

The background of the slide features a large, faint, circular seal of Lund University. The seal contains a lion rampant, a crown, and the Latin text "SIGILLUM UNIVERSITATIS LUNDENSIS" around the perimeter, with the year "1229" at the bottom.

Lund University  
Computer Science Department

# QL: OBJECT-ORIENTED QUERIES ON RELATIONAL DATA

SDE Reading Group

**Idriss Riouak**

March 1, 2022

# What is QL ?



- ▶ QL is:
  - ▶ A **logic** language based on first-order logic
  - ▶ A **declarative** language without side-effects
  - ▶ An **Object-oriented** language
  - ▶ A **query** language working on a relational data models.
- ▶ General purpose language ... well suited for implementing static analyses.
- ▶ Developed by **Semmler** and bought by GitHub in 2019.
- ▶ Now is the core of **CodeQL**

# Snapshot database



Query are executed on a special database called **snapshot database**.

- ▶ The database contains a representation of the program to analyse
- ▶ Describes the program as it was at one particular point in time.

The result of a query is as set of tuples.



Goal: find useless expressions, i.e., pure expressions in a void context, in JS.

```
import javascript // Provides general support for working with JS

predicate inVoidContext(Expr e) {
exists (ExprStmt s | e = s.getExpr()) or
exists (SeqExpr seq, int i | e = seq.getOperand(i) and
(i < count(Expr op | op = seq.getOperand(_))-1 or inVoidContext(seq)))
}

from Expr e
where e.isPure() and inVoidContext(e) and not (e instanceof VoidExpr)
select e, "This expression has no effect."
```



A QL program is composed by:

- ▶ a set of **intensional** predicates, e.g., `inVoidContext(·)`
  - ▶ one of which is a distinguished query predicate **`from_where_select`**.
- ▶ Evaluated on top of an **extensional** database which defines a set of extensional predicates

The target language of QL is a dialect of ***Datalog***. This dialect provides support for arithmetic and string operations.



The semantics of a program is the **lfp** of its intensional predicates.

Intensional predicates are assigned the smallest sets of tuples that satisfy their recursive definitions.



- ▶ A type in QL represents a set of values. This set is called **Extent**
- ▶ Two kinds of base type:
  - ▶ **Primitive types**: e.g., int or string. Fixed extent
  - ▶ **Entity types**: defined by a unary extensional predicate. Context-dependent extent.
    - ▶ Extent of **@expr** in JS: set of all expression in the program
    - ▶ Extent of **@seqexpr** in JS: set of sequence expressions in the program
- ▶ Classes are types whose extent is defined by the **characteristic predicate** of the class.

```
class Digit extends int { Digit() { (int)this in [0..9] } }
```

# Subtyping



- ▶ Subtyping can be viewed as **set inclusion** of extents.
  - ▶ If **A** is a subtype of **B**, then the extent of **A** is a subset of the extent of **B**.
- ▶ For entity types, the subtyping relation is given by the database schema:
  - ▶ @seqexpr <: @expr
  - ▶ Entity types can only be subtypes of other entity types:  
**@NullLiteral** ✗: **string**
- ▶ For classes, direct supertypes are specified as part of their declaration (using java-like syntax).

```
class Even extends Digit { Even() { (int)this % 2 = 0 } }  
class Odd extends Digit { Odd() { not this instanceof Even } }  
class PrimeDigit extends Digit {  
PrimeDigit() { count(Digit divisor | (int)this % (int)divisor = 0)  
= 2 } }
```



# Multiple supertypes



- ▶ A class can have multiple supertypes
- ▶ The intersection of all the extent of the supertypes is called **domain**.
- ▶ The domain of a class is not always equal its extent.
- ▶ Example

```
class EvenPrime extends Even, PrimeDigit {}
```

- ▶ In this case the domain is equals to the class extent.
- ▶ EvenPrime is a subtype of the intersection between Even and PrimeDigit.

# Prescriptive vs Descriptive typing



9

- ▶ QL follows a **prescriptive** typing discipline: the syntactic type declaration corresponds to a semantic containment check at runtime.

```
predicate isSmall(Digit d) { (int)d < 5 }  
  
from int i where isSmall(i) and i < 0 select i
```

- ▶ Under a **descriptive** typing discipline, this would be compile-time error.
- ▶ The predicate is syntactic sugar for

```
predicate isSmall(int d) { d instanceof Digit and d < 5 }
```

# Member predicates



The predicate `isSmall` describes a property of `Digits`, so it makes sense to add it to class `Digit` as a *member predicate*.

```
class Digit extends int {  
  Digit() { (int)this in [0..9] }  
  predicate isSmall() { (int)this < 5 }  
  predicate divides(Digit that) { (int)that % (int)this = 0 } }  
}  
  
from Digit d where d.isSmall() select d
```

# Multi-valued expressions



- ▶ QL allows treating predicates as multi-valued "functions" with a dedicated result parameter.

```
Digit getADivisor() { (int)this % (int)result = 0 }
```

That can be used:

```
from Digit d where d.getADivisor() = 2 select d // selects 0, 2,  
4, 6, 8
```

- ▶ When translated to Datalog, the predicate is desugared in a normal predicate by making the result parameter explicit. For instance `d.getADivisor()=2` is translated into:

```
exists (Digit tmp | d.getADivisor(tmp) and tmp = 2)
```

# Abstract classes



- ▶ Top-down modelling: starting from a general superclass representing a large set of values, we carve out individual subclasses representing more restricted sets of values.
- ▶ Bottom-up modelling: think about a class as being the union of its subclasses. QL supports this using the notion of abstract classes.
  - ▶ An abstract class can have one or more superclasses
  - ▶ And a characteristic predicate
  - ▶ But the extent of an abstract class is the union of the extends of all its subclasses.

# Storage level



QL program are run on a relational database.

The abstract syntax tree is encoded in tables:

Expr: (x === 1)

ID	Kind
2	EqExpr
1	VarRef
0	IntegerLiteral

Id - PK	Kind - FK	Parent - FK	Idx
0 //(x === 1)	2	...	...
2 // 1	0	0	1
1 // x	1	0	0

# Data Abstraction



- ▶ QL classes hide the specifics of how data is stored in tables behind a higher-level interface, thereby acting like abstract datatypes.

```
class Expr extends @expr {  
  Expr getParent() { exprs(this, _, result, _ ) }  
  Expr getChildExpr(int i) { exprs(result, _, this, i) }  
  string toString() { result = "expr" }  
}
```

- ▶ Easier to change data representation if all client analyses use Expr instead of directly accessing the DB.



We can have a richer semantic interface by defining subclasses of Expr:

```
class EqExpr extends Expr {  
  EqExpr() { exprs(this, 2, _, _) } // Characteristic predicated  
  Expr getLeftOperand() { result = this.getChildExpr(0) }  
  Expr getRightOperand() { result = this.getChildExpr(1) }  
  string toString() { result = "===" }  
}
```



# Overriding



As a practical example of overriding, consider implementing **Expr.isPure**:

```
class Expr extends @expr { predicate isPure() { none() } //Built-in
    predicate that always fails

class Literal extends Expr { predicate isPure() { any() } //Built-in
    predicate that always succeeds

class EqExpr extends Expr {
    predicate isPure() { forall (Expr c | c = this.getChildExpr(_) |
        c.isPure()) //Propagating the check to all the children
    }
```

# Interface vs Implementation



We want to implement an analysis for JS to find comparisons between expressions with incompatible (dynamic) types, which will always evaluate to false at runtime

```
from EqExpr eq, Expr l, Expr r
where l = eq.getLeftOperand() and r = eq.getRightOperand() and
      incompatTypes(l, r)
select eq, "Operands have incompatible types."
```

# Interface vs Implementation



We want to implement an analysis for JS to find comparisons between expressions with incompatible (dynamic) types, which will always evaluate to false at runtime

```
from EqExpr eq, Expr l, Expr r, AnotherKindOfExpr akoe ...  
where l = eq.getLeftOperand() and r = eq.getRightOperand() and  
      incompatTypes(l, r) or akoe ...  
select eq, "Operands have incompatible types."
```

# Interface vs Implementation



- ▶ Let's define an abstract class

```
abstract class EqualityTest extends ASTNode {  
    abstract Expr getALeftOperand();  
    abstract Expr getARightOperand();  
}
```

- ▶ Let's define two new classes:

```
class EqExprEqualityTest extends EqExpr, EqualityTest {  
    Expr getALeftOperand() { result = this.getLeftOperand() }  
    Expr getARightOperand() { result = this.getRightOperand() }  
}  
  
class SwitchEqualityTest extends SwitchStmt, EqualityTest {  
    Expr getALeftOperand() { result = this.getExpr() }  
    Expr getARightOperand() { result = this.getACase().getExpr() }  
}
```

# Interface vs Implementation



20

Now we can rewrite the query in terms of EqualityTest

```
from EqualityTest eq, Expr l, Expr r
where l = eq.getALeftOperand() and r = eq.getARightOperand() and
      incompatTypes(l, r)
select eq, "Operands have incompatible types."
```

# A study case



- ▶ Reimplemented **ErrorProne** in QL: 101 checks
- ▶ One man-month of effort by experienced QL programmer
- ▶ ErrorProne LOC: 10500 - 1100 (suggested fixes) - 2800 (import, packages and Override) = 6600
- ▶ QL LOC: 2000 - 100 (imports) = 1900
- ▶ Java implementation is 3.5x the size of the QL implementation
- ▶ QL is 4 time slower than ErrorProne (Warm-up or steady state ?)
- ▶ QL runs offline

# Conclusions



QL is a lot of things and support many things:

- ▶ Data abstraction
- ▶ Inheritance with dynamic dispatch
- ▶ Overlapping classes
- ▶ Relational member predicates
- ▶ Object creation and mutation are not supported.
- ▶ Parallelism comes for free
- ▶ Conciseness
- ▶ March 2016: Semmle's static analysis platform offers about 2500 individual analyses for 8 languages.