IF2130 – Organisasi dan Arsitektur Komputer

sumber: Greg Kesden, CMU 15-213, 2012

Cache

Achmad Imam Kistijantoro (imam@staff.stei.itb.ac.id)
Rahmat Mulyawan
Infall Syafalni

Today

- Cache memory organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Cache Memories

- Cache memories are small, fast SRAM-based memories managed automatically in hardware.
  - Hold frequently accessed blocks of main memory
- CPU looks first for data in caches (e.g., L1, L2, and L3), then in main memory.
- Typical system structure:



General Cache Organization (S, E, B)



Cache size:

C = S x E x B data bytes

Cache Read

- *Locate set*
- *Check if any line in set has matching tag*
- *Yes + line valid: hit*
- *Locate data starting at offset*



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



Example: Direct Mapped Cache (E = 1)

Direct mapped: One line per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



No match: old line is evicted and replaced

Direct-Mapped Cache Simulation

t=1	s=2	b=1
x	xx	x

M=16 byte addresses, B=2 bytes/block,
S=4 sets, E=1 Blocks/set

Address trace (reads, one byte per read):

0	$[0\underline{00}0_2]$,	miss
1	$[0\underline{00}1_2]$,	hit
7	$[0\underline{11}1_2]$,	miss
8	$[1\underline{00}0_2]$,	miss
0	$[0\underline{00}0_2]$	miss

	v	Tag	Block
Set 0	1	0	M[0-1]
Set 1			
Set 2			
Set 3	1	0	M[6-7]

A Higher Level Example

Ignore the variables sum, i, j

assume: cold (empty) cache,
a[0][0] goes here

32 B = 4 doubles

```
int sum_array_rows(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (i = 0; i < 16; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < 16; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

```
int sum_array_cols(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (j = 0; j < 16; j++)
        for (i = 0; i < 16; i++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

blackboard

E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



E-way Set Associative Cache (Here: E = 2)

E = 2: Two lines per set

Assume: cache block size 8 bytes



No match:

- One line in set is selected for eviction and replacement
- Replacement policies: random, least recently used (LRU), …

2-Way Set Associative Cache Simulation

t=2	s=1	b=1
xx	x	x

M=16 byte addresses, B=2 bytes/block,
S=2 sets, E=2 blocks/set

Address trace (reads, one byte per read):

0	$[00\underline{0}0_2]$,	miss
1	$[00\underline{0}1_2]$,	hit
7	$[01\underline{1}1_2]$,	miss
8	$[10\underline{0}0_2]$,	miss
0	$[00\underline{0}0_2]$	hit

	v	Tag	Block
Set 0	1	00	M[0-1]
	1	10	M[8-9]
Set 1	1	01	M[6-7]
	0		

A Higher Level Example

Ignore the variables sum, i, j

```
int sum_array_rows(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (i = 0; i < 16; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < 16; j++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

```
int sum_array_cols(double a[16][16])
{
    int i, j;
    double sum = 0;

    for (j = 0; j < 16; j++)
        for (i = 0; i < 16; i++)
            sum += a[i][j];
    return sum;
}
```

assume: cold (empty) cache,
a[0][0] goes here



blackboard

What about writes?

- Multiple copies of data exist:
  - L1, L2, Main Memory, Disk
- What to do on a write-hit?
  - Write-through (write immediately to memory)
  - Write-back (defer write to memory until replacement of line)
    - Need a dirty bit (line different from memory or not)
- What to do on a write-miss?
  - Write-allocate (load into cache, update line in cache)
    - Good if more writes to the location follow
  - No-write-allocate (writes immediately to memory)
- Typical
  - Write-through + No-write-allocate
  - **Write-back + Write-allocate**

Intel Core i7 Cache Hierarchy



L1 i-cache and d-cache:
32 KB, 8-way,
Access: 4 cycles

L2 unified cache:
256 KB, 8-way,
Access: 11 cycles

L3 unified cache:
8 MB, 16-way,
Access: 30-40 cycles

Block size: 64 bytes for all caches.

Cache Performance Metrics

- Miss Rate
  - Fraction of memory references not found in cache (misses / accesses) = 1 – hit rate
  - Typical numbers (in percentages):
    - 3-10% for L1
    - can be quite small (e.g., < 1%) for L2, depending on size, etc.
- Hit Time
  - Time to deliver a line in the cache to the processor
    - includes time to determine whether the line is in the cache
  - Typical numbers:
    - 1-2 clock cycle for L1
    - 5-20 clock cycles for L2
- Miss Penalty
  - Additional time required because of a miss
    - typically 50-200 cycles for main memory (Trend: increasing!)

Lets think about those numbers

- Huge difference between a hit and a miss
  - Could be 100x, if just L1 and main memory

- Would you believe 99% hits is twice as good as 97%?
  - Consider:
    cache hit time of 1 cycle
    miss penalty of 100 cycles

  - Average access time:
    97% hits: 1 cycle + 0.03 * 100 cycles = **4 cycles**
    99% hits: 1 cycle + 0.01 * 100 cycles = **2 cycles**

- This is why "miss rate" is used instead of "hit rate"

Writing Cache Friendly Code

- Make the common case go fast
  - Focus on the inner loops of the core functions
- Minimize the misses in the inner loops
  - Repeated references to variables are good (temporal locality)
  - Stride-1 reference patterns are good (spatial locality)

Key idea: Our qualitative notion of locality is quantified through our understanding of cache memories.

Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

The Memory Mountain

- Read throughput (read bandwidth)
  - Number of bytes read from memory per second (MB/s)

- Memory mountain: Measured read throughput as a function of spatial and temporal locality.
  - Compact way to characterize memory system performance.

Memory Mountain Test Function

```c
long data[MAXELEMS];  /* Global array to traverse */

/* test - Iterate over first "elems" elements of
 *       array "data" with stride of "stride", using
 *       using 4x4 loop unrolling.
 */
int test(int elems, int stride) {
   long i, sx2=stride*2, sx3=stride*3, sx4=stride*4;
   long acc0 = 0, acc1 = 0, acc2 = 0, acc3 = 0;
   long length = elems, limit = length - sx4;

   /* Combine 4 elements at a time */
   for (i = 0; i < limit; i += sx4) {
      acc0 = acc0 + data[i];
      acc1 = acc1 + data[i+stride];
      acc2 = acc2 + data[i+sx2];
      acc3 = acc3 + data[i+sx3];
   }

   /* Finish any remaining elements */
   for (; i < length; i++) {
      acc0 = acc0 + data[i];
   }
   return ((acc0 + acc1) + (acc2 + acc3));
}
```

mountain/mountain.c

Call `test()` with many combinations of `elems` and `stride`.

For each `elems` and `stride`:

1. Call `test()` once to warm up the caches.

2. Call `test()` again and measure the read throughput(MB/s)

The Memory Mountain

Core i7 Haswell
2.1 GHz
32 KB L1 d-cache
256 KB L2 cache
8 MB L3 cache
64 B block size



Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Miss Rate Analysis for Matrix Multiply

- Assume:
  - Line size = 32B (big enough for four 64-bit words)
  - Matrix dimension (N) is very large
    - Approximate 1/N as 0.0
  - Cache is not even big enough to hold multiple rows
- Analysis Method:
  - Look at access pattern of inner loop



Matrix Multiplication Example

- Description:
  - Multiply N x N matrices
  - $O(N^3)$ total operations
  - N reads per source element
  - N values summed per destination
    - but may be able to hold in register

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum;
  }
}
```

Variable `sum` held in register

Layout of C Arrays in Memory (review)

- C arrays allocated in row-major order
  - each row in contiguous memory locations
- Stepping through columns in one row:
  - ```
    for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
     sum += a[0][i];
    ```
  - accesses successive elements
  - if block size (B) > 4 bytes, exploit spatial locality
    - compulsory miss rate = 4 bytes / B
- Stepping through rows in one column:
  - ```
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
     sum += a[i][0];
    ```
  - accesses distant elements
  - no spatial locality!
    - compulsory miss rate = 1 (i.e. 100%)

Matrix Multiplication (ijk)

```
/* ijk */
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum;
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.25	1.0	0.0

Matrix Multiplication (jik)

```
/* jik */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    sum = 0.0;
    for (k=0; k<n; k++)
      sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
    c[i][j] = sum
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.25	1.0	0.0

Matrix Multiplication (kij)

```
/* kij */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
  for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
    r = a[i][k];
    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
      c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.0	0.25	0.25

Matrix Multiplication (ikj)

```
/* ikj */
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    r = a[i][k];
    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
      c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
0.0	0.25	0.25

Matrix Multiplication (jki)

```
/* jki */
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
  for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
    r = b[k][j];
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
  }
}
```

Inner loop:



<u>Misses per inner loop iteration:</u>

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>
1.0	0.0	1.0

Matrix Multiplication (kji)

```
/* kji */
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
    r = b[k][j];
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
      c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
  }
}
```



Misses per inner loop iteration:

A	B	C
1.0	0.0	1.0

Summary of Matrix Multiplication

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
   sum = 0.0;
   for (k=0; k<n; k++)
     sum += a[i][k] * b[k][j];
   c[i][j] = sum;
 }
}
```

ijk (& jik):
- 2 loads, 0 stores
- misses/iter = 1.25

```
for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
 for (i=0; i<n; i++) {
  r = a[i][k];
  for (j=0; j<n; j++)
   c[i][j] += r * b[k][j];
 }
}
```

kij (& ikj):
- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = 0.5

```
for (j=0; j<n; j++) {
 for (k=0; k<n; k++) {
   r = b[k][j];
   for (i=0; i<n; i++)
    c[i][j] += a[i][k] * r;
 }
}
```

jki (& kji):
- 2 loads, 1 store
- misses/iter = 2.0

Core i7 Matrix Multiply Performance



Today

- Cache organization and operation
- Performance impact of caches
  - The memory mountain
  - Rearranging loops to improve spatial locality
  - Using blocking to improve temporal locality

Example: Matrix Multiplication

```
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b  */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
     int i, j, k;
     for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
          for (j = 0; j < n; j++)
               for (k = 0; k < n; k++)
               c[i*n+j] += a[i*n + k]*b[k*n + j];
}
```



Cache Miss Analysis

- Assume:
  - Matrix elements are doubles
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)

- First iteration:
  - n/8 + n = 9n/8 misses



  - Afterwards in cache:
    (schematic)



Cache Miss Analysis

- Assume:
  - Matrix elements are doubles
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)

- Second iteration:
  - Again:
    $n/8 + n = 9n/8$ misses



- Total misses:
  - $9n/8 * n^2 = (9/8) * n^3$

Blocked Matrix Multiplication

```c
c = (double *) calloc(sizeof(double), n*n);

/* Multiply n x n matrices a and b  */
void mmm(double *a, double *b, double *c, int n) {
    int i, j, k;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i+=B)
        for (j = 0; j < n; j+=B)
            for (k = 0; k < n; k+=B)
                /* B x B mini matrix multiplications */
                for (i1 = i; i1 < i+B; i++)
                    for (j1 = j; j1 < j+B; j++)
                        for (k1 = k; k1 < k+B; k++)
                            c[i1*n+j1] += a[i1*n + k1]*b[k1*n + j1];
}
```



Block size B x B

Cache Miss Analysis

- Assume:
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size C << n (much smaller than n)
  - Three blocks ■ fit into cache: $3B^2 < C$

- First (block) iteration:
  - $B^2/8$ misses for each block
  - $2n/B * B^2/8 = nB/4$ (omitting matrix c)



  - Afterwards in cache (schematic)



Cache Miss Analysis

- **Assume:**
  - Cache block = 8 doubles
  - Cache size $C << n$ (much smaller than n)
  - Three blocks fit into cache: $3B^2 < C$

- **Second (block) iteration:**
  - Same as first iteration
  - $2n/B * B^2/8 = nB/4$



- **Total misses:**
  - $nB/4 * (n/B)^2 = n^3/(4B)$

Summary

- No blocking: $(9/8) * n^3$
- Blocking: $1/(4B) * n^3$

- Suggest largest possible block size B, but limit $3B^2 < C$!

- Reason for dramatic difference:
  - Matrix multiplication has inherent temporal locality:
    - Input data: $3n^2$, computation $2n^3$
    - Every array elements used O(n) times!
  - But program has to be written properly

Concluding Observations

- Programmer can optimize for cache performance
  - How data structures are organized
  - How data are accessed
    - Nested loop structure
    - Blocking is a general technique
- All systems favor "cache friendly code"
  - Getting absolute optimum performance is very platform specific
    - Cache sizes, line sizes, associativities, etc.
  - Can get most of the advantage with generic code
    - Keep working set reasonably small (temporal locality)
    - Use small strides (spatial locality)