# AMQ RFC012 AMQP/Fast Semantics for JMS

version 0.1

James Strachan <a href="mailto:strachan@protique.com">strachan@protique.com</a>

Copyright (c) 2004 JPMorgan

Revised: 2005/03/03

# **Contents**

1	Cov	ver	1
	1.1	State of this Document	1
	1.2	Copyright Notice	1
	1.3	Authors	1
	1.4	Abstract	1
2	Des	ign Proposal	2
	2.1	Detailed Proposal	2
	2.2	destinationType Semantics	2
	2.3	MessageProducer mapping	3
	2.4	TBD	3
	2.5	Future Considerations	3
	2.6	Security Considerations	3
3	App	pendices	4
4	Cor	nments on this Document	5
	4 1	Date name	5

2005/03/03

# 1 Cover

#### 1.1 State of this Document

This document is a request for comments. Distribution of this document is currently limited to iMatix and JPMorgan internal use.

This document is a provisional proposal. This document is a formal standard.

## 1.2 Copyright Notice

This document is copyright (c) 2004 JPMorgan Inc.

#### 1.3 Authors

This document was written by James Strachan <jstrachan@protique.com>.

#### 1.4 Abstract

This proposal defines a mapping of the JMS specification onto the AMQ protocol.

# 2 Design Proposal

#### 2.1 Detailed Proposal

We map the message-oriented semantics of JMS onto AMQP/Fast as follows:

- 1. JMS connection and sessions are mapped cleanly onto AMQP connections and channels.
- 2. JMS MessageConsumers will each use a single AMQP handle.
- 3. JMS MessageProducers will each use at least one AMQP handle. For a MessageProducer created with a specific Destination, then a single AMQP handle will be used; though JMS supports other destinations to be used and so other handles will be used.
- 4. There is nothing in JMS which naturally maps to HANDLE REQUEST though a provider may wish to use this mechanism, outside of the JMS specification such as to implement a remote JMX protocol.
- 5. The MIME type for the request field in CHANNEL SUBSCRIBE commands will be "Application/JMS".
- 6. The JMS destination types are mapped to the AMQP 'path' somehow (TBD)

## 2.2 destinationType Semantics

JMS supports the following destination Type values and associated semantics.

- **/topic/durable** A durable topic; messages must be persisted to disk before ACKs and subscriptions do not terminate when the channel closes. Messages are never removed unless consumed or some administrator deletes them.
- /queue/durable A durable queue; messages must be persisted to disk before ACKs. Messages are never removed unless consumed or some administrator deletes them.
- /topic/transient A transient topic; messages may be buffered to disk/in RAM for a period of time and if a client disconnects and reconnects some form of recovery/replay may be used but this is provider dependent
- /transient/queue a transient queue; messages may be buffered to disk/in RAM up for a period of time and if a client disconnects and reconnects some form of recovery/replay may be used but this is provider dependent
- /tmp/queue a temporary queue which survives only as long as the current connection. On reconnection, the queue no longer exists.
- /topic/tmp a temporary topic which survives only as long as the current connection. On reconnection, the topic no longer exists.

## 2.3 MessageProducer mapping

A handle in AMQP is bound to a single destinationType (such as /queue/durable or /topic/tmp). However in the JMS API a MessageProducer can send/receive to any destination and can use both temporary destinations or permanent ones as well as using topics and queues.

So to map to AMQP a MessageProducer must remember the current destinationType of the AMQP channel and if a new destination or delivery mode is different, explicitly open a new HANDLE for the new destination.

Some providers may find this inefficient and may wish to create, lazily, up to 6 different AMQP channels for each JMS MessageProducer and use the correct one each time to avoid the rebind.

#### 2.4 TBD

We need to come up with MIME types for the standard 5 JMS message body types

- Java serialized object
- text (application/text?)
- binary (BytesMessage and StreamMessage)
- MapMessage (key-value pairs of primitive types)

#### 2.5 Future Considerations

If multiple MessageConsumer instances are consuming on a similar topics with overlapping selectors then a single message could be delivered to several MessageConsumers. Currently there is no optimisation in the AMQP protocol to cater for this scenario.

There could be, in future AMQP versions, a custom header used to indicate all other matching handle subscriptions that a given message matches; allowing a JMS client to map a single message to multiple channels.

Currently this is only an issue for multiple consumers on overlapping topics. Its advisable to use a single MessageConsumer channel in the JMS client to minimise the redundant use of the network in this particular case.

## 2.6 Security Considerations

This proposal does not have any specific security considerations.

# 3 Appendices

[For other information you want to include with this document. If you do not have any appendices, you can delete this heading.]

# **4 Comments on this Document**

Comments by readers; these comments may be edited, incoporated, or removed by the author(s) of the document at any time.

## 4.1 Date, name

No comments at present.