

Cross Validated is a question and answer site for people interested in statistics, machine learning, data analysis, data mining, and data visualization. It only takes a minute to sign up.



Sign up to join this community

Anybody can ask a question

Anybody can answer

The best answers are voted up and rise to the top



Cross Validated

SPONSORED BY

Variance of Bernoulli Random Variable with a Random Variable as parameter

Asked 2 years, 11 months ago Active 2 years, 8 months ago Viewed 835 times



1

Let X be a Bernoulli Random Variable whose parameter is a Uniform Random Variable Q which takes values in the domain $[0, 0.1]$.

We want to find $\text{var}(X)$. My reasoning is the following:



Using the Law of Total Variance we have:



1

$\text{var}(X) = E[\text{var}(X|Q)] + \text{var}(E[X|Q]) = E[Q * (1 - Q)] + \text{var}(Q) = E[Q] - E[Q^2] + \text{var}(Q) = E[Q] - (\text{var}(Q) + (E[Q])^2) + \text{var}(Q) = E[Q] - (E[Q])^2 = 0.05 - 0.05^2 = 0.0475$.



Do you agree with this line of reasoning?

variance

random-variable

bernoulli-distribution

edited Apr 19 '17 at 21:53

asked Apr 19 '17 at 19:36



rf7

689

4

13

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our Cookie Policy, Privacy Policy, and our Terms of Service.



- 2 Please note that the interval $[0, 0.1]$ is a subset of the interval $[0, 1]$ and therefore it is a legitimate domain for the parameter. In the particular problem the parameter is modeled --as I stated-- as a Random Variable uniformly distributed over the interval $[0, 0.1]$. E.g. assume a biased coin. You know that its bias is between 0 --never Heads-- and 0.1 --10% of the times Heads. Then you model the parameter as a Random Variable uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 0.1]$. In dealing with a parameter that is a Random Variable you have to use the Law of Total Variance. – rf7 Apr 19 '17 at 21:12

You are applying a distribution to an unknown fixed parameter. If you were doing Bayesian analysis this could make sense as a prior distribution. Then you would update when you observe a Bernoulli random outcome. – Michael R. Chernick Apr 19 '17 at 21:25

If you are just want to know the variance of a uniform random variable on $[0,0,1]$ there is no need to bring the Bernoulli variable into the picture. You can just integrate $10 \cdot (x-0.05)^2 dx$ to get the variance. – Michael R. Chernick Apr 19 '17 at 21:31

Sorry, this was a typo. I want to find $\text{var}(X)$ not $\text{var}(Q)$ – rf7 Apr 19 '17 at 21:53

1 Answer

Active	Oldest	Votes
--------	--------	-------

You are using the correct approach with the total variance law.

1

$$\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(X|Q)) + \mathbb{E}(\text{Var}(X|Q)).$$

Here you will need the expectations and variances of the Bernoulli and the continuous uniform. These are:

$$\mathbb{E}(X|Q) = Q,$$

$$\text{Var}(X|Q) = Q(1 - Q),$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Q) = \frac{a + b}{2},$$

$$\text{Var}(Q) = \frac{(a - b)^2}{12},$$

where here $a = 0.1$ and $b = 0.0$, the limits of the continuous uniform. The only other thing I used to solve this was the variance relation

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(Y^2) - (\mathbb{E}(Y))^2.$$

This is rearranged to get a relationship between the mean and the variance to get the second moment if you know the mean and the variance. So from the total variance law

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}(X|Q)) + \mathbb{E}(\text{Var}(X|Q)) = \text{Var}(Q) + \mathbb{E}(Q(1 - Q)) = \text{Var}(Q) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}(Q) - \mathbb{E}(Q^2) = \frac{0.1^2}{12} + 0.05 - \left(\frac{.01^2}{12} + 0.05^2 \right) = 0.0008333333 + 0.05 \\ &- (0.0008333333 + 0.0025) = 0.05 - 0.0025 = 0.0475 \end{aligned}$$

which matches what you have in the posting.