

Numerical Methods and Optimization

Chapter 3: Systems of linear Equations - Iterative Methods

3.2 The Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel method

28.11.2022

The Jacobi method

- simplest method to solve SLE $Ax = b$ is: "Jacobi-Method"
- necessary: $a_{ii} \neq 0 \quad \forall i$
- get algorithm by solving the i^{th} equation for the i^{th} unknown:

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right) \quad (1)$$

JACOBI METHOD

initialization: given $Ax = b$ with $a_{ii} \neq 0 \quad \forall i$. Choose any $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ do

 for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

 end

until stop

- #mult/div: n^2 (per iteration)

- prove convergence using the BFPT:
- for this, decompose

$$A = D - L - U$$

D : diagonal;

L or U : "strict" lower/upper triangular

- iteration eqn. $x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} (b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)})$ can be rewritten:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(k+1)} &= D^{-1}(b + (L + U)x^{(k)}) \\ &= \underbrace{D^{-1}(L + U)}_{=M^{-1}N} x^{(k)} + \underbrace{D^{-1}b}_{=M^{-1}b} \\ &= Tx^{(k)} + c \\ &= \mathcal{J}(x^{(k)}) + c, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{J} := T = D^{-1}(L + U)$: **Jacobi iteration matrix**

Ex: Compute first 4 Jacobi iterates for $Ax = b$ with $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We obtain the iteration rule

Using this we get

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{5}(1 - x_2^{(k)} - x_3^{(k)})$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{5}(2 - x_1^{(k)})$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{5}(0 - x_1^{(k)})$$

k	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
1	0.2000	0.4000	0.0000
2	0.1200	0.3600	-0.0400
3	0.1360	0.3760	-0.0240
4	0.1296	0.3728	-0.0272

- exact solution rounded to 4 dec. places: $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1304 \\ 0.3739 \\ -0.0261 \end{pmatrix}$
- $\|x - x^{(4)}\|_2 = 1.75 \cdot 10^{-3}$
- Jacobi iteration matrix \mathcal{J} is calc. from $A = M - N = D - (L + U)$

$$\text{with } D = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{to } \mathcal{J} = M^{-1}N = D^{-1}(L + U) = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- easy: $\sigma(\mathcal{J}) = \{0, \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{5}\sqrt{2}\}$.
- Convergence follows since $\rho(\mathcal{J}) < 1$.

The Gauss-Seidel method (m. of successive displacement)

Gauss-Seidel method (for $n = 3$):

$$x_1^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{11}} (b_1 - a_{12}x_2^{(k)} - a_{13}x_3^{(k)})$$

$$x_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{22}} (b_2 - a_{21}x_1^{(k+1)} - a_{23}x_3^{(k)})$$

$$x_3^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{33}} (b_3 - a_{31}x_1^{(k+1)} - a_{32}x_2^{(k+1)})$$

GAUSS-SEIDEL (GS) METHOD

initialization: given $Ax = b$ with $a_{ii} \neq 0 \forall i$. Choose any $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ do

 for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} (b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij}x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)})$$

 end

until stop

Using $A = D - L - U$ we can write

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

in matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(k+1)} &= D^{-1} (b + Lx^{(k+1)} + Ux^{(k)}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{(D - L)}_{=: M \in \text{Gl}} x^{(k+1)} &= \underbrace{U}_{=: N} x^{(k)} + b \\ \Leftrightarrow x^{(k+1)} &= M^{-1} U x^{(k)} + M^{-1} b \end{aligned}$$

- operator $\mathcal{L} := (D - L)^{-1}U$ is called **Gauss-Seidel matrix**
- we have $A = (D - L) - U = M - N$
- and $x^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{L}x^{(k)} + c$

Ex. (3.7): Solve $Ax = b$ using GS method; $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Calc. $\mathcal{L} = (D - L)^{-1}U$ for previous Ex.:

$$D - L = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = 5 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$(D - L)^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{5} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{5} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} = (D - L)^{-1}U = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, one easily verifies that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \left\{0, \frac{2}{25}\right\} = \sigma(\mathcal{J})^2.$$

i.e.

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \rho(\mathcal{J})^2.$$

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \rho(\mathcal{J})^2 \quad (2)$$

- according to the prop. concerning the asympt. conv. rate, the GS-method should converge faster than the J-method
- (2) not accidental: this is true for all matrices of certain form (later)
- calc. first 4 iterates of GS-method with $x^{(0)} = 0$:

k	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
1	0.2000	0.4000	0.0000
2	0.1200	0.3600	-0.0400
3	0.1360	0.3760	-0.0240
4	0.1296	0.3728	-0.0272

- $\|x - x_{GS}^{(4)}\|_2 = 3.70 \cdot 10^{-5} < \|x - x_J^{(4)}\|_2 = 1.75 \cdot 10^{-3}$
- \rightsquigarrow almost 2 decimal places better

//.

Now we employ Prop. 3.3 ($\|M^{-1}N\|_* < 1 \Rightarrow$ convergence) for a compatible matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Definition

A matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called **strictly diagonally dominant** if the following inequality holds for all $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}| < |a_{ii}|.$$

e.g. $\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & -1 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ is diagonally dominant.

Proposition

If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is strictly diagonally dominant, then Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel method both converge for each initial guess $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the unique solution of $Ax = b$.

PROOF:

- due to the st. diag. dom. it follows $a_{ii} \neq 0 \forall i \Rightarrow$ J-/GS-method are well-defined.
- Jacobi method: From the str. diag. dom. it follows

$$\|\mathcal{J}\|_{\infty} = \|D^{-1}(L + U)\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{|a_{ij}|}{|a_{ii}|} =: q < 1 \quad (3)$$

- Thus $\|\mathcal{J}\|_* = \|M^{-1}N\|_* < 1$ is satisfied for the row sum norm
- GS method: Proof slightly more difficult
- We want to show that

$$\|\mathcal{L}\|_{\infty} := \max_{\|x\|_{\infty}=1} \|\mathcal{L}x\|_{\infty} \leq q (< 1).$$

- Let $\|x\|_{\infty} = 1$, and q be defined as in (3)

To estimate $\|\mathcal{L}\|_\infty := \max_{\|x\|_\infty=1} \|\mathcal{L}x\|_\infty < 1$, est. components y_i of

$$y := \mathcal{L}x = (D - L)^{-1}Ux$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (D - L)y = Ux$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y = D^{-1}(Ly + Ux)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y_i = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(- \sum_{j<i} a_{ij}y_j - \sum_{j>i} a_{ij}x_j \right) \quad \forall i$$

Initial case $i = 1$: We have

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1| &= \left| -\frac{1}{a_{11}} \sum_{j=2}^n a_{1j}x_j \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|a_{11}|} \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{1j}| \|x\|_\infty \\ &= \frac{1}{|a_{11}|} \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{1j}| \\ &\leq q. \end{aligned}$$

$i - 1 \rightarrow i$:

Assume $|y_k| \leq q, \forall 0 \leq k \leq i - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} |y_i| &\leq \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|} \left(\sum_{j<i} |a_{ij}| |y_j| + \sum_{j>i} |a_{ij}| |x_j| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|} \left(\sum_{j<i} |a_{ij}| q + \sum_{j>i} |a_{ij}| \|x\|_\infty \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|} \left(\sum_{j<i} |a_{ij}| + \sum_{j>i} |a_{ij}| \right) \\ &\leq q. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Ex: Given: SLE $Ax = b$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Exakt sln:
 $x = (1, -1, -1)^T$. A is str. diag. dom. with

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{|a_{ij}|}{|a_{ii}|} = \frac{1}{2} =: q.$$

Thus J and GS method converge as $\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty = q < 1$ and $\|\mathcal{L}\|_\infty \leq q < 1$.
 Since for $T = \mathcal{J}$ or $T = \mathcal{L}$ we have $x^{(k+1)} = Tx^{(k)} + c = \Phi(x^{(k)}) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{(k+1)} - \hat{x}\|_\infty &= \|\Phi(x^{(k)}) - \Phi(\hat{x})\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|Tx^{(k)} - T\hat{x}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|T\|_\infty \|x^{(k)} - \hat{x}\|_\infty \\ &\leq q \|x^{(k)} - \hat{x}\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

\leadsto error correction at least $q = \frac{1}{2}$ wrt the ∞ -norm.

Recalling $x = (1, -1, -1)^T$, we first get for $x^{(0)} = (1, 1, 1)^T$ that $\|x^{(0)} - \hat{x}\|_\infty = 2$ and after one iteration for the

(a) Jacobi method: Recall: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$. We obtain

$$x_{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^{(0)} - a_{13}x_3^{(0)}) \\ \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}x_1^{(0)} - a_{23}x_3^{(0)}) \\ \frac{1}{a_{33}}(b_3 - a_{31}x_1^{(0)} - a_{32}x_2^{(0)}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1-1) \\ -\frac{1}{4}(4-1-1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(-1+1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. $\|x_{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)} - \hat{x}\|_\infty = 1$.

(b) Gauss-Seidel method:

$$x_{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_{11}}(b_1 - a_{12}x_2^{(0)} - a_{13}x_3^{(0)}) \\ \frac{1}{a_{22}}(b_2 - a_{21}\mathbf{x}_1^{(1)} - a_{23}x_3^{(0)}) \\ \frac{1}{a_{33}}(b_3 - a_{31}\mathbf{x}_1^{(1)} - a_{32}\mathbf{x}_2^{(1)}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1-1) \\ -\frac{1}{4}(4-\mathbf{0}-1) \\ \frac{1}{2}(-1+\mathbf{0}-\frac{3}{4}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ -\frac{3}{4} \\ -\frac{7}{8} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\Rightarrow \|x_{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} - \hat{x}\|_\infty = 1$

- Thus, wrt to the max-norm the error is reduced by the factor q .
- However, regarding each individual component, the GS method is somewhat better:
- $\|x_{\mathcal{J}}^{(1)} - \hat{x}\|_2 = \frac{3}{2}$ and $\|x_{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)} - \hat{x}\|_2 < 1.0384$

- although there are exa. for which the J method is superior (cf.ex.8.2)
- Gauss-Seidel often converges faster
- can be made more precise for special mat.: e.g. consider for $p, q \neq 0$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} E_q & -B^T \\ -B & E_p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$. Here, it is

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{L} we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L} = (D - L)^{-1}U = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ -B & E \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ B & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^T \\ 0 & BB^T \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\mathcal{J} = E^{-1}(L + U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} B^T B & 0 \\ 0 & BB^T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Goal now: show that $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \rho(\mathcal{J}^2) = \rho(\mathcal{J})^2$. Saw this in already in Example 3.7.