## **Deriving-via**

BALDUR BLÖNDAL,

ANDRES LÖH, Well-Typed LLP

RYAN SCOTT, Indiana University

We present a new Haskell language extension that miraculously solves all problems in generic programming that ever existed.

## **ACM Reference Format:**

"These types we write down they're not just names for data representations in memory, they're tags that queue in mathematical structures that we exploit."

## 1 INTRODUCTION

It is common folklore that Monoids can be lifted over Applicatives,

```
instance (Appliative f, Monoid a) ⇒ Monoid (f a) where
mempty :: f a
mempty = pure mempty
mappend :: f a → f a → f a
mappend = liftA2 mappend
```

Conor McBride calls this "routine programming" using  ${\tt Monoid}$  and  ${\tt Applicative}$  as building blocks.

But this instance is undesirable for multiple reasons (TODO: more reasons, rewrite)

- It overlaps with every Monoid instance over an applied type.
- "Structure of the f is often considered more significant that that of x."
- It may not be the desired Monoid: Some constructors have an 'inherent monoidal structure', most notably the *free monoid* (lists: [a]) where we prioritize the list structure and not that of the elements.

Lists are in fact an instance of a wholly separate way of defining Monoids based on Alternative

```
instance Alternative f ⇒ Monoid (f a) where
  mempty :: f a
  mempty = empty
```

Authors' addresses: Baldur Blöndal; Andres Löh, Well-Typed LLP; Ryan Scott, Indiana University.

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution.

copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

© 2017 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

XXXX-XXXX/2017/11-ART

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Taken from unknown position: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3U3lV5VPmOU

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://strictlypositive.org/Idiom.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Much of this is stolen from Conor: https://personal.cis.strath.ac.uk/conor.mcbride/so-pigworker.pdf

```
50
        mappend :: f a \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f a
        mappend = (<|>)
51
      So what are our options.
53
        An unfortunate solution is to duplicate code
55
      instance Monoid a \Rightarrow Monoid (IO a) where
        mempty = pure mempty
57
        mappend = liftA2 mappend
58
      instance (Monoid a, Monoid b) \Rightarrow Monoid (a, b) where
59
        mempty = pure mempty
        mappend = liftA2 mappend
61
      instance Monoid b \Rightarrow Monoid (a \rightarrow b) where
62
        mempty = pure mempty
63
        mappend = liftA2 mappend
64
65
      but this quickly becomes unviable as Num, Floating and Fractional which amount to
66
      around 50 methods lifted in the exact same way. Conal Elliott introdues a preprocessor<sup>4</sup> to
67
      derive these classes by textual substitution and he is by no means alone.<sup>5</sup>
68
        Haskellers already have a way of giving a difference instance to the same representation:
69
      newtypes.<sup>6</sup> For example Wrap1 ((->) a) b has the same memory representation as a ->
70
71
      newtype Wrap a = Wrap a
72
      newtype Wrap1 f a = Wrap1 (f a)
73
74
      Now, without overloading, we can define a Monoid instance over Applicative and Alternative:
75
      there is no canonical
76
      newtype App f a = App (f a) deriving newtype (Functor, Applicative)
77
      newtype Alt f a = Alt (f a) deriving newtype (Functor, Applicative, Alternative)
78
79
      instance (Applicative f, Monoid a) ⇒ Monoid (App f a) where
80
        mempty = pure mempty
81
        mappend = liftA2 mappend
82
      instance Alterantive f \Rightarrow Monoid (Alt f a) where
83
        mempty = empty
84
        mappend = (<|>)
85
      What this extension allows is to derive instances that exist for types of the same represen-
86
      tation, so we can derive (TODO: should be rewritten)
87
88
      deriving Monoid via (Alt []
                                         a) instance Monoid [a]
89
                                         a) instance Monoid a \Rightarrow Monoid (IO a)
      deriving Monoid via (Alt IO
90
      deriving Monoid via (Alt (a, ) b) instance (Monoid a, Monoid b) ⇒ Monoid (a, b)
91
      deriving Monoid via (Alt (a \rightarrow) b) instance Monoid b \Rightarrow Monoid (a \rightarrow b)
92
93
94
      <sup>4</sup>https://hackage.haskell.org/package/applicative-numbers
95
      <sup>5</sup>Some notes: https://gist.github.com/Icelandjack/e1ddefb0d5a79617a81ee98c49fbbdc4#a-lot-of-things-we-
```

<sup>6</sup>Sum and Product must be the best known example of this.

96

97

98

can-find-with-define

<sup>,</sup> Vol. 1, No. 1, Article . Publication date: November 2017.

Deriving-via :3

2 EXAMPLES

- 3 FORMALISM
- 4 ADVANCED USES

Avoiding orphan instances Before we had a Monoid (IO a) instance, we could not
write<sup>7</sup>

```
newtype Plugin = Plugin (IO (String -> IO ()))
deriving Monoid
```

deriving via enables us to override and insert arbitrary instances adding the following line

```
via (App IO (String -> App IO ()))
```

- Asymptotic improvement For representable functors the definitions of m \*> = m and  $_< * m = m$  are O(1).8 This codifies knowledge (on a "library, not lore" principle) where the code can be documented and linked to.
- 4.1 Generalized GeneralizedNewtypeDeriving
- 4.2 DeriveAnyClass
- 5 LIMITATIONS, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK
- **6 RELATED WORK**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>http://www.haskellforall.com/2014/07/equational-reasoning-at-scale.html

 $<sup>^8</sup> E dward\ Kmett:\ https://ghc.haskell.org/trac/ghc/ticket/10892?cversion=0 \& cnum\_hist=4 \# comment: 4.0 february 1.0 f$