02/11/2016 Phase2 Step4

Phase 2: Professional Issues - Ethics Step 4: Reading week report

Week 6 is reading week, i.e., there are **no scheduled teaching activities**.

Each student must write a 1000-1500 word report produced using LaTeX. To simplify the submission of your document, you *must* create your document as a single file.

Your report should contain:

- a title page with your name
- a description of the ethical framework you investigated in step 2, ethical
 vour analysis, using this others.
- your analysis, using this ethical framework, of the list of decisions the group created during step 1, case
- a comparison of your ethical framework with the other ethical frameworks discussed by the group in step 3.

A final point to ponder (but not in your report): Do your ethical guidelines change your views on software patents, or change what you thought was most important when you debated the issue?

You are expected to *submit* your completed report and reflections by 5pm Friday of reading week:

- 1. Make sure your latex is in a single file, and call it: report.tex You must not use more than one tex file, or a separate style file, or separate jpeg figures, or even nonstandard latex packages - there should be no need for you to do any of these things for this report.
- 2. Compile your report.tex into the corresponding report.pdf via pdlatex. Make sure that compilation is successful and completes without error messages: for example, if report.tex contains special characters such as any of "\$& {}#" you may need to precede them by "\".
- 3. Zip these two files into a document, and call it "MvName.zip"
- 4. Submit the zip. file via its assignment in the "Submitting Deliverables" folder of this course unit.

Don't leave submission to the last minute, in case it fails.

Marking Scheme

Reports containing plagiarised work will be given a mark of 0%, and may result in disciplinary action being taken. If you need to quote material from other documents, you must make sure that the extent of the quoting is clear and the source is suitably referenced and acknowledged.

Reports that are shorter or longer by more than 10% of the required length will have their final mark reduced proportionately.

70% upwards

- Entirely relevant to the task addressed; and comprehensive in scope and coverage
- Highly analytical in style and approach, with critical understanding and interpretation
- Highly judicious in use of evidence and sources, with excellent references taken from a range of sources
- Details are integrated into a totally coherent whole; both specific claims and more general conclusions are clear and well-supported; and language is entirely appropriate and the style is polished

60% to 69%

- Largely relevant to the task addressed; and fairly comprehensive in scope and coverage
- Analytical in style and approach, with some critical interpretation
- Judicious in use of evidence and sources, with a good range of references

02/11/2016 Phase2 Step4

• Details are integrated into a coherent whole; both specific claims and more general conclusions are well-supported; and language is appropriate and style is clear, with very few errors

50% to 59%

- Tasks addressed competently, with little superfluous content
- Analytical in style and approach at times, although descriptive for the greater part
- Reasonable use of sources, with adequate range of references
- Attempts to create a coherent whole; both specific claims and more general conclusions are supported in the large part; and the large majority of language is appropriate and style is clear

40% to 49%

- Tasks are addressed, but with evident limitations and some irrelevant content
- Some grasp of the relevant ideas and issues is evident, although limited
- Makes some use of sources and references, although significant inadequacies are present
- Links parts together but does not create a coherent whole; some specific claims and more general conclusions are supported, but only in part; and the language is often inappropriate or the style is poor

Below 40%

- Little or no attempt to address the task with much irrelevant content
- Limited grasp of the relevant ideas and issues
- Inadequate use of, and an insufficient number of, sources and references
- No attempt to link parts together or to support specific claims and more general conclusions; and the language is inappropriate or the style poor throughout

Overview of this Phase