



Course > Unit 10... > Lec. 24:... > 4. Exer...

4. Exercise: Checkout counter

None due May 29, 2020 05:29 IST

Exercise: Checkout counter

2 points possible (ungraded)

Consider our checkout counter example. Assume that there are two types of customers who arrive according to independent Bernoulli processes with rates $p_1 \in (0,1)$ and $p_2 \in (0,1)$, respectively. The overall arrival process of all customers follows a merged Bernoulli process of the two separate Bernoulli processes. All customers who arrive join a single queue, which has a capacity of 10 customers. We are interested in making predictions about the length of the queue at any point in time.

1. Assume that service times are not type-dependent and are modelled as independent

For each of the following parts, choose the correct statement.

geometric random variables with parameter $q\in(0,1)$ for all customers in the queue.		
\bigcirc One can model this queue using the same transition probability graph as in the previous video with $p=\left(p_1+p_2 ight)/2$ and $q.$		
One can model this queue using the same transition probability graph as in the previous video with $p=1-\left(1-p_1 ight)\left(1-p_2 ight)$ and q . $lacksquare$		
One can model this queue using the same transition probability graph as in the previous video with some other appropriate choice of p and q .		
There are no values of p and q for which one can model the queue using the same transition probability graph as in the previous video.		



Solution:

- 1. Option 2 is correct. The value of p corresponds to the arrival probability of the merged Bernoulli process.
- 2. Option 4 is correct. To see this, note that for all of the first three options, the process is a Markov chain. Thus, it suffices to argue that the process with two types of arriving customers is not, in general, a Markov chain. To see this, consider an extreme case where $p_1=p_2=1/2$, $q_1=1$, and q_2 is very small. Suppose that the previous state was 0 and the current state is 1. This means that we just had an arrival; by symmetry it is equally likely to have been of either type, and the expected time until the next departure is $(1+(1/q_2))/2$. If we now observe the next state to be again 1, we are pretty certain that it was an arrival of type 2, and the expected time until the next departure is approximately $1/q_2$. Thus, the statistics of the future of the process are not fully determined by the current state the past history also plays a role, which violates the Markov property.

Submit

You have used 0 of 2 attempts



1 Answers are displayed within the problem

Discussion

Hide Discussion

Topic: Unit 10: Markov chains:Lec. 24: Finite-state Markov chains / 4.

Exercise: Checkout counter

Show all posts	• k	by recent activity 🗸
	erstand this part of the solution bserve the next state to be again 1, we are pretty certain that it was an arrival of typ	oe 2"wh
-	the final exam have a due date before Unit 10 questions? e final exam have a due date before Unit 10 questions?	3
	es not work on edX mobile app for iOS. Maybe an issue with ungraded questions:)	1
	nsition probability graph query. By the term "**Same** transition probability graph" in the question, does it i	mean wit
-	it not a Markov chain? r from the solution to me whether # 1 is a Markov chain. Is the fact that in #2 "the s	ervice ti
-	e two arrivals. In says merge the two process, so when there are two arrivals, should we consider the	ney as on
`	site for more clear intuition sa.io/ev/markov-chains/	1

© All Rights Reserved

