Machine Learning 1 - Homework Week 1

Minh Ngo 10897402^1

September 11, 2015

¹ University of Amsterdam minh.ngole@student.uva.nl

1.1

1

Following random variables can be defined:

- 1. $R = \{r, nr\}$, where R random variable that defines a weather, r raining, nr not raining.
- 2. P = {Amsterdam, Rotterdam} random variable that defines a place where you are staying.

From the task, we can determine following probabilities:

- 1. Raining in Amsterdam: p(R = r|P = Amsterdam) = 0.5
- 2. Raining in Rotterdam: p(R = r|P = Rotterdam) = 0.75
- 3. Being in Amsterdam: p(P = Amsterdam) = 0.8
- 4. Being in Rotterdam: p(P = Rotterdam) = 0.2

$\mathbf{2}$

$$p(P=Rotterdam|R=nr)=1-p(P=Rotterdam|R=r)=1-0.75=0.25$$

According to the sum rule of probability:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p(R=r) & = & p(R=r|P=Amsterdam) \times p(P=Amsterdam) + \\ & & p(R=r|P=Rotterdam) \times p(P=Rotterdam) \\ & = & 0.8*0.5+0.2*0.75=0.55 \end{array}$$

4

According to the Bayes' theorem:

$$p(P = Amsterdam|R = r) = \frac{p(R = r|P = Amsterdam)p(P = Amsterdam)}{p(R = r)}$$

$$= \frac{0.5 \times 0.8}{0.55} = 0.727$$

1.2

1

Population of the city N = 500000. Estimated number of people that have cancer c = 500.

$$p(cancer) = \frac{c}{N} = \frac{500}{500000} = 0.001$$

 $p(not\ cancer) = 1 - p(cancer) = 0.999$

 $\mathbf{2}$

For this task we can build a confusion matrix of size 2x2 that describe the behavior of the blood test:

		Predict	
		Cancer	Not cancer
Actual	Cancer	99	1
	Not cancer	5	95

$$p(has\ cancer) = \frac{c(true\ positives)}{c(true\ positives) + c(false\ negatives)} = \frac{99}{99 + 5} = 0.95$$

3

We assume that cancer & not cancer predictions are made independently by the blood test.

1.3

1

$$p(\theta, D) = p(D|\theta)p(\theta) \qquad p(\theta, D) = p(D, \theta)$$
$$\Rightarrow p(D|\theta)p(\theta) = p(\theta|D)p(D)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p(\theta|D) = \frac{p(D|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(D)} = \frac{p(D|\theta)p(\theta)}{\sum_{\theta} p(\theta, D)} = \frac{p(D|\theta)p(\theta)}{\sum_{\theta} p(D|\theta)p(\theta)}$$

We call $p(\theta)$ as a prior, $p(D|\theta)$ as a likelihood, p(D) as an evidence, $p(\theta|D)$ as a posterior.

 $\mathbf{2}$

For our example:

$$p(D|\theta) = p(x_1, ..., x_n | \mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$p(D|\theta) = p(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = N(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n N(x_i|\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$p(\theta) = N(\mu|\mu_0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p(\theta, D) = \frac{(\prod_{i=1}^{n} N(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2)) N(\mu | \mu_0, \sigma^2)}{\int (\prod_{i=1}^{n} N(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2)) N(\mu | \mu_0, \sigma^2) d\mu}$$

2.1

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

1

$$\mathbf{Ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \times 9 + 5 \times 5 \\ 2 \times 9 + 3 \times 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 52 \\ 33 \end{pmatrix}$$

2

$$\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 \times 3 + 5 \times 2 & 9 \times 5 + 5 \times 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 37 & 60 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Ac = b$$

We can use Gaussian elimination to find c:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 & 9 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 10 & 18 \\ 6 & 9 & 15 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 10 & 18 \\ 0 & -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$c_2 = 3 \qquad c_1 = \frac{18 - 10 \times 3}{6} = -2 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

4

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{A})} adj(\mathbf{A})$$

$$adj(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \det(\mathbf{A}) = 3 \times 3 - 2 \times 5 = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{-1} = -1 \times \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

5

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \ times \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \times 9 + 5 \times 5 \\ 18 - 15 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{c} \qquad q.e.d.$$

2.2

By definition, $\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{e_1} + ... + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \mathbf{e_n}$, where $\{\mathbf{e_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ are standard unit vectors.

1.
$$f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 3 \Rightarrow \nabla f = (2x + 2)\mathbf{i}$$

Partial derivatives:

1.
$$f(x,y,z) = (x+2y)^2 sin(xy)$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2(x+2y)sin(xy) + (x+2y)^2 cos(xy)y$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 4(x+2y)sin(xy) + (x+2y)^2 cos(xy)x$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0$$

2.
$$f(x, y, z) = 2log(x + y^2 - z)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{2}{x + y^2 - z}$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{4y}{x + y^2 - z}$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -\frac{2}{x + y^2 - z}$$

3.
$$f(x, y, z) = exp(x\cos(y+z))$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \exp(x\cos(y+z))\cos(y+z)$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \exp(x\cos(y+z))(-x\sin(y+z))$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -\exp(x\cos(y+z))(-x\sin(y+z))$$

2.3

1.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T} &= & (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \\ &= & (\mathbf{x}^T - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}^T - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T) \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \\ &= & \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \\ & & \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \\ &= & \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - 2 \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \\ & & \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \end{split}$$

2.

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} - \frac{\partial 2 \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mu}} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -2\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + 2\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + 2\mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + \mathbf{S}^{-1})^{-1} (\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} + \mathbf{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^T)$$

1

In the case of a single variable x, the Gaussian distribution is represented as following:

$$N(x|\mu, \sigma^{2}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^{2})^{1/2}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(x-\mu)^{2}\right)$$
Let $\mathbf{t} = \{t_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N} \quad \mathbf{\Phi} = \{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i}\}_{i=1}^{K} \quad \mathbf{X} = \{x_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N}.$

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} N(t_{i}|\mathbf{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i}, \frac{1}{\beta})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{2/\beta}(t_{i} - \mathbf{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i})^{2}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2}(t_{i} - \mathbf{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i})^{2}\right)$$

$$= (\frac{\beta}{2\pi})^{N/2} exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(t_{i} - \mathbf{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i})^{2}\right)$$

$$= (\frac{\beta}{2\pi})^{N/2} exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w})^{T}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w})\right)$$

$\mathbf{2}$

The expression for multivariate Gaussian distribution

$$N(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} exp\bigg(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \bigg)$$

where μ is a D-minensional mean vector, Σ is a $D \times D$ covariance matrix, and |D| is its determinant.

$$\Rightarrow p(\mathbf{w}) = N(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}/\alpha)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{I}/\alpha|^{1/2}} exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{0})^T (\mathbf{I}/\alpha)^{-1} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{0})\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{D/2} exp\left(-\frac{\alpha \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}}{2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow log(p(\mathbf{w})) = \frac{D}{2} log \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{\alpha \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}}{2}$$

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{w}} p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w}) = \int p(\mathbf{t}|\boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{w}, \beta)p(\mathbf{w})d\mathbf{w}$$

And posterior over w is:

$$p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w})}{p(\mathbf{X})}$$

where likelihood, prior and evidence are defined by previous equations.

4

$$\begin{split} log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X})) &= log\frac{p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{w})p(\mathbf{w})}{p(\mathbf{X})} = log(p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{w})) + log(p(\mathbf{w})) - log(p(\mathbf{X})) \\ &= \frac{N}{2}log\frac{\beta}{2\pi} - \frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w})^T(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w}) + \frac{D}{2}log\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} - log(p(\mathbf{X})) \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w})^T(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w}) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} + C \end{split}$$

where C is some constant not dependent on **w**. Finding MAP is simpler because we can neglect an evidence value, that is difficult to compute.

5

To compute the derivative the following equations are needed:

$$\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}$$

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^T \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} &= (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^T) \mathbf{x} \\ \frac{\partial log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}))}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w})^T (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})^T (\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{I} \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2} (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{I} (\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{I}^T (\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})) - \alpha \mathbf{I} \mathbf{w} \\ &= -\beta \mathbf{\Phi}^T (\mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t}) - \alpha \mathbf{I} \mathbf{w} \\ &= \beta \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} - (\beta \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \alpha \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{w} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{w} \end{split}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} \quad , where \lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \quad q.e.d.$$

The derivative $\frac{\partial log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}))}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$ can also be computed with the likelihood represented as a product over N:

$$\frac{\partial log(p(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{X}))}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial (t_i - \mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_i)^2}{\partial \mathbf{w}} - \alpha \mathbf{I} \mathbf{w}$$
$$= -\beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_i - t_i) \boldsymbol{\phi}_i - \alpha \mathbf{I} \mathbf{w}$$
$$= -\beta \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T (\boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t})$$

6

 $\phi_0 = 1$ allows us to have a bias $w_0 \phi_0$ parameter to provide a fixed offset in the data. From the expression for multivariate Gaussian distribution presented in the subsection 2 we can rewrite $p(\mathbf{w})$ in such way that the first basis function has its own prior/penalty:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)^{(D-1)/2} exp\left(-\frac{\alpha(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} - w_0^2)}{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}} exp\left(-\frac{\gamma w_0^2}{2}\right)$$