Let's break down the three approaches you've shown and discuss their trade-offs, advantages, and when one might be preferable over the others:

1. Iterative Approach

Javascript Copy

```javascript
function fact(n) {
  let fact = 1;
  for (let i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
    fact *= i;
  }
  console.log(fact);
}

fact(5);
```

- **How It Works:** This approach uses a simple loop to multiply numbers from 1 to n. It initializes `fact` to 1 and iteratively computes the fact
- **Advantages:**
  - **Simplicity:** Easy to read and understand.
  - **Efficiency:** Runs in O(n) time with constant additional space (O(1)), avoiding the overhead of recursive calls.
  - **No Stack Concerns:** Since it doesn't use recursion, you won't hit stack overflow issues for moderately large n.
- **When to Use:** Ideal for straightforward one-off calculations where you simply need the factorial and want to avoid unnecessary overhead.

2. Simple Recursive Approach

Javascript Copy

```javascript
function facRec(n) {
  if (n === 1) return 1;
  return n * facRec(n - 1);
}

console.log(facRec(5));
```

- **How It Works:** This function defines the factorial in a mathematical recursive manner. The base case returns 1 when `n` is 1, and each recur `1`.
- **Advantages:**
  - **Elegance & Clarity:** Mirrors the mathematical definition of factorial.
  - **Expressiveness:** It clearly expresses the recursive nature of the problem.
- **Disadvantages:**
  - **Call Stack Overhead:** Each recursive call adds to the call stack. In JavaScript, if n becomes too large, you risk a stack overflow.
  - **Performance for Larger n:** Though it's O(n) time complexity, the recursive calls can be slower due to function call overhead compared
- **When to Use:** Best for educational purposes or when n is known to be small, so that the code's conceptual elegance is more important tha

3. Dynamic Programming (Memoized) Recursive Approach

Javascript Copy

```javascript
const n = 5;
let arr = new Array(n + 1);  // Create an array of length n+1 for 1-based indices
arr[0] = 1;  // Even if not needed, sometimes initializing arr[0] is handy
arr[1] = 1;
arr[2] = 2;
for (let i = 3; i <= n; i++) {
  arr[i] = -1;
}
```

```
function facDyn(n, arr) {
  if (arr[n] != -1) return arr[n];
  arr[n] = n * facDyn(n - 1, arr);
  return arr[n];
}

console.log(facDyn(n, arr));
```

- **How It Works:** This approach uses memoization to cache computed values in the array `arr`. Before computing the factorial of `n`, it checl
- **Advantages:**
  - **Avoids Redundant Calculations:** For problems with overlapping subproblems, memoization can be a huge win.
  - **Efficient for Multiple Queries:** If you need to compute factorials for multiple values over the same run, the stored values can save time
- **Disadvantages:**
  - **Setup Overhead:** The initial setup of the array and the extra memory allocation may be unnecessary if you are only computing the facto
  - **Complexity:** Slightly more complex code that might be overkill for a simple factorial calculation where each subproblem is computed o
- **When to Use:** Best when you are working on problems with overlapping subproblems or if you are likely to need to compute factorials for

Which is the Best Approach?

- **For a Single Calculation:** The **iterative approach** is usually the best—it's simple, efficient, and avoids the potential pitfalls of recursion.
- **For Clarity or Educational Purposes:** The **simple recursive approach** shines with its elegance, clearly aligning with the mathematical defir
- **For Repeated Computations with Overlapping Calls:** The **dynamic programming approach** with memoization can significantly reduce c —which is inherently a straight chain—memoization is not as critical unless it's part of a larger suite of interdependent computations.

Final Take: For most practical purposes when calculating a factorial once, the iterative method is typically the best due to its efficiency and simp