The built-

in Array.sort() method in JavaScript lets you sort your items much more succinctly and is generally more efficient than a custom merge sort

How to Sort with Built-in | sort()

To sort your items array in descending order based on the ratio property, you can use:

```
Javascript Copy
items.sort((a, b) => b.ratio - a.ratio);
```

This comparator subtracts [a.ratio] from [b.ratio], so if [b.ratio] is greater than [a.ratio] (i.e., [b] should come before [a]), it returns a posit

Comparison: Built-in [sort()] vs. Custom Merge Sort

1. Efficiency:

- Builtin sort(): Modern JavaScript engines (like V8 in Node.js) implement sort() in native code (often a variation of Timsort), which is hig level code, it tends to be faster than a custom merge sort implemented in JavaScript.
- Custom Merge Sort: While a correctly implemented merge sort also offers O(n log n) performance in theory, a JavaScript version runs i

2. Simplicity & Maintainability:

- Built-in sort(): Requires only a one-line callback and is a standard method that most developers are familiar with.
- Custom Merge Sort: Involves writing multiple functions (like mergeCall and merge) and manually managing array indices, resulting i

3. Reliability:

- **Built-in** [sort()]: Since it's part of the core language and has been battle-tested, you get a reliable and predictable behavior.
- Custom Merge Sort: Your custom solution might yield the same result, but it could be less optimized or have edge cases that haven't k

Final Recommendation

Using the built-in sort() method is generally preferred for most tasks because it:

- Is more succinct: One-line sorting versus several lines of custom code.
- Offers equivalent theoretical performance: O(n log n) for both, but with optimizations in native code.
- Is easier to read and maintain: Most developers will immediately understand (items.sort((a, b) => b.ratio a.ratio)).

Example in Context:

This approach is clearer, more efficient, and easier to work with than implementing your own merge sort.