Some important factors might be missing.
- 3. Socioeconomic Bias: Heavy reliance on income as a predictor.
- 4. Age Bias: Strong influence of age in predictions.
- 1. Address class imbalance (e.g., oversampling, SMOTE).
- 2. Consider ensemble methods or advanced techniques like XGBoost.
- 3. Collect more data on positive cases if possible.
- 4. Investigate interactions between features.

- 5. Include more diverse socioeconomic indicators.
- ${\it 6. \ Explore \ non-linear \ relationships, especially \ with \ age.}$