



5. Covariance of the multinomial

Problem Set due Apr 1, 2020 05:29 IST Completed

Problem 5. Covariance of the multinomial

3/3 points (graded)

Consider n independent rolls of a k -sided fair die with $k \geq 2$: the sides of the die are labelled $1, 2, \dots, k$, and each side has probability $1/k$. Let the random variable X_i denote the number of rolls that result in side i . Thus, the random vector (X_1, \dots, X_k) has a multinomial distribution.

1. Which of the following statements is correct? Try to answer without doing any calculations.

☐ X_1 and X_2 are uncorrelated.

☐ X_1 and X_2 are positively correlated.

☒ X_1 and X_2 are negatively correlated.



2. Find the covariance, $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2)$, of X_1 and X_2 . Express your answer as a function of n and k using standard notation. *Hint*: Use indicator variables to encode the result of each roll.

$\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) =$

✓ Answer: $-n/(k^2)$

$-\frac{n}{k^2}$

3. Suppose now that the die is biased, with a probability $p_i \neq 0$ that the result of any given die roll is i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We still consider n independent rolls of this biased die and define X_i to be the number of rolls that result in side i .



Generalize your answer to part 2: Find $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2)$ for this case of a biased die. Express your answer as a function of n, k, p_1, p_2 using standard notation. Write p_1 and p_2 as p_1 and p_2 , respectively, for example, $2p_1p_2$ must be entered as $2*p_1*p_2$.

$$\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = \boxed{-n*p_1*p_2} \quad \checkmark \text{ Answer: } -n*(p_1)*(p_2)$$

$-n \cdot p_1 \cdot p_2$

STANDARD NOTATION

Solution:

1. The random variables X_1 and X_2 are negatively correlated. There is a fixed number, n , of rolls of the die. Intuitively, a large number of rolls that result in a 1 uses up many of the n total rolls, which leaves fewer remaining rolls that could result in a 2.
2. Let A_t (respectively, B_t) be a Bernoulli random variable that is equal to 1 if and only if the t th roll resulted in a 1 (respectively, 2). Note that $X_1 = \sum_{t=1}^n A_t$ and $X_2 = \sum_{t=1}^n B_t$, and so

$$\mathbf{E}[X_1] = \mathbf{E}[X_2] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^n A_t\right] = n\mathbf{E}[A_1] = \frac{n}{k}.$$

Since a single roll of the die cannot result in both a 1 and a 2, at least one of A_t and B_t must equal 0. Thus, $\mathbf{E}[A_t B_t] = 0$. Furthermore, since different rolls are independent, A_t and B_s are independent when $t \neq s$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}[A_t B_s] = \mathbf{E}[A_t] \mathbf{E}[B_s] = \frac{1}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{k^2} \quad \text{for } t \neq s,$$

and so

$$\mathbf{E}[X_1 X_2] = \mathbf{E}[(A_1 + \cdots + A_n)(B_1 + \cdots + B_n)]$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{t=s} A_t B_t + \sum_{t \neq s} A_t B_s \right] \\
&= n \cdot 0 + n(n-1) \cdot \mathbf{E}[A_1 B_2] \\
&= n(n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) &= \mathbf{E}[X_1 X_2] - \mathbf{E}[X_1] \mathbf{E}[X_2] \\
&= n(n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{n}{k} \cdot \frac{n}{k} \\
&= -\frac{n}{k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The covariance of X_1 and X_2 is negative as expected.

3. We follow the same reasoning as in part 2. Let A_t (respectively, B_t) be a Bernoulli random variable that is equal to 1 if and only if the t th roll resulted in a 1 (respectively, 2). As in part 2, a single roll of the die cannot result in both a 1 and a 2, so $\mathbf{E}[A_t B_t] = 0$. Different rolls of the die are independent, and so $\mathbf{E}[A_t B_s] = \mathbf{E}[A_t] \mathbf{E}[B_s] = p_1 \cdot p_2$, for $t \neq s$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[X_1 X_2] &= \mathbf{E}[(A_1 + \cdots + A_n)(B_1 + \cdots + B_n)] \\
&= \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{t=s} A_t B_t + \sum_{t \neq s} A_t B_s \right] \\
&= n \cdot 0 + n(n-1) \cdot \mathbf{E}[A_1 B_2] \\
&= n(n-1) p_1 p_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_1 = \sum_{t=1}^n A_t$ and $X_2 = \sum_{t=1}^n B_t$, and so

$$\mathbf{E}[X_1] = \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^n A_t \right] = n \mathbf{E}[A_1] = n p_1. \text{ Similarly, } \mathbf{E}[X_2] = n p_2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = \mathbf{E}[X_1 X_2] - \mathbf{E}[X_1] \mathbf{E}[X_2]$$



$$= n(n-1)p_1p_2 - (np_1)(np_2)$$

$$= -np_1p_2.$$

The covariance of X_1 and X_2 is again negative, even when the die is not fair.

Submit

You have used 1 of 3 attempts

i Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

Hide Discussion

Topic: Unit 6: Further topics on random variables: Problem Set 6 / 5.
Covariance of the multinomial

Show all posts



by recent activity



Hint for 2:

I found the hat problem - lecture 7, No. 14 very useful in understanding how to solve this problem. I am...

2 new_



[STAFF]About Deadlines

Dear Sir/Madam, Greetings. Due to immense reshuffle in last 10 days here in India due to COVID-19,, for I...

3



Confused about $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = E[X_1X_2] - E[X_1]E[X_2]$ property.

May I know where is this property $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = E[X_1X_2] - E[X_1]E[X_2]$ shown in the lecture videos please?

2



Can we please have more problems and/or examples using indicator variables?

After completing this problem, indicator variables seem incredibly useful. Would very much like to practi...

2



Hint: read carefully on the model & consider $\text{Var}(X+Y)$

As above.

3



Perfect Strategy with Indicator random variable.

I found is very useful strategy here with indicator random variable <https://math.stackexchange.com/que...>

★ Following

3



Hint: wikipedia on multinomial distribution

check if hint is needed

1



Defying and difficult but stil,...

a BEAUTIFUL problem!!

1



How to use indicator variables?



I'm stuck on part 2 ... I'm using $\text{Cov}(A,B) = E[X1*X2] - E[X1]*E[X2]$, and I think it's the $E[X1*X2]$ term we sh...

6

Alternative approach

12

How to interpret bias of coin?

2

Covariance of $X1$ and $X2$

3

© All Rights Reserved

