

At Last, Shout of 'Eureka!' In Age-Old Math Mystery

By Gina Kolata

June 24, 1993



See the article in its original context from
June 24, 1993, Section A, Page 1 Buy Reprints

New York Times subscribers* enjoy full access to
TimesMachine—view over 150 years of New
York Times journalism, as it originally appeared.

SUBSCRIBE

*Does not include Crossword-only or
Cooking-only subscribers.

About the Archive

This is a digitized version of an article from The Times's print archive, before the start of online publication in 1996. To preserve these articles as they originally appeared, The Times does not alter, edit or update them.

Occasionally the digitization process introduces transcription errors or other problems. Please send reports of such problems to archive_feedback@nytimes.com.

More than 350 years ago, a French mathematician wrote a deceptively simple theorem in the margins of a book, adding that he had discovered a marvelous proof of it but lacked space to include it in the margin. He died without ever offering his proof, and mathematicians have been trying ever since to supply it.

Now, after thousands of claims of success that proved untrue, mathematicians say the daunting challenge, perhaps the most famous of unsolved mathematical problems, has at last been surmounted.

The problem is Fermat's last theorem, and its apparent conqueror is Dr. Andrew Wiles, a 40-year-old English mathematician who works at Princeton University. Dr. Wiles announced the result yesterday at the last of three lectures given over three days at Cambridge University in England.

Within a few minutes of the conclusion of his final lecture, computer mail messages were winging around the world as mathematicians alerted each other to the startling and almost wholly unexpected result.

Dr. Leonard Adelman of the University of Southern California said he received a message about an hour after Dr. Wiles's announcement. The frenzy is justified, he said. "It's the most exciting thing that's happened in -- geez -- maybe ever, in mathematics." Impossible Is Possible

Mathematicians present at the lecture said they felt "an elation," said Dr. Kenneth Ribet of the University of California at Berkeley, in a telephone interview from Cambridge.

The theorem, an overarching statement about what solutions are possible for certain simple equations, was stated in 1637 by Pierre de Fermat, a 17th-century French mathematician and physicist. Many of the brightest minds in mathematics have struggled to find the proof ever since, and many have concluded that Fermat, contrary to his tantalizing claim, had probably failed to develop one despite his considerable mathematical ability.

With Dr. Wiles' result, Dr. Ribet said, "the mathematical landscape has changed." He explained: "You discover that things that seemed completely impossible are more of a reality. This changes the way you approach problems, what you think is possible."

Dr. Barry Mazur, a Harvard University mathematician, also reached by telephone in Cambridge, said: "A lot more is proved than Fermat's last theorem. One could envision a proof of a problem, no matter how celebrated, that had no implications. But this is just the

reverse. This is the emergence of a technique that is visibly powerful. It's going to prove a lot more." Remember Pythagoras?

Fermat's last theorem has to do with equations of the form $x^n + y^n = z^n$. The case where n is 2 is familiar as the Pythagorean theorem, which says that the squares of the lengths of two sides of a right-angled triangle equal the square of the length of the hypotenuse. One such equation is $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$, since $9 + 16 = 25$.

Fermat's last theorem states that there are no solutions to such equations when n is a whole number greater than 2. This means, for instance, that it would be impossible to find any whole numbers x , y and z such that $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$. Thus $3^3 + 4^3 (27 + 64) = 91$, which is not the cube of any whole number.

Mathematicians in the United States said that the stature of Dr. Wiles and the imprimatur of the experts who heard his lectures, especially Dr. Ribet and Dr. Mazur, convinced them that the new proof was very likely to be right. In addition, they said, the logic of the proof is persuasive because it is built on a carefully developed edifice of mathematics that goes back more than 30 years and is widely accepted.

Experts cautioned that Dr. Wiles could, of course, have made some subtle misstep. Dr. Harold M. Edwards, a mathematician at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences in New York, said that until the proof was published in a mathematical journal, which could take a year, and until it is checked many times, there is always a chance it is wrong. The author of a book on Fermat's last theorem, Dr. Edwards noted that "even good mathematicians have had false proofs." Luring the World's 'Cranks'

But even he said that Dr. Wiles's proof sounded like the real thing and "has to be taken very seriously."

Despite the apparent simplicity of the theorem, proving it was so hard that in 1815 and in again 1860, the French Academy of Sciences offered a gold medal and 300 francs to anyone who could solve it. In 1908, the German Academy of Sciences offered a prize of 100,000 marks for a proof that the theorem was correct. The prize, which still stands but has been reduced to 7,500 marks, about \$4,400, has attracted the world's "cranks," Dr. Edwards said. When the Germans said the proof had to be published, "the cranks began publishing their solutions in the vanity press," he said, yielding thousands of booklets. The Germans told him they would even award the prize for a proof that the theorem was not true, Dr. Edwards added, saying that they "would be so overjoyed that they wouldn't have to read through these submissions."

But it was not just amateurs whose imagination was captured by the enigma. Famous mathematicians, too, spent years on it. Others avoided it for fear of being sucked into a quagmire. One mathematical genius, David Hilbert, said in 1920 that he would not work

on it because "before beginning I should put in three years of intensive study, and I haven't that much time to spend on a probable failure."

Mathematicians armed with computers have shown that Fermat's theorem holds true up to very high numbers. But that falls well short of a general proof. Tortuous Path to Proof

Dr. Ribet said that 20th-century work on the problem had begun to grow ever more divorced from Fermat's equations. "Over the last 60 years, people in number theory have forged an incredible number of tools to deal with simple problems like this," he said. Eventually, "people lost day-to-day contact with the old problems and were preoccupied with the objects they created," he said.

Dr. Wiles's proof draws on many of these mathematical tools but also "completes a chain of ideas," said Dr. Nicholas Katz of Princeton University. The work leading to the proof began in 1954, when the late Japanese mathematician Yutaka Taniyama made a conjecture about mathematical objects called elliptic curves. That conjecture was refined by Dr. Goro Shimura of Princeton University a few years later. But for decades, Dr. Katz said, mathematicians had no idea that this had any relationship to Fermat's last theorem. "They seemed to be on different planets," he said.

In the mid-80's, Dr. Gerhard Frey of the University of the Saarland in Germany "came up with a very strange, very simple connection between the Taniyama conjecture and Fermat's last theorem," Dr. Katz said. "It gave a sort of rough idea that if you knew Taniyama's conjecture you would in fact know Fermat's last theorem," he explained. In 1987, Dr. Ribet proved the connection. Now, Dr. Wiles has shown that a form of the Taniyama conjecture is true and that this implies that Fermat's last theorem must be true.

"One of the things that's most remarkable about the fact that Fermat's last theorem is proven is the incredibly roundabout path that led to it," Dr. Katz said.

Another remarkable aspect is that such a seemingly simple problem would require such sophisticated and highly specialized mathematics for its proof. Dr. Ribet estimated that a tenth of one percent of mathematicians could understand Dr. Wiles's work because the mathematics is so technical. "You have to know a lot about modular forms and algebraic geometry," he said. "You have to have followed the subject very closely."

The general idea behind Dr. Wiles's proof was to associate an elliptic curve, which is a mathematical object that looks something like the surface of a doughnut, with an equation of Fermat's theorem. If the theorem were false and there were indeed solutions to the Fermat equations, a peculiar curve would result. The proof hinged on showing that such a curve could not exist.

Dr. Wiles, who has told colleagues that he is reluctant to speak to the press, could not be reached yesterday. Dr. Ribet, who described Dr. Wiles as shy, said he had been asked to speak for him.

Dr. Ribet said it took Dr. Wiles seven years to solve the problem. He had a solution for a special case of the conjecture two years ago, Dr. Ribet said, but told no one. "It didn't give him enough and he felt very discouraged by it," he said.

Dr. Wiles presented his results this week at a small conference in Cambridge, England, his birthplace, on "P-adic Galois Representations, Iwasawa Theory and the Tamagawa Numbers of Motives." He gave a lecture a day on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday with the title "Modular Forms, Elliptic Curves and Galois Representations." There was no hint in the title that Fermat's last theorem would be discussed, Dr. Ribet said.

"As Wiles began his lectures, there was more and more speculation about what it was going to be," Dr. Ribet said. The audience of specialists in these arcane fields swelled from about 40 on the first day to 60 yesterday. Finally, at the end of his third lecture, Dr. Wiles concluded that he had proved a general case of the Taniyama conjecture. Then, seemingly as an afterthought, he noted that that meant that Fermat's last theorem was true. Q.E.D.

People raised their cameras and snapped pictures of this historic moment, Dr. Ribet said. Then "there was a warm round of applause, followed by a couple of questions and another warm round of applause," he added.

"I had to give the next lecture," Dr. Ribet said. "It was something incredibly mundane." Since mathematicians are "a pretty well behaved bunch," they listened politely. But, he said, it was hard to concentrate. "Most people in the room, including me, were incredibly shell-shocked," he said.