# **Distillation of Sparse Linear Algebra**

### Aleksey Tyurin

3

16

18

19

20

21

22

26

27

28

St. Petersburg State University, Russia JetBrains Research, Russia alekseytyurinspb@gmail.com

#### Daniil Berezun

St. Petersburg State University, Russia JetBrains Research, Russia d.berezun@2009.spbu.ru

#### Ekaterina Vinnik

St. Petersburg State University, Russia JetBrains Research, Russia catherine.vinnik@gmail.com

### Semyon Grigorev

St. Petersburg State University, Russia

JetBrains Research, Russia

s.v.grigoriev@spbu.ru

semyon.grigorev@jetbrains.com

#### Mikhail Nikolukin

!!!

#### Geoff Hamilton

School of Computing, Dublin City University, Ireland geoffrey.hamilton@dcu.ie

Linear algebra is a common language for such areas as machine learning, graph analysis, biological data analysis, and a way to provide high-performance solutions utilizing the highly parallelizable nature of linear algebra operations. But intermediate data structures that arise during linear algebra expressions evaluation is one of the performance bottlenecks, especially for sparse data. We show that distillation is a promising way to optimize linear algebra based programs.

## 1 Introduction

Nowadays high-performance processing of a huge amount of data is an actual challenge not only for scientific computing but for applied systems. Special types of hardware, such as General Purpose Graphic Processing Units (GPGPUs), Tensor Processing Units (TPUs), FPGA-based solutions, along with respective specialized software were developed to provide appropriate solutions, and the development of new solutions continues. And one of problems both software and hardware is a memory traffic

Itermediate data. The simple well-known example is a pipelined processing of collections: map g (map f data). Suppose data is a list, then the first map produces a new list which then will be traversed by the second map. The same pattern can be observed in newrlan networks where initial data flows throu network layers, or in linear algebra expressions where each subexpression produces intermediate matrix. The last case occure not only in scintific cimputations, but in graph analysis [6]. It is important that not only data structure will be traversed twice while can be traversed only once, but the intermediate data will also be stored (to RAM) which is a big problem for real-world data analysis: the size of data is huge and memory access is one of the expensive operations. A number of complex real-world cases can be successfully optimized: stream fusion [] using, danse layers fusion [] can be successfully optimized by deforestaion [?]. Stream fusion libraryes [?] But general technoqies for such important case as sparse data processing still not found, while some !!!! Sparse kernels fusion....

It was shown that linear algebra is a common language which brings together such areas as ML [7], NN [2], graph analysis, bio data [4] graphblas(t) [6]. Machine learning, Social network analysis

Deforestation, stream fusion (fold/unfold transformations), supercompilation. Distillation! ANYDSL [?] Futhark [?]

Submitted to: VPT 2022

© A. Tyurin, E. Vinnik, et al This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License. 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

44

45

46

47

48

55

56

57

58

64

# 2 Proposed Solution

The goal of our research is to figure out can distillation be a solution of the intermediate data structures problem in linear algebra based programs. To answer this question we developed a library of matrix operations in POT language: simple functional language used by Geoff Hamilton in his distiller.

We use a quad-tree representation [5] for matrices because it avoids indexing and natural for functional programming because can be defined as an algebraic data type. Moreover it allows one to represent both sparse and dense matrices naturally, and basic operations over such a representation can be natively expressed as recursive functions which traverse this tree-like structure. Additionally, this structure allows natively exploiting divide-and-conquer parallelism in matrices handing functions.

We selected two different target hardware platforms. The first one is a Reduceron [3] — general-purpose functional-language-specific processor. The second one is program-specific hardware for arbitrary functional programs FHW [1] which utilizes the flexibility of FPGA to create hardware for a particular program. While the first case is more typical, the second one may provide higher performance for specific tasks.

At the current stage, we propose to use distillation as the first step of program optimization which, we hope, should reduce memory traffic, and then compile a distilled program to two different hardware platforms using the respective compiler with platform-specific optimizations. For evaluation, we propose to create a library of linear algebraic operations, such as matrix-matrix, matrix-vector, and matrix-scalar operations. Programs of interest are compositions of these basic functions.

# 3 Preliminary Evaluation

Compositions of such basic functions, matrix-matrix elementwise operations (mtxAdd), matrix-scalar elementwise operation (map), masking (mask), Kronecker product (Kron) were used for evaluation.

Namely, we use following compositions.

- Sequential addition of three matrices: Seq\_add m1 m2 m3 = mtxAdd (mtxAdd m1 m2) m3
- Sequential addition of four boolean matrices:
   Seq\_add m1 m2 m3 m4 = mtxAdd (mtxAdd m1 m2) m3 m4
- Sequential addition of three matrices: Seq\_add m1 m2 m3 = mtxAdd (mtxAdd m1 m2) m3
- Sequential addition of three matrices: Seq\_add m1 m2 m3 = mtxAdd (mtxAdd m1 m2) m3

We compare original versions of these functions and distilled ones in three ways: using interpreter of POT language to measure a number of reductions and memory allocation, using simulator of Reduceron, and using simulation of FHW to measure a number of clock ticks necessary to evaluate a program. We use a set of randomly generated sparse matrices of appropreate size as a dynamic (not known statically) input for both versions. Average results for !!! different inputs are presented in table 1.

We can see that !!!. So, we can conclude !!!

## 55 4 Future Work

We show that distillation is a promising way to optimize linear algebra based programs, thus it is a promising a way to optimize machine learning and graph processing procedures.

In the future, first of all, we should close a technical debt and make the distiller more stable to handle all important cases: current implementation can not handle such important functions as matrix-matrix

Function	Matrix size				Interpreter		Reduceron	FHW
	m1	m2	m3	m4	Red-s	Allocs	Ticks	Ticks
Seq_add	64 × 64	64×64	64 × 64	64 × 64	10	10	10	10
Seq_add	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	10	10	10	10
Seq_add	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	10	10	10	10
Seq_add	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	$64 \times 64$	10	10	10	10

Table 1: Evaluation results: original program to distilled one ratio of measured metrics is presented

multiplication. Along with it, we should improve the input language to make it more user-friendly. The main challenge here is to find the balance between language expressivity and the practicality of distillation for it. Having basic workflow implemented we should explore how to utilize distillation in the best way for each particular platform. For example, which level of distillation is the best for our particular problem and set of functions? Can we exploit more parallelism using distillation? Can we efficiently exploit the tail-modulo-cons property of the distilled program? What are the limitations of distillation: whether all important cases can be handled?

When the language and the distiller will be stable enough, we plan to implement a full-featured generic linear algebra library power enough to express basic graph analysis algorithms and to create and train neural networks. After that, a number of graph analysis algorithms and neural networks will be implemented and evaluated.

Along with it we plan to improve both FHW and Reduceron and compilers for it in order to make them mature enough to handle real-world examples. For example, it is necessary to support out-of-chip memory.

# 4 References

77

80

81

82

- [1] S. Edwards, Martha A. Kim, Richard Townsend, Kuangya Zhai & L. Lairmore (2019): FHW Project: High-Level Hardware Synthesis from Haskell Programs.
- [2] Jeremy Kepner, Manoj Kumar, Jose Moreira, Pratap Pattnaik, Mauricio Serrano & Henry Tufo (2017): Enabling massive deep neural networks with the GraphBLAS. 2017 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing
   Conference (HPEC), doi:10.1109/hpec.2017.8091098. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/HPEC.
   2017.8091098.
- 91 [3] MATTHEW NAYLOR & COLIN RUNCIMAN (2012): *The Reduceron reconfigured and re-evaluated. Journal of Functional Programming* 22(4-5), p. 574–613, doi:10.1017/S0956796812000214.
- Oguz Selvitopi, Saliya Ekanayake, Giulia Guidi, Georgios A. Pavlopoulos, Ariful Azad & Aydin Buluç (2020):
   Distributed many-to-many protein sequence alignment using sparse matrices. In Christine Cuicchi, Irene
   Qualters & William T. Kramer, editors: Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance
   Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, SC 2020, Virtual Event / Atlanta, Georgia, USA, November 9 19, 2020, IEEE/ACM, p. 75, doi:10.1109/SC41405.2020.00079. Available at https://doi.org/10.1109/SC41405.2020.00079.
- [5] I. Simecek (2009): Sparse Matrix Computations Using the Quadtree Storage Format. In: 2009 11th
   International Symposium on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computing, pp. 168–173,
   doi:10.1109/SYNASC.2009.55.
- [6] Carl Yang, Aydin Buluç & John D. Owens (2019): GraphBLAST: A High-Performance Linear Algebra-based
   Graph Framework on the GPU. CoRR abs/1908.01407. arXiv:1908.01407.

[7] Zhen Zheng, Pengzhan Zhao, Guoping Long, Feiwen Zhu, Kai Zhu, Wenyi Zhao, Lansong Diao, Jun Yang &
 Wei Lin (2020): FusionStitching: Boosting Memory Intensive Computations for Deep Learning Workloads.
 CoRR abs/2009.10924. arXiv:2009.10924.