## Introduction to Machine Learning

**Spring Semester** 

Homework 2: June 12, 2024

Due: July 4, 2024

# Theory Questions

1. (15 points) PAC learnability of  $\ell_2$ -balls around the origin. Given a real number  $R \geq 0$  define the hypothesis  $h_R : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{0,1\}$  by,

$$h_R(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le R \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}_{ball} = \{h_R \mid R \geq 0\}$ . Prove directly (without using the Fundamental Theorem of PAC Learning) that  $\mathcal{H}_{ball}$  is PAC learnable in the realizable case (assume for simplicity that the marginal distribution of X is continuous). How does the sample complexity depend on the dimension d? Explain.

2. (15 points) PAC in expectation. Consider learning in the realizable case. We say a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  is PAC learnable in expectation using algorithm A if there exists a function  $N(a):(0,1)\to\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall a\in(0,1)$  and for any distribution P (realizable by  $\mathcal{H}$ ), given a sample set S such that  $|S|\geq N(a)$ , it holds that,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e_P(A(S))\right] \le a.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is PAC learnable if and only if  $\mathcal{H}$  is PAC learnable in expectation (Hint: For one direction, use the law of total expectation. For the other direction, use Markov's inequality).

- 3. (10 points) Union of intervals. Determine the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_k$  the subsets of the real line formed by the union of k intervals (see the programming assignment for a formal definition of  $\mathcal{H}$ ). Prove your answer.
- 4. (10 points) Inhomogeneous linear classifiers. Prove that the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_d$ , the class of inhomogeneous linear classifiers in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is d+1.  $\mathcal{H}_d$  is the class of all hypotheses of the form

$$h_{\boldsymbol{w},b}(\mathbf{x}) = sign(\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  (Hint: Proceed along the lines of the proof for homogeneous linear classifiers from recitation 3. For the upper bound, given a sample  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{d+2} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , construct a new set of points  $\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_{d+2}$  by appending a constant entry of 1 to each of the  $\mathbf{x}_i$ 's. What can you say about the new set of points as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ ?)

5. (10 points) Prediction by polynomials. Given a polynomial  $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  define the hypothesis  $h_p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \{0, 1\}$  by,

$$h_p(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & p(x_1) \ge x_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Determine the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{poly}} = \{h_p \mid P \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ . You can use the fact that given n distinct values  $x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z_1, ..., z_n \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a polynomial P of degree n-1 such that  $P(x_i) = z_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

# **Programming Assignment**

1. Union Of Intervals. In this question, we will study the hypothesis class of a finite union of disjoint intervals, and the properties of the ERM algorithm for this class.

To review, let the sample space be  $\mathcal{X} = [0,1]$  and consider a binary classification problem, i.e.,  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\}$ . We will try to learn using an hypothesis class that consists of k intervals. More explicitly, let  $I = \{[l_1, u_1], \ldots, [l_k, u_k]\}$  be k disjoint intervals, such that  $0 \leq l_1 \leq u_1 \leq l_2 \leq u_2 \leq \ldots \leq u_k \leq 1$ . For each such k disjoint intervals, define the corresponding hypothesis as

$$h_I(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [l_1, u_1] \cup \ldots \cup [l_k, u_k] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Finally, define  $\mathcal{H}_k$  as the hypothesis class that consists of all hypotheses that correspond to k disjoint intervals:

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \{h_I | I = \{[l_1, u_1], \dots, [l_k, u_k]\}, 0 \le l_1 \le u_1 \le l_2 \le u_2 \le \dots \le u_k \le 1\}$$

We are given a sample of size n:  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ . Assume that the points are sorted, so that  $0 \le x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n \le 1$ .

### Submission Guidelines:

- Download the files skeleton.py and intervals.py from Moodle. You should implement only the missing code in skeleton.py, as specified in the following questions. In every method description, you will find specific details on its input and return values.
- Your code should be written with Python 3.
- Your submission should include exactly two files: assignment2.py (replacing skeleton.py) and intervals.py.

## Explanation on intervals.py:

The file intervals.py includes a function that implements an ERM algorithm for  $\mathcal{H}_k$ . Given a sorted list  $xs = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , the respective labeling  $ys = [y_1, \dots, y_n]$  and k, the given function find\_best\_interval returns a list of up to k intervals and their error count on the given sample. These intervals have the smallest empirical error count possible from all choices of k intervals or less.

Note that in sections (c)-(e) you will need to use this function for large values of n. Execution in these cases could take time (more than 10 minutes for an experiment), so plan ahead.

(a) (8 points) Assume that the true distribution  $P[x, y] = P[y|x] \cdot P[x]$  is as follows: x is distributed uniformly on the interval [0, 1], and

$$P[y=1|x] = \begin{cases} 0.8 & \text{if } x \in [0,0.2] \cup [0.4,0.6] \cup [0.8,1] \\ 0.1 & \text{if } x \in (0.2,0.4) \cup (0.6,0.8) \end{cases}$$

and P[y=0|x]=1-P[y=1|x]. Since we know the true distribution P, we can calculate  $e_P(h)$  precisely for any hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}_k$ . What is the hypothesis in  $\mathcal{H}_{10}$  with the smallest error (i.e.,  $\arg\min_{h\in\mathcal{H}_{10}}e_P(h)$ )?

- (b) (8 points) Write a function that, given a list of intervals I, calculates the true error  $e_P(h_I)$ . Then, for  $k=3, n=10,15,20,\ldots,100$ , perform the following experiment T=100 times: (i) Draw a sample of size n and run the ERM algorithm on it; (ii) Calculate the empirical error for the returned hypothesis; (iii) Calculate the true error for the returned hypothesis. Plot the empirical and true errors, averaged across the T runs, as a function of n. Discuss the results. Do the empirical and true errors decrease or increase with n? Why?
- (c) (8 points) Draw a sample of size n = 1500. Find an ERM hypothesis for k = 1, 2, ..., 10, and plot the empirical and true errors as a function of k. How does the error behave? Define  $k^*$  to be the k with the smallest empirical error for ERM. Does this mean the hypothesis with  $k^*$  intervals is a good choice?
- (d) (8 points) Now we will use the principle of structural risk minimization (SRM), to search for a k that gives a good test error. Let  $\delta_k = \frac{0.1}{k^2}$ .
  - Use to following penalty function:

$$2\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_k) + \ln\frac{2}{\delta_k}}{n}}$$

- Draw a data set of n = 1500 samples, run the experiment in (c) again, but now plot two additional lines as a function of k: 1) the penalty, and 2) the sum of penalty and empirical error.
- What is the best value for k in each case? is it better than the one you chose in (c)?
- (e) (8 points) Here we will use holdout-validation to search for a  $k \in \{1, ..., 10\}$  that gives good test error. Draw a data set of n = 1500 samples and use 20% for a holdout-validation. Choose the best hypothesis and discuss how close this gets you to finding the hypothesis with optimal true error. No need to retrain on the entire dataset; you can simply use the hypothesis obtained during the holdout-validation process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See the notes of lecture #3 for more details regarding a similar setting of  $\delta_k$ .