Throwing sand in the eyes of the dikastai

A. The conceptualisation of a coherent legal system: the charge of prostitution against Androtion.

Text 1

Dem. 22.24 οἱ νόμοι δ' οὐκ ἐῶσι λέγειν οὐδὲ τὰ ἔννομα τοὺς οὕτω βεβιωκότας, ἡμεῖς δ' ἐπιδείκνυμεν οὐ μόνον εἰρηκότ' αὐτὸν παράνομα, ἀλλὰ καὶ βεβιωκότα παρανόμως, πῶς οὐχὶ προσήκει λέγειν περὶ τούτου τοῦ νόμου δι' οὖ ταῦτ' ἐλέγχεται; cf. §21.

And because the laws do not allow men who have lived such a life to put forward even lawful proposals, and finally because we are trying to show not only that he has moved an illegal decree but that he has lived in an illegal fashion, how is it not appropriate to discuss this law that applies to his actions? (Trans. Harris 2008)

The concept of the flexibility of the legal system

Text 2

Dem. 22.25 καὶ μὴν κἀκεῖνό γε δεῖ μαθεῖν ὑμᾶς, ὅτι τοὺς νόμους ὁ τιθεὶς τούτους Σόλων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τοὺς πολλούς, οὐδὲν ὅμοιος ὢν τούτω νομοθέτης, οὐχ ἑνὶ ἔδωκε τρόπω περὶ τῶν ἀδικημάτων ἑκάστων λαμβάνειν δίκην τοῖς βουλομένοις παρὰ τῶν ἀδικούντων, ἀλλὰ πολλαχῶς. ἤδει γάρ, οἶμαι, τοῦθ΄ ὅτι τοὺς ἐν τῆ πόλει γενέσθαι πάντας ὁμοίως ἢ δεινοὺς ἢ θρασεῖς ἢ μετρίους οὐκ ἂν εἴη. εἰ μὲν οὖν, ὡς τοῖς μετρίοις δίκην ἐξαρκέσει λαβεῖν, οὕτω τοὺς νόμους θήσει, μετ΄ ἀδείας ἔσεσθαι πολλοὺς πονηροὺς ἡγεῖτο· εἰ δ΄ ὡς τοῖς θρασέσιν καὶ δυνατοῖς λέγειν, τοὺς ἰδιώτας οὐ δυνήσεσθαι τὸν αὐτὸν τούτοις τρόπον λαμβάνειν δίκην.

Next, you should also realize this: Solon, the man who established these laws and most of our others—a lawgiver who was nothing like this man—did not provide one single way for men who wished to punish criminals, but many. He understood, I think, this fact that all men in the city would not have similar abilities or talents or the same degree of confidence or self-restraint. If he were to enact such laws as were suitable for moderate men to pursue justice, he figured that many scoundrels would go scot-free. Yet if the system favoured men who were bold and talented speakers, private citizens would not have the same opportunity to obtain justice as the former. (Trans. Harris 2008)

Text 3

Dem. 22.26-27 δεῖν δ' ὤετο μηδέν' ἀποστερεῖσθαι τοῦ δίκης τυχεῖν, ὡς ἕκαστος δύναται. πῶς οὖνἔσται τοῦτο; ἐὰν πολλὰς ὁδοὺς δῷ διὰ τῶν νόμων ἐπὶ τοὺς ἠδικηκότας οἶον τῆς κλοπῆς. ἔρρωσαι καὶ σαυτῷ πιστεύεις· ἄπαγε· ἐν χιλίαις δ' ὁ κίνδυνος.

ἀσθενέστερος εἶ· τοῖς ἄρχουσιν ἐφηγοῦ· τοῦτο ποιήσουσιν ἐκεῖνοι. φοβεῖ καὶ [27] τοῦτο· γράφου. καταμέμφει σεαυτὸν καὶ πένης ὢν οὐκ ἂν ἔχοις χιλίας ἐκτεῖσαι· δικάζου κλοπῆς πρὸς διαιτητὴν καὶ οὐ κινδυνεύσεις. [οὐδέτερον βούλει τούτων· γράφου. κατοκνεῖς καὶ τοῦτο· ἐφηγοῦ.] τούτων οὐδέν ἐστι ταὐτό. τῆς ἀσεβείας κατὰ ταὕτ' ἔστ' ἀπάγειν, γράφεσθαι, δικάζεσθαι πρὸς Εὐμολπίδας, φαίνειν πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα. περὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἀπάντων τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον σχεδόν.

He thought that no one should be deprived of the right to obtain justice in a way suited to his level of ability. How then will this come about? If he creates many legal procedures for proceeding against offenders, as, for example, in the case of theft. You are strong and confident in your own ability: arrest him and risk a fine of 1,000 drachmas. You are weaker: lead the magistrates to him, and they will do it. You are also afraid of this: bring a public charge. [27] You do not feel confident, and since you are poor, you would not be able to pay the fine of 1,000 drachmas; bring a private action before the arbitrator, and you will run no risk. None of these procedures is the same. The situation is the same with cases of impiety: someone can make an arrest, bring a public charge, bring a private charge before the Eumolpidai, or make a denunciation to the King. And it is more or less the same for all other procedures. (Trans. Harris 2008)

Cf. the complementary and competing rationalisations of the legal system in other cases:

Text 4

Hyp. Eux.4-6 καίτοι ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταὶ ἐπὶ τῶν δημοσίων ἀγώνων οὐ χρὴ τοὺς δικαστὰς πρότερον τὰ καθ' ἕκαστα τῆς κατηγορίας ὑπομένειν ἀκούειν, πρίν, <ἂν>αὐτὸ τὸ κεφάλαιον τοῦ ἀγῶνος καὶ τὴν ἀντιγραφὴν ἐξετάσωσιν εἰ ἔστιν ἐκ τῶν νόμων ἢ μή· οὐ μὰ Δία οὐχ ὤσπερ ἐν τῆ κατηγορία Πολύευκτος ἔλεγεν, οὐ φάσκων δεῖν τοὺς ἀπολογουμένους ἰσχυρίζεσθαι τῷ εἰσαγγελτικῷ νόμωι, ος κελεύει κατὰ τῶν ὁητόρων αὐτῶν τὰς εἰσαγγελίας εἶναι περὶ τοῦ λέγειν μὴ οὐ τὰ ἄριστα τῶι δήμωι, οὐ κατὰ πάντων Άθηναίων. ἐγὼ δὲ οὔτε πρότερον οὐδενὸς ἂν μνησθείην ἢ τούτου, οὔτε πλείους οἶμαι δεῖν λόγους ποιεῖσθαι περὶ ἄλλου τινὸς, ἢ ὅπως ἐν δημοκρατίαι κύριοι οἱ νόμοι ἔσονται, καὶ αἱ εἰσαγγελίαι καὶ αἱ ἄλλαι κρίσεις κατὰ τοὺς νόμους εἰσί√σ∥ασιν εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον διὰ τοῦτο γὰρ ὑμεῖς ὑπὲρ ἀπάντων τῶν ἀδικημάτων, ὅσα ἔστιν ἐν τῆ πόλει, νόμους ἔθεσθε χωρὶς περὶ ἑκάστου αὐτῶν. ἀσεβεῖ τις περὶ τὰ ἱερά· γραφαὶ ἀσεβείας πρὸς τὸν βασιλέα. φαῦλός ἐστι πρὸς τοὺς ἑαυτοῦ γονεῖς· ὁ ἄρχων ἐπὶ τούτου κάθηται. παράνομά τις εν τη πόλει γράφει θεσμοθετών συνέδριον έστι. ἀπαγωγῆς ἄξια ποιεῖ· ἀρχὴ τῶν ἕνδεκα καθέστηκε. τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἀδικημάτων ἀπάντων καὶ νόμους καὶ ἀρχὰς καὶ δικαστήρια τὰ προσήκοντα έκάστοις αὐτῶν ἀπέδοτε.

[4] Furthermore, dikastai, in public trials the dikastai should refuse to listen to the details of the prosecution until they have examine the main point actually at issue at the trial, and the written reply, to see whether the laws have been broken or not. What is wrong, god knows, is to say what Polyeuktos said in his prosecution: the defendants ought not to rely on the impeachment law. This orders that impeachments be brought against speakers themselves, for saying things not in the best interests of the people, not against all Athenians. [5] Personally, this would have been what I kept in mind above all else; and in my opinion no subject is more addressing than how, in a democracy, the laws will be master and impeachments and other cases will come before the dikastai in accordance with them. This was why you laid down separate laws concerning each and every crime that occurs in the polis. [6] Suppose someone is impious in religious matters: public actions for impiety before the basileus. He behaves badly towards his parents: there the archon takes the chair. Someone frames illegal proposals in the polis: a college of thesmothetai exists. He does something which merits summary arrest: that is the domain of the eleven. Likewise, to deal with all other crimes you provided laws and officials and courts appropriate to each. (Trans. Whitehead 2000 adapted).

Text 5

Dem. 54.17-19 (against Konon) οί μὲν γὰο νόμοι πολὺ τἀναντία καὶ τὰς ἀναγκαίας προφάσεις, ὅπως μὴ μείζους γίγνωνται, προείδοντο, οἶον (ἀνάγκη γάο μοι ταῦτα καὶ ζητεῖν καὶ πυνθάνεσθαι διὰ τοῦτον γέγονεν) εἰσὶ κακηγορίας [18] δίκαι· φασὶ τοίνυν ταύτας διὰ τοῦτο γίγνεσθαι, ἵνα μὴ λοιδορούμενοι τύπτειν ἀλλήλους προάγωνται. πάλιν αἰκείας εἰσί· καὶ ταύτας ἀκούω διὰ τοῦτ' εἶναι τὰς δίκας, ἵνα μηδείς, ὅταν ἤττων ἦ, λίθω μηδὲ τῶν τοιούτων ἀμύνηται μηδενί, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἐκ τοῦ νόμου δίκην ἀναμένη. τραύματος πάλιν εἰσὶν γραφαὶ τοῦ μὴ τιτρωσκομένων τινῶν φόνους γίγνεσθαι. τὸ φαυλότατον, οἶμαι, τὸ τῆς λοιδορίας, πρὸ τοῦ τελευταίου καὶ δεινοτάτου προεώραται, τοῦ μὴ φόνον γίγνεσθαι, μηδὲ κατὰ μικρὸν ὑπάγεσθαι ἐκ μὲν λοιδορίας εἰς πληγάς, ἐκ δὲ πληγῶν εἰς τραύματα, ἐκ δὲ τραυμάτων εἰς θάνατον, ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖς νόμοις εἶναι τούτων ἑκάστου τὴν δίκην, μὴ τῆ τοῦ προστυχόντος ὀργῆ μηδὲ βουλήσει ταῦτα κρίνεσθαι.

[17] For the laws, quite the reverse, have provided even for pleas of necessity and sought to prevent them from turning into something more serious. For instance—I've had to find out and research this subject because of this man—there are suits for slander; [18] it's said that these take place so that people will not led on to beat each other when insulted. Then again, there are suits for battery; I'm told that these exist to prevent anyone, when he is getting the worst of it, from retaliating with a stone or any other object of the sort, but to ensure that he waits for legal satisfaction. Again there are indictments for wounding to

prevent murder from occurring when people are wounded 19. The least significant, I think, the action for slander, has been provided for to avoid the ultimate and most serious offence, to ensure that murder will not be committed or people led on by degrees from slander to blows and from blows to wounds and from wounds to killing, but there should be an action for each of these acts laid down in the laws so that they are not judged by individual anger of whim. (Trans. Carey 2012).

Text 6

Aesch. 1.6-8. προσήκειν δὲ ἔγωγε νομίζω, ὅταν μὲν νομοθετῶμεν, τοῦθ' ἡμᾶς σκοπεῖν όπως καλῶς ἔχοντας καὶ συμφέροντας νόμους τῆ πολιτεία θησόμεθα, ἐπειδὰν δὲ νομοθετήσωμεν, τοῖς νόμοις τοῖς κειμένοις πείθεσθαι, τοὺς δὲ μὴ πειθομένους κολάζειν, εἰ δεῖ τὰ τῆς πόλεως καλῶς ἔχειν. [7] ποῶτον μὲν γὰο πεοὶ τῆς σωφοοσύνης τῶν παίδων τῶν ἡμετέρων ἐνομοθέτησαν, καὶ διαρρήδην ἀπέδειξαν ἃ χρὴ τὸν παῖδα τὸν ἐλεύθερον ἐπιτηδεύειν, καὶ ὡς δεῖ αὐτὸν τραφῆναι, ἔπειτα δεύτερον περὶ τῶν μειρακίων, τρίτον δ' ἐφεξῆς περὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἡλικιῶν, οὐ μόνον περὶ τῶν ἰδιωτῶν, άλλα καὶ περὶ τῶν ὁητόρων. Καὶ τούτους τοὺς νόμους ἀναγράψαντες ὑμῖν παρακατέθεντο, καὶ ὑμᾶς αὐτῶν ἐπέστησαν φύλακας... [8] Πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ διέξειμι πρὸς ύμᾶς τοὺς νόμους οἱ κεῖνται περὶ τῆς εὐκοσμίας τῶν παίδων τῶν ἡμετέρων, ἔπειτα δεύτερον τοὺς περὶ τῶν μειρακίων, τρίτον δ' ἐφεξῆς τοὺς περὶ τῶν ἄλλων ήλικιῶν, οὐ μόνον περὶ τῶν ἰδιωτῶν, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ τῶν ἡητόρων οὕτω γὰρ ἄν μοι μάλιστα ύπολαμβάνω τοὺς λόγους εὐμαθεῖς γενέσθαι. Άμα δὲ καὶ βούλομαι, ὧ Άθηναῖοι, προδιεξελθεῖν πρῶτον πρὸς ὑμᾶς ὡς ἔχουσιν οἱ νόμοι τῆς πόλεως, πάλιν δὲ μετὰ τοῦτο ἀντεξετάσαι τοὺς τρόπους τοὺς Τιμάρχου· εύρήσετε γὰρ αὐτὸν ἐναντίως ἄπασι τοῖς νόμοις βεβιωκότα.

[6] In my view our aim when we legislate should be to make laws that are good and advantageous to our constitution, and once we have legislated, we should obey the established laws and punish those who disobey them, if the city is to thrive. Observe, men of Athens, the great concern for decency shown by the other lawgiver's of that period. [7] First of all they legislated for the decency of our children and they explicitly enacted how the freeborn boy should spend his time and how he should brought up, then second for youths and third for the other age groups in succession, not just for private citizens but also for public speakers. They put these laws in writing and deposited them with you, making you their guardians. [8] What I want to do now is to follow the same order in my speech to you as the lawgiver does in the law. First of all I shall describe the laws established for the discipline of your children, then second those for the youths, and third in turn those for the other age groups, not only for private citizens but also for public speakers. In this way I believe my argument will be easiest to understand. I also want, men

of Athens, first of all to give you a preliminary account of the city's laws, then after to examine comparison Timarchos' character; **for you will find that his life has been contrary to all the laws**. (Trans. Carey 2012).

B. The intent of the lawgiver

Text 7

Dem. 22.30 Άξιον τοίνυν, ὧ ἄνδοες Ἀθηναῖοι, καὶ τὸν θέντα τὸν νόμον ἐξετάσαι Σόλωνα, καὶ θεάσασθαι ὅσην πρόνοιαν ἐποιεῖτο ἐν ἄπασιν οἰς ἐτίθει νόμοις τῆς πολιτείας... [31] ἀλλ' οὐ τοῦτ' ἐσπούδασεν, ἀλλὰ ταῦτ' ἀπεῖπεν ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν καὶ τῆς πολιτείας. ἤδει γάρ, ἤδει τοῖς αἰσχρῶς βεβιωκόσιν ἁπασῶν οὖσαν ἐναντιωτάτην πολιτείαν ἐν ἤ πᾶσιν ἔξεστι λέγειν τὰκείνων ὀνείδη. ἔστι δ' αὕτη τίς; δημοκρατία. οὔκουν ἐνόμιζεν ἀσφαλές, εἴ ποτε συμβήσεται γενέσθαι συχνοὺς ἀνθρώπους κατὰ τοὺς αὐτοὺς χρόνους εἰπεῖν μὲν δεινοὺς καὶ θρασεῖς, τοιούτων δ' ὀνειδῶν καὶ [32] κακῶν μεστούς· πολλὰ γὰρ ἄν τὸν δῆμον ὑπ' αὐτῶν ὑπαχθέντ' ἐξαμαρτεῖν, κἀκείνους ἤτοι καταλῦσαί γ' ἄν πειρᾶσθαι τὸ παράπαν τὸν δῆμον (ἐν γὰρ ταῖς ὀλιγαρχίαις, οὐδ' ἀν ὧσιν ἔτ' Ανδροτίωνός τινες αἴσχιον βεβιωκότες, οὐκ ἔστι λέγειν κακῶς τοὺς ἄρχοντας), ἢ προάγειν ἄν ὡς πονηροτάτους εἶναι, ἵν' ὡς ὁμοιότατοι σφίσιν ὧσι. τὴν οὖν ἀρχὴν τοῖς τοιούτοις ἀπεῖπε μὴ μετέχειν τοῦ συμβουλεύειν, ἵνα μὴ φενακισθεὶς ὁ δῆμος ἐξαμάρτοι μηδέν.

[30] It is also worthwhile to study Solon, men of Athens, the man who established the law, and to consider how much foresight he displayed for all the laws he included in our constitution (...). [31] But this was not his main concern. Rather, he imposed these restrictions to protect you and your constitution. He realized, yes, he realized that out of all constitutions, the one that is most hostile to men who have lived a shameful life is the one that grants all men the right to criticize their vices. Which one is that? Democracy. But Solon was aware of the danger that at some time in the future there might be a large group of men who were both eloquent and bold but also led lives full of vice and depravity. [32] These men might mislead the people and cause them to commit many mistakes; indeed, they might try to overthrow the democracy altogether. (In oligarchies, by contrast, it is not possible to criticize magistrates even if they have led lives more disgraceful than Androtion's.) Or they might try to corrupt the people so thoroughly that they would turn out very much like themselves. He therefore completely deprived such men of the right to speak on public issues so they would not trick the people and cause them to make mistakes. But this fine, upstanding man paid no attention to these laws; not only did he think he needed to speak and move proposals despite this prohibition but he also did so in defiance of the laws. (Trans. Harris 2008)

See also **Text 2** (above).

C. Prudential arguments based on precedent

Text 8

Dem. 22.19 χωρὶς δὲ τούτων ἔμοιγε δοκοῦσιν αἵρεσιν ὑμῖν οἱ τοιοῦτοι λόγοι διδόναι, πότερ' οἴεσθε δεῖν προφάσεις καὶ λόγους ἀκούειν τῶν ἀδικούντων ὑμᾶς ἢ ναῦς κεκτῆσθαι. εἰ μὲν γὰρ τούτου ταῦτ' ἀποδέξεσθε, ἔσται δῆλον ἁπάσαις ταῖς βουλαῖς ὅτι δεῖ πρόφασιν πιθανὴν ἐξευρεῖν πρὸς ὑμᾶς, οὐχὶ τριήρεις ποιήσασθαι· ἐκ δὲ τούτου τὰ μὲν χρήματ' ἀναλωθήσεται, ναῦς δ' οὐχ ἕξεθ' ὑμεῖς.

[19] Apart from this, in my opinion such arguments present you with a choice: either you decide that you should listen to excuses and arguments from men who are doing you wrong, or you should have ships. If you accept these arguments from this man, it will be obvious to all Councils that they must find a plausible excuse rather than have triremes built. In that case, money will be spent, but you will not have ships. (Trans. Harris 2008)

Text 9

Dem. 22.35 εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων αὐτῷ λόγοι πρὸς τὸ φενακίζειν ὑμᾶς εὖ μεμηχανημένοι, περὶ ὧν βέλτιον ὑμᾶς προακοῦσαι. ἔστιν γὰρ εἶς αὐτῷ τοιοῦτος, μὴ πεντακοσίους ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἀφελέσθαι τὴν δωρειὰν μηδ' ὀνείδει περιβαλεῖν· ἐκείνων άγών, οὐκ ἐμός. ἐγὼ δ' εἰ μὲν ἐμέλλετ' ἀφαιρήσεσθαι τούτους μόνον, ἄλλο δὲ μηδὲν ἀφελήσειν τὴν πόλιν, οὐδὲν ἂν ὑμᾶς σφόδρα σπουδάζειν ἠξίουν· εἰ δὲ τῷ τοῦτο ποιῆσαι πλείους ἢ μυρίους τοὺς ἄλλους πολίτας βελτίους εἶναι προτρέψετε, πόσφ κάλλιον τοσούτους παρασκευάσαι χρηστοὺς ἢ πεντακοσίοις ἀδίκως χαρίσασθαι;

[35] He also has some arguments about other topics that are well designed to trick you. It is better for you to hear about them in advance. He has one argument that goes like this: 'Don't take an award away from five hundred of your fellow citizens nor cover them with disgrace. I am fighting for them, not for myself.' If you were only about to take this away from them, but bring no other advantage to the city, I would not ask you to take the matter so seriously. But if by doing this you are going to encourage more than ten thousand other citizens to live better lives, how much better is it to make so many men virtuous than to grant five hundred a favour that they do not deserve? (Trans. Harris 2008)

Text 10

Dem. 22.39 ἔχει γὰο οὕτως. ἂν μὲν ἀπογνῶτε τὴν γοαφὴν ταύτην, ἄπαντές εἰσιν ἀπηλλαγμένοι καὶ δίκην οὐδεὶς οὐδεμίαν μὴ δῷ· τίς γὰο ἔτ' ἂν καταψηφίσαιτ' ἐκείνων,

τὴν βουλὴν ὑμῶν ἐστεφανωκότων ἦς οὖτοι ποοέστασαν; ἐὰν δὲ καταγνῶτε, ποῶτον μὲν τὰ εὔοοκ' ἔσεσθ' ἐψηφισμένοι, εἶτ' ἐπὶ ταῖς εὐθύναις ἕκαστον τούτων λαμβάνοντες, ὃς μὲν ἂν ὑμῖν ἀδικεῖν δοκῆ, κολάσετε, ὃς δ' ἂν μή, τότ' ἀφήσετε. μὴ οὖν ὡς ὑπὲο τῆς βουλῆς λεγόντων καὶ τῶν πολλῶν ἀκούετε, ἀλλ' ὡς ὑπὲο αὑτῶν παρακρουομένοις ὀργίζεσθε.

[39] This is the situation. If you acquit them on this public charge, they will get off scot-free and probably none of them will receive any punishment. For who would vote against them after you had given a crown to the Council where they were in charge? If you convict, you will first of all have voted in keeping with your oath, and second, you whoever you think has committed a crime and then acquit whoever has not. Do not listen to them as if they were speaking on behalf of the Council and the majority of its members, but show that you are angry with them for trying to deceive you in order to help themselves. (Trans. Harris 2008)

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

Carey, C. (2004) 'Offence and Procedure in Athenian Law', in Harris E./Rubinstein L. (eds.)

The Law and the Courts in Ancient Greece, 111-136.

------, (1994c) 'Artless proofs in Aristotle and the orators', BICS 39, 95-106.

-----, (1995) 'Rape and Adultery in Athenian Law', CQ 45, 407-417.

------, (1996) 'Nomos in Attic rhetoric and oratory', JHS 116, 33-46.

------, (1998) 'The Shape of Athenian Laws', CQ 48, 93-109.

De Braw, M. (2001–02) 'Listen to the Laws Themselves: Citations of Laws and Portrayal of Character in Attic Oratory', *CJ* 97, 161–76.

Gagarin, M. (2003) 'Telling Stories in Athenian Law', TAPA 133, 197-207.

Hansen, M. H. (1989) 'Solonian Democracy in Fourth-Century Athens', C&M 60, 71-99.

Harris, E. (1994) 'Law and Oratory', in Worthington I. (ed.) *Persuasion. Greek Oratory in Action*, London, 130-150.

-----, (2006) Did the Athenian Courts Attempt to Achieve Consistency/ Oral Tradition and Written Records in the Athenian Administration of Justice', in Cooper (ed.) *Politics of Orality*, Leiden.

-----, (2009-10) 'What are the Laws of Athens about? Substance and Procedure in Athenian Statutes', *Dike* 12-13, 5-67.

Lanni, A. (2004) 'Arguing from Precedent: Modern Perspectives on Athenian Practice', in Harris, E./ Rubinstein, L. (eds.) *The Law and the Courts in Ancient Greece*, 159-171.

-----, (2006) Law and justice in the courts of classical Athens, Cambridge.

Osborne, R. (1985) 'Law in Action in Classical Athens', JHS 105, 40-58.

Rubinstein, L. (2000) Litigation and Cooperation, Historia Einzelschriften 147, Stuttgart.

-----, (2007) 'Arguments from Precedent in Attic Oratory', in Carawan E. (ed.) Oxford Readings in the Attic Orators, Oxford.

Scheppele, K. (1989) 'Legal Story Telling', Michigan Law Review 87, 2073-2098.

Sickinger, J. (2007) 'Rhetoric and the Law', in Worthington (ed.) *A Companion to Greek Rhetoric*, London.

Thomas, R. (1994) 'Law and the Lawgiver in the Athenian Democracy' in Osborne, R./ Hornblower, S. (eds.) *Ritual, Finance, Politics*, Oxford.

Wohl, V. (2010) Law's Cosmos: Juridical Discourse in Athenian Forensic Oratory, Cambridge.

Yunis, H. (2005) 'The Rhetoric of Law in Fourth-Century Athens' in Gagarin M./ Cohen, D. (eds.) (2005) *The Cambridge Companion to Ancient Greek Law*, Cambridge, 191-210.