1 With [let item] (current code)

- let is block-scoped each loop iteration gets its own separate item variable.
- When you do:

the arrow function "remembers" the value of item from that specific iteration.

• This means after 1 second, each <code>console.log(item)</code> prints the correct word that was removed in that iteration.

Example output after 1 second:

```
Code Copy

one
two
three
```

...and so on, in the right order.

2 With var item

- var is **function-scoped**, not block-scoped.
- All iterations inside the while loop share the same single item variable.
- The setTimeout callbacks don't run immediately they run later, after the loop finishes.
- By the time the first timeout fires, the loop has already ended and <u>item</u> now holds **the** last value assigned in that loop.

So instead of each callback having its own <code>item</code>, they all "see" the same one — the last one set before the loop stopped.

What happens in your code:

- Suppose the first batch starts with <code>"one"</code>, <code>"two"</code>, <code>"three"</code>.
- With var, by the time the timeouts run, item will be "three" for all three callbacks.
- Output after 1 second would be:



— wrong, because they all share the same reference.

3 Why let works better here

- let creates a **new binding** for each loop iteration, so each scheduled callback gets the correct value.
- var would require extra workarounds (like an IIFE or passing item as an argument to setTimeout) to preserve the value.

Quick visual of the difference

Feature	let (block scope)	var (function scope)
Scope	New variable per loop iteration	One variable shared by all iterations
Callback value	Correct per iteration	All callbacks see the last value
Fix needed?	No	Yes — need closure trick