

① Number of block = $\frac{120 \text{ GB}}{4 \text{ KB}} = \frac{120 \times 2^{30} \text{ byte}}{4 \times 2^{10} \text{ byte}}$
 $= 30 \times 2^{20}$

For FAT 32, each entry in table is 4 byte.

So, space needed in memory

$$= 4 \times (30 \times 2^{20}) \text{ byte}$$

$$= 120 \times 2^{20} \text{ byte}$$

$$= 120 \text{ MB}$$

② If we use i-node instead of linked list allocation, reading will be faster. In linked list allocation, random-access is very slow, because of reading previous disk blocks before the required disk block. But, in i-node, we will bring it to memory. Chain will still be followed, ~~by~~ but disk accessing for previous blocks will not be needed. ~~The~~ Chain will be followed only to get the address of target block.

If we use inode, data appears in blocks will be in power of 2, solving the less-efficiently problem of peculiar size in linked list allocation.

③ As long as we need file, we need a whole system to manage it. Files being very important for persistent and long term storage, file system is necessary to maintain it. A file system will keep track of how files are allocated, how they are protected, ~~fit~~ etc. File system will bring out the meaning of byte appear in disk. There are many variants of managing files in disk. A file system can only specify which are in use. Otherwise, ~~the~~ bytes in disk will ~~simply~~ simply appear as garbage.

④ ~~the~~ Symbolic link:-

Suppose, a file in directory Y needs to be linked in directory X.

Symbolic link ~~with~~ system will create a file with type LINK in directory X. In this file, pathname to ~~file~~ ^{target} that file in directory Y will be written. ~~There~~ will be directory entry in X for that LINK-type file.

Whenever user wants to access that ^{shared} file in system understands that the file is in symbolic link. It grabs the pathname from the LINK-file and parse it. It then goes for some disk accesses to get the actual address of ~~the~~ ^{targeted} shared file.