

# Topological Data Analysis

26 November 2019

## 6 Conventions about landscapes

Let  $(V, \pi)$  be a persistence module over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with spectrum  $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_m\}$  where  $a_0 < \dots < a_m$ . We defined the *landscape functions*  $\{\lambda_k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{k \geq 1}$  associated with  $(V, \pi)$  as follows. For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \geq 1$ , the value  $\lambda_k(t)$  is

$$\sup\{x \geq 0 \mid \beta_{t-x, t+x} \geq k\} = \text{kmax}\{\Lambda_{(b_i, d_i)}(t)\}_{i=1}^N \quad (6.1)$$

where  $\text{kmax}$  returns the  $k$ th largest value in a given set of real numbers, counted with their multiplicities, and  $\{(b_i, d_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  is the set of points (with their respective multiplicities) in the persistence diagram of  $(V, \pi)$ . In (6.1) we use the notation

$$\beta_{t-x, t+x} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Im}(\pi_{t-x, t+x})$$

$$\Lambda_{(b, d)}(t) = \max\{0, \min\{t - b, d - t\}\}.$$

We next prove that the two expressions in (6.1) are indeed equal. For this, some conventions are needed.

- Each ray  $[b_i, \infty)$  in the barcode of  $(V, \pi)$  is represented by a point  $(b_i, y_\infty)$  in the persistence diagram, where  $y_\infty = a_m$  is the largest spectral point of  $(V, \pi)$ . Accordingly, for the validity of (6.1) we need to assume that  $V_t = \{0\}$  if  $t > a_m$  (although  $V_{a_m}$  can be nonzero).
- If the left-hand set in (6.1) is empty, then its supremum is taken to be 0. This convention has an interpretation that is normally found in the bibliography about persistence diagrams: The diagonal  $b = d$  is included in every persistence diagram, and its points are counted with infinite multiplicity. Consequently, the inequality  $\beta_{t, t} \geq k$  is assumed to hold for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $k$ , and this implies that  $x = 0$  belongs to the set  $\{x \geq 0 \mid \beta_{t-x, t+x} \geq k\}$ , which is therefore never empty. This convention ensures that  $\lambda_k(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $k$ .
- The function  $\text{kmax}$  takes the value 0 if there is no  $k$ th largest element in the right-hand set in (6.1).

It follows from these conventions that  $\lambda_k(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq a_0$  and all  $k$ , and  $\lambda_k(t) = 0$  as well for  $t \geq a_m$  and all  $k$ . This is due to the fact that  $V_{a_0-\varepsilon} = \{0\}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and similarly  $V_{a_m+\varepsilon} = \{0\}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In other words, the support of each function  $\lambda_k$  is contained in the closed interval  $[a_0, a_m]$ .

Now, if  $V = \mathbb{F}[b, d)$ , then

$$\lambda_1(t) = \sup\{x \geq 0 \mid \beta_{t-x, t+x} \geq 1\} = \min\{t - b, d - t\} = \Lambda_{(b, d)}(t)$$

for  $a_0 < t < a_m$ , while  $\lambda_k(t) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$  and all  $t$ .

If  $V = \mathbb{F}[b, d]^n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ , then, for  $a_0 < t < a_m$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\lambda_k(t) = \sup\{x \geq 0 \mid \beta_{t-x, t+x} \geq k\} = \min\{t - b, d - t\} = \Lambda_{(b,d)}(t)$$

and the  $k$ max function returns  $\Lambda_{(b,d)}(t)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  as well, since the value  $\Lambda_{(b,d)}(t)$  is counted with multiplicity  $n$ .

If  $V = \mathbb{F}[b_1, d_1] \oplus \mathbb{F}[b_2, d_2]$  with  $b_1 \leq b_2 < d_1 \leq d_2$ , then  $\lambda_1(t) \neq 0$  only for  $b_1 < t < d_2$ , and in this range of values we have

$$\lambda_1(t) = \sup\{\min\{t - b_1, d_1 - t\}, \min\{t - b_2, d_2 - t\}\} = \max\{\Lambda_{(b_1,d_1)}(t), \Lambda_{(b_2,d_2)}(t)\},$$

while

$$\lambda_2(t) = \min\{t - b_2, d_1 - t\} = 2 \max\{\Lambda_{(b_1,d_1)}(t), \Lambda_{(b_2,d_2)}(t)\},$$

and  $\lambda_k(t) = 0$  for  $k \geq 3$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In the general case, assume that  $V = \mathbb{F}[b, d] \oplus V'$  and suppose, inductively, that (6.1) holds for  $V'$ . Then (6.1) also holds for  $V$  by a combination of the arguments given in the two previous steps. Specifically, for  $k = 1$ , the module  $\mathbb{F}[b, d]$  attains the supremum in the left-hand term of (6.1) only if  $\min\{t - b, d - t\}$  exceeds the supremum in  $V'$ , in which case  $\mathbb{F}[b, d]$  also attains the maximum in the right-hand term. If  $\mathbb{F}[b, d]$  does not attain the supremum for  $k = 1$ , pick the smallest value of  $k$  for which it does, and in this case (and not for smaller values of  $k$ ) it also attains the maximum in the right-hand term, by the induction hypothesis.

## Longer exercises

- (1) Install and try the JavaPlex library for MATLAB:

<http://appliedtopology.github.io/javaplex/>

- (2) Draw a barcode for  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , and landscape functions for  $H_1$  of the Vietoris–Rips persistence module of the following point cloud in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

(1.05, 2.00, 2.16)	(2.96, 1.84, 2.09)	(2.49, 2.77, 1.87)	(1.99, 2.42, 2.82)
(1.59, 1.42, 2.66)	(2.27, 1.59, 1.16)	(1.69, 1.24, 2.60)	(2.22, 2.76, 2.53)
(2.50, 2.74, 2.07)	(2.55, 1.59, 1.30)	(1.80, 1.15, 1.47)	(1.31, 2.40, 1.44)
(1.92, 1.06, 1.64)	(2.29, 1.97, 1.01)	(2.25, 2.94, 2.29)	(2.38, 2.57, 2.71)
(1.19, 1.83, 1.55)	(1.53, 2.80, 2.24)	(1.52, 2.82, 1.81)	(1.66, 2.58, 2.66)
(2.05, 1.76, 2.98)	(1.41, 1.96, 1.29)	(1.70, 2.51, 2.81)	(1.79, 1.74, 2.88)
(2.89, 1.84, 2.16)	(2.16, 1.63, 1.08)	(1.62, 1.27, 2.63)	(2.91, 2.27, 2.29)
(1.05, 2.05, 1.59)	(2.60, 1.91, 2.82)	(1.21, 1.68, 2.64)	(1.67, 3.00, 1.80)
(1.76, 1.09, 2.21)	(0.99, 1.66, 2.29)	(2.57, 1.95, 1.17)	(1.31, 1.45, 2.63)
(0.99, 1.90, 1.84)	(2.88, 2.63, 2.05)	(2.13, 1.96, 1.08)	(2.62, 2.76, 2.47)
(2.35, 2.64, 2.69)	(2.52, 2.94, 2.19)	(1.68, 1.25, 2.55)	(1.03, 2.11, 1.74)
(2.49, 2.15, 2.89)	(1.37, 2.46, 1.35)	(2.21, 1.05, 2.40)	(1.20, 1.73, 2.36)
(1.67, 1.15, 1.95)	(2.45, 2.27, 1.14)		

- (3) Compute the Betti numbers with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  and with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the simplicial complexes  $T$  and  $R$  with the following maximal faces.

(a) Maximal faces of  $T$ :

(123)	(145)	(156)	(345)	(167)	(467)	(247)
(124)	(236)	(256)	(346)	(257)	(357)	(137)

(b) Maximal faces of  $R$  (here  $a = 10$  and  $b = 11$ ):

(1237)	(123 <i>b</i> )	(1269)	(126 <i>b</i> )	(1279)	(135 <i>a</i> )	(135 <i>b</i> )	(137 <i>a</i> )
(1479)	(147 <i>a</i> )	(1489)	(148 <i>a</i> )	(1568)	(156 <i>b</i> )	(158 <i>a</i> )	(1689)
(2348)	(234 <i>b</i> )	(2378)	(246 <i>a</i> )	(246 <i>b</i> )	(248 <i>a</i> )	(2578)	(2579)
(258 <i>a</i> )	(259 <i>a</i> )	(269 <i>a</i> )	(3459)	(345 <i>b</i> )	(3489)	(359 <i>a</i> )	(3678)
(367 <i>a</i> )	(3689)	(369 <i>a</i> )	(4567)	(456 <i>b</i> )	(4579)	(467 <i>a</i> )	(5678)

Can you find out what are their geometric realizations  $|T|$  and  $|R|$ ?