

# Weekly Reading Summary

November 13, 2023

This document presents a weekly reading summary of the book *Introduction to the Mathematics of Medical Imaging*. The purpose of this summary is to distill the main concepts and insights from the book.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Week 1. The Space of Lines in the Plane</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Week 2. A Basic Model for Tomography</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Beer's Law . . . . .	3
2.2	Shepp-Logan Phantom and its Line Integrals . . . . .	4
2.3	Radon Transform . . . . .	4
2.4	Integrable Functions . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Week 3. Fourier Transform</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	The Complex Exponential Function . . . . .	6
3.2	Fourier Transform for Functions of a Single Variable . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Week 4 Dominated Convergence Theorem</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Measurable Functions . . . . .	8
4.2	Convergence Theorem . . . . .	8
4.3	Some Notations . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Week 5. Properties of Fourier Transform</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	Regularity and Decay . . . . .	9
5.2	Quantitative Measures of Regularity and Decay . . . . .	10
5.3	The Parseval Formula . . . . .	11
<b>6</b>	<b>Week 6.</b>	<b>12</b>
6.1	Fourier Transformation on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . . . . .	12
6.2	A General Principle in Functional Analysis . . . . .	13
<b>7</b>	<b>Week 7.</b>	<b>15</b>
7.1	Tools from Appendix B: Basic Analysis . . . . .	15
7.2	The Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle . . . . .	15
7.3	The Fourier Transformation for Functions of Several Variables . . . . .	15

<b>8</b>	<b>Week 8.</b>	<b>17</b>
8.1	Convolution . . . . .	17

# 1 Week 1. The Space of Lines in the Plane

Instead of using a Cartesian coordinate system, we adopt a “point normal” parameterization for an arbitrary line in the plane, introduced in **Section 1.2**. Recall a line in the plane is a set of points that satisfies an equation of the form

$$ax + by = c,$$

where  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ . We can rewrite this equation as

$$\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}x + \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}y = \frac{c}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}},$$

which represents the same line. The coefficients  $(\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}})$  define a point  $\omega$  on the unit circle  $S^1$  and  $\frac{c}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$  can be any number.

This motivates us to parameterize a line in the plane by a pair of a unit vector  $\omega(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  and a real number  $t$ . We call such a line  $l_{t,\omega} := l_{t,\omega(\theta)}$  and it is the set of all points  $(x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = t, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that  $\omega(\theta)$  is perpendicular to  $l_{t,\omega}$ . We can verify  $l_{t,\omega} = l_{-t,-\omega}$ . In fact, the pair  $(t, \omega)$  specifies an *oriented line*. Define a unit vector

$$\hat{\omega} = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$$

where recall  $\omega = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ . With such notations, we have a bijection as follows.

**Proposition 1.** (Proposition 1.2.1.) *There is a bijection between*

$$\{(t, \omega) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \mathbb{S}\} \xrightarrow{\text{bijective}} \{\text{oriented lines in the plane}\}.$$

**Exercise 1.** (Exercise 1.2.1.) *Show that  $l_{t,\omega}$  is given parametrically as the set of points*

$$l_{t,\omega} = \{t\omega + s\hat{\omega} : s \in (-\infty, \infty)\}.$$

**Answer.** Simplify the expression we'll get exactly the same expression. It's a good way to visualise *affine parameter*  $t$ . In this equation, it represents precisely the length from origin to the line where the direction is specified by vector  $\omega$ .

**Exercise 2.** (Exercise 1.2.2.) *Show that  $\hat{\omega}(\theta) = \partial_\theta \omega(\theta)$*

**Answer.** Differentiate component-wise will work.

## 2 Week 2. A Basic Model for Tomography

### 2.1 Beer's Law

We start our exploration of medical imaging, with a mathematical representation of the measurement process used in X-ray tomography. The modeling process commences with a detailed quantitative analysis of how X-rays interact with matter, a phenomenon described by Beer's law, see **Section 3.1**.

**Definition 1.** In X-ray tomography, we are interested in detecting objects using real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . And we define this function as *attenuation coefficient*.

The attenuation coefficient quantifies the tendency of an object to absorb or scatter X-ray of a given energy. For example, bone has a much higher attenuation coefficient than soft tissue.

**Definition 2.** For radiologists, attenuation coefficient is compared to the attenuation coefficient of water and we define it in terms of a dimensionless quantity, for which we call *Hounsfield unit*. And we define the normalized attenuation coefficient in Hounsfield units as

$$H_{\text{tissue}} := \frac{\mu_{\text{tissue}} - \mu_{\text{water}}}{\mu_{\text{water}}} \times 1000.$$

In practice of measurement, it's difficult to distinguish points where a function is nonzero from points that are "arbitrarily closed" to such points. This motivates us to make a definition as follows:

**Definition 3.** Let  $f$  be a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to *support* of  $f$  if there's a sequence of points  $\langle \mathbf{x}_n \rangle$  such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_n) \neq 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}.$$

And we denote the set of all such points as  $\text{supp}(f)$ .

Usually, X-ray beam is described by a vector valued function  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x})$ . The direction of  $\mathbf{I}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$  is the flux at  $\mathbf{x}$  and its magnitude, and we denote the intensity of the beam as

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x})\|.$$

The model discussed in the textbook for the interaction of X-rays with matter is phrased in terms of the continuum model and rests on three basic assumptions:

- (1) No refraction or diffraction, as X-rays have very high energies.
- (2) Monochromatic, waves of X-ray beam are of the same frequency.
- (3) **Beer's Law:** the intensity,  $I$  of the X-ray beams, satisfies

$$\frac{dI}{ds} = -\mu(x)I$$

where  $s$  is the arc-length along the straight-line trajectory of the X-ray beam.

**Definition 1.** See Example 3.1.5. [?] for *isotropic*.

Figure 3.3 gives an example of a failure where we couldn't distinguish objects. However, figure 3.4 gives us a general principle: to distinguish more arrangements of objects we have to make measurements from more directions.

## 2.2 Shepp-Logan Phantom and its Line Integrals

Understand Figure 3.7 and solve the exercises.

**Exercise 3.** Think about Exercise 3.2.3.

**Exercise 4.** Exercise 3.2.3. **Answer:** White bands corresponding to the skull of the highest attenuation. The white band is curved like a wave because the length varies from given different angle  $\theta$ .

Note: You can skip **Section 3.3** currently!

## 2.3 Radon Transform

**Exercise 5.** Think about how to solve 3.4.3.

**Definition 2.** For simplicity we assume  $f$  is a function defined on the plane that is continuous with bounded support. The integral of  $f$  along the line  $l_{t,\omega}$  is denoted by

$$\mathcal{R}f(t, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s\hat{\omega} + t\omega) ds.$$

The collection of integrals of  $f$  along the lines in the plane defines a function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ , called the *Radon transform* of  $f$ .

**Remark 1.** We have following remarks, according to **Section 3.4**.

- (1) Properties of Radon transform: linear, monotone, and  $Rf$  is an even function.
- (2) Radon transform cannot distinguish functions which differ only on a set of measure zero, which is a feature common to any measurement process defined by integrals.
- (3) The Radon transform can be defined for a function  $f$  whose restriction to each line is locally integrable and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t\omega + s\hat{\omega})| ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } (t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1.$$

Functions that satisfy this are in the *natural domain* of the Radon transform.

## 2.4 Integrable Functions

We briefly recall some definitions in [?, Chap 2 & 3]. For a real-valued measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that  $f$  is **Lebesgue integrable** if the non-negative measurable function  $|f(x)|$  is integrable, that is, its Lebesgue integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx < +\infty$ . In fact, the integrable functions form a vector space, where we can define the **norm** of  $f$  as

$$\|f\| := \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx.$$

The collection of all integrable functions with the above norm gives a (somewhat imprecise) definition of the space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For more details, see [?, Section 2.2].

We say  $f$  is **locally integrable**, if for every ball  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the function  $f(x)\chi_B(x)$  is integrable, where  $\chi_B(x)$  is the characteristic function on  $B$ . We denote by  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of all locally integrable functions.

The space of square integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It consists of all measurable functions  $f$  that satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

The resulting  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

## 3 Week 3. Fourier Transform

### 3.1 The Complex Exponential Function

**Definition 3.** The logarithm of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$\log z := s + i\theta = \log |z| + i \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{\operatorname{Re} z} \right).$$

One feature of the exponential is that it satisfies an ordinary differential equation

$$\partial_x e^{ix\xi} = i\xi e^{ix\xi}.$$

And we can interpret the formula as saying  $e^{ix\xi}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $i\xi$  for the linear operator  $\partial_x$ . More details are in **Section 4.1**.

### 3.2 Fourier Transform for Functions of a Single Variable

**Definition 4 (Fourier Transform).** The Fourier transformation of an  $L^1$ -function  $f$  that defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , is the function  $\hat{f}$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  by the integral

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

The function  $f$  could be reconstructed from  $\hat{f}$ , by applying the following:

**Theorem 1.** [Theorem 4.2.1 **Fourier inversion formula**] Suppose that  $f$  is an  $L^1$ -function such that  $\hat{f}$  is also in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi. \quad (1)$$

**Question.** In the proof of 1 the author required an additional assumption that  $f$  is continuous. Where did we use such fact during the proof? Is that on the first line of the equality in equation (4.6) on Page 95 of [?]?

In **Remark 4.2.2.** of [?], we introduced some notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx, \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

*The proof of Theorem 1.* First, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\epsilon\xi^2} e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

This comes from the dominated convergence theorem, see Theorem 3. Indeed, note that  $\hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi}$  converges to  $\hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\epsilon\xi^2} e^{ix\xi}$  almost everywhere and

$$|\hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\epsilon\xi^2} e^{ix\xi}| \leq |\hat{f}(\xi)|, \quad \text{for } \epsilon \geq 0.$$

By Theorem 3, we have  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\epsilon \xi^2} e^{ix\xi} d\xi$  converges to  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Next, we plug in the Fourier transform formula for  $\hat{f}$  to have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\epsilon \xi^2} e^{ix\xi} d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-\epsilon \xi^2} e^{i(x-y)\xi} dy d\xi.$$

This iterated integral is absolutely integrable. Then by Fubini's theorem, see Theorem B.8.1, we can interchange the order of the integration to have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-\epsilon \xi^2} e^{i(x-y)\xi} dy d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon \xi^2} e^{i(x-y)\xi} d\xi \right) dy.$$

By **Example 4.2.4**, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(e^{-\epsilon \xi^2})(x-y) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\epsilon}}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\epsilon}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\epsilon}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-2\epsilon t) e^{-\frac{t^2}{4\epsilon}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where to get the last equality we make substitution  $t = (x-y)/(2\sqrt{\epsilon})$ . Again by the dominated convergence theorem (note that  $f$  is  $L^1$ ), the last integral converges to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-\frac{t^2}{4\epsilon}} dt = f(x).$$

□

Here's an important example 4.2.2. in [?].

**Example 1.** Define the function

**Example 2** (Example 4.2.4). The Gaussian,  $e^{-x^2}$ , is a function of considerable importance in image processing and mathematics. Its Fourier transform is still a Gaussian function

$$\mathcal{F}(e^{-x^2})(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} e^{-ix\xi} dx = \sqrt{\pi} e^{-\xi^2/4}.$$

The proof is based on complex contour integral, see Section 4.2.3.



## 4 Week 4 Dominated Convergence Theorem

In this week we read some definitions and results in Stein's textbook [?].

### 4.1 Measurable Functions

**Theorem 2** ([?, Chapter 1, Theorem 1.4 & Chapter 2, Theorem 1.13]). Every open subset  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , can be written as a countable union of *almost disjoint* closed cubes.

Exterior measure  $m_*$ , which attempts to describe the volume of a set  $E$  by approximating it from the outside, assigns to any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  a first notion of size.

Properties of Exterior Measure

### 4.2 Convergence Theorem

**Theorem 3** ([?, Chapter 2, Theorem 1.13]). Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  a.e.  $x$ , as  $n$  tends to infinity. If  $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$ , where  $g$  is absolutely integrable, then

$$\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and consequently

$$\int f_n \rightarrow \int f \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this case, we can first integrate and then take the limit, which will give us  $\int f$ .

### 4.3 Some Notations

The limit inferior and limit superior of a sequence  $x_n$  are defined by

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\inf_{m \geq n} x_m), \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{m \geq n} x_m).$$

If  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  exists, then it is the largest real number  $a$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N$  satisfying  $x_n > a - \epsilon$  for any  $n > N$ . Only finitely many elements of the sequence are less than  $a - \epsilon$ . Similarly, if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  exists, then it is the smallest real number  $b$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N$  satisfying  $x_n < b + \epsilon$  for any  $n > N$ . Only finitely many elements of the sequence are greater than  $b + \epsilon$ .

## 5 Week 5. Properties of Fourier Transform

As is discussed below **Remark 4.2.2**, the operation performed to recover  $f$  from  $\hat{f}$  is almost the same as the operation performed to obtain  $\hat{f}$  from  $f$ , if we compare the Fourier transform with the inverse Fourier transform. Indeed, if we define

$$f_r(x) := f(-x),$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{f}(-x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}(f_r).$$

This illustrates some “symmetry” between Fourier transform and its inverse and accounts for many of the Fourier transform’s properties.

### 5.1 Regularity and Decay

It is a general principle that the regularity properties of a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are reflected in the decay properties of its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  and similarly, the regularity of  $\hat{f}$  is a reflection of the decay properties of  $f$ .

**Theorem 4** (Theorem 4.4.2 **The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma**). *If  $f$  is an  $L^1$ -function, then its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is a continuous function that goes to zero at infinity. That is, for  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \eta} \hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) = 0.$$

*Proof.* First, we prove  $\hat{f}(\xi)$  is continuously (actually it is uniformly continuous). For this purpose, let  $h > 0$  be small and we compute

$$|\hat{f}(\xi + h) - \hat{f}(\xi)| =$$

□

**Definition 5** (Definition 4.2.2.). For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the set of functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $k$  continuous derivatives is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R})$ . The set of infinitely differentiable functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 6** (Definition 4.2.3.). A function,  $f$ , defined on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , decays like  $\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-a}$  if there are constant  $C$  and  $R$  so that

$$|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{C}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^a} \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{x}\| > R.$$

And we use the notation “ $f = \mathcal{O}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-a})$  as  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  tends to infinity.”

**Question.** Notation for  $f^{[j]}$ ? **Answer:** Here  $f^{[j]}(x)$  denotes the  $j$ th derivation of  $f(x)$ .

## 5.2 Quantitative Measures of Regularity and Decay

Recall the integration by parts formula: for differentiable function  $f$  and  $g$  on the interval  $[a, b]$ , we have

$$\int_a^b f'(x)g(x)dx = f(x)g(x)\Big|_a^b - \int_a^b f(x)g'(x)dx.$$

To use integration by parts in Fourier analysis, we need to consider this formula when  $a = -\infty$  and  $b = +\infty$ . For our purpose, if we assume  $fg, f'g, fg'$  are absolutely integrable, then we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} fg(x) = 0,$$

and therefore we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)g(x)dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g'(x)dx. \quad (2)$$

Suppose  $f$  has  $j$  integrable derivatives, for  $j \geq 1$ . Then for any  $\xi \neq 0$ , we can use (2) to obtain a formula that relates  $\mathcal{F}(f)$  with  $\mathcal{F}(f^{[j]})$ :

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)\frac{e^{-ix\xi}}{i\xi}dx = \dots = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{[j]}(x)\frac{e^{-ix\xi}}{(i\xi)^j}dx.$$

Note that the last equality can be regarded as  $\frac{1}{(i\xi)^j}$  multiplied by the Fourier transform of  $f^{[j]}(x)$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = \frac{1}{(i\xi)^j} \mathcal{F}(f^{[j]})$$

when  $f$  has  $j$  integrable derivatives.

**Proposition 2** (Proposition 4.2.1.). *Let  $j$  be a positive integer. If  $f$  has  $j$  integrable derivatives, then there is a constant  $C$  so  $\hat{f}$  satisfies the estimate*

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|\xi|)^j}.$$

Moreover, for  $1 \leq l \leq j$ , the Fourier transform of  $f^{[l]}$  is given by

$$\widehat{f^{[l]}}(\xi) = (i\xi)^l \hat{f}(\xi).$$

The rate of decay in  $\hat{f}$  is also reflected in the smoothness of  $f$ .

**Example 1** (Example 4.2.2. (**Sinc Function**)). See a post [HERE](#) computing the last integral.

**Example 2** (Example 4.2.3.).

### 5.3 The Parseval Formula

**Definition 7** (Definition 4.2.4.). A complex-valued function  $f$ , defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is  $L^2$  or *square integrable* if

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

Denote the set of all such functions, with norm defined by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ , by  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . And with such norm  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a complete, normed vector space. Here the norm on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by an inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{g(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}.$$

And this inner product satisfies Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

**Proposition 3** (Proposition 4.2.4.). If  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$|\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

The relationship between absolutely integrable functions and square integrable functions is complicated in the sense that neither of them contains the other one. Some pathological examples are provided below.

**Example 3** (Example 4.2.7.). The function

$$f(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$$

is not absolutely integrable, but it is square integrable. On the other hand, the function

$$g(x) = \frac{\chi_{[-1,1]}(x)}{\sqrt{|x|}}$$

is absolutely integrable but not square integrable.

See a post [HERE](#).

*Proof.* It's not absolutely integrable because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx \geq \int_{0 < x < \infty} |f(x)| dx = \int_{0 < x < \infty} \left( \frac{1}{(1+x)^{3/4}} \right) dx = \infty.$$

While we can compute  $L^2$ -norm as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dx = \int_{-\infty}^0 (1 - x)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dx + \int_0^{\infty} (1 + x)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dx = 2 + 2 = 4.$$

□

**Exercise 1** (Exercise 4.2.9.). Let  $f$  be an  $L^1$ -function. Show that  $\hat{f}$  is a continuous function. Extra credit: Show that  $\hat{f}$  is uniformly continuous on the whole real line.

## 6 Week 6.

### 6.1 Fourier Transformation on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

According to this pathological example 3, we know being absolutely integrable and square integrable won't imply between themselves. They're "parallel", and the present of both conditions will give us a stronger statement, namely Parseval formula. This formula tells us when will the Fourier transformation of a function  $\hat{f}$  be square integrable, and it gave an explicit description for  $L^2$ -norm of  $\hat{f}$ .

**Question.** How about  $L^1$  function? Fourier inversion formula assumed a priori  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Only tool we have seems to be Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, which states certain nice "decay" property for  $L^1$  functions. But all we can conclude, under condition of Riemann-Lebesgue is that function is continuous and will go to zero at infinity. One naïve hope is that this will be enough to deduce it's in  $L^1$ , but it appears to be false? See a post [HERE](#), which redirects us to Chapter 8 of [?].

**Example 4.** There are something in  $L^2$ , after Fourier transform, not in  $1/x$ .

We defined Fourier transformation for  $L^1$ - functions. In fact, we can extend Fourier transformation to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  as follows:

Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and for each real nubmer  $R > 0$  define

$$\hat{f}_R(\xi) = \int_{-R}^R f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

Parseval's formula gives us, for  $R_1 < R_2$ ,

$$\|\hat{f}_{R_1} - \hat{f}_{R_2}\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi \int_{R_1 \leq |x| \leq R_2} |f(x)|^2 dx \quad (3)$$

Notice that  $f$  being  $L^2$  forces RHS of 3 goes to 0 when  $R_1 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$ . Since otherwise the integral will be infinity, contradicts the definition.

Hence we know sequence  $\{\hat{f}_R\}_{R \in \mathbb{R}}$  is Cauchy. While  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is complete and normed vector space, we can define it's limit inside  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In summary, we define  $\hat{f}$  as this limit. One convention: we call limit of a sequence in  $L^2$ -norm a *limit in the mean* and denote it by LIM.

**Definition 8** (Defintion 4.2.5.). If  $f$  is a function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then its Fourier transform is defined to be

$$\hat{f} = \text{LIM}_{R \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_R.$$

**Proposition 4** (Proposition 4.2.5.). *The Fourier transform extends to define a continuous map from  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  to itself. If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

**Question.** Why Parseval implies continuity of  $f$ ?

A consequence of Parseval's formula is uniqueness statement. The slogan is "A function in  $L^2$  is determined by its Fourier transform."

One application is to determine whether two functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  are equal, we can compute Fourier transformation of their subtraction. More precisely, it's the following corollary

**Corollary 1.** If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\hat{f} = 0$ , then  $f \equiv 0$ .

**Proposition 1 (Fourier inversion for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ).**

Here we give a summary of basic properties of Fourier transform that hold for **integrable** function or  $L^2$ -functions.

**Question.** Why not absolutely integrable? Connections between integrable and absolutely integrable. I saw a post [HERE](#).

- **LINEARITY:**
- **SCALING:**
- **TRANSLATION:** Let  $f_t$  be the function  $f$  shifted by  $t$  [i.e.,  $f_t(x) = f(x - t)$ ]. The Fourier transform of  $f_t$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_t(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - t)e^{-i\xi x} dx \\ &= \int f(y)e^{-i\xi(y+t)} dy \\ &= e^{-i\xi t} \hat{f}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

- **REALITY:** If  $f$  is a real-valued function, then its Fourier transform satisfies  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \overline{\hat{f}(-\xi)}$ . This shows that the Fourier transform of a real-valued function is completely determined by its values for positive (or negative) frequencies.
- **EVENNESS:**

Figure 4.6.

Figure 4.7.

## 6.2 A General Principle in Functional Analysis

As we know *completeness* is such an important property for a normed linear space, we recall definition of *dense* with a theorem.

**Question.** Is this saying every normed linear space is complete or just saying it's a property might or might not have?

Whenever we have a dense subspace  $S \subset V$  where  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed linear space, we can use a sequence of points from  $V$  to approach the point in  $S$ .

**Definition 9** (Defintion 4.2.7.). Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space. A subspace  $S$  of  $V$  is *dense* if for every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  there is a sequence  $\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_k\| = 0.$$

Here we'll introduce a theorem that gives us a general principle: a bounded linear map, defined on a dense subset, extends to the whole space.

**Theorem 5** (Theorem 4.2.4.). *Let  $(V_1, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $(V_2, \|\cdot\|)$  be normed, linear spaces and assume that  $V_2$  is complete. Suppose that  $S_1$  is a dense subspace of  $V_1$  and  $A$  is a linear map from  $S_1$  to  $V_2$ . If there exists a constant  $M$  such that*

$$\|A\mathbf{v}\|_2 \leq M\|\mathbf{v}\|_1,$$

*for all  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $S_1$ , then  $A$  extends to define a linear map from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$ , satisfying the same estimate.*

**Question.** How did we define linear space? "Satisfying the same estimate" means we have the above inequality, but  $\mathbf{v}$  could be chosen from the whole space  $V_1$ ?

## 7 Week 7.

### 7.1 Tools from Appendix B: Basic Analysis

**Theorem 1** (Theorem B.8.1 (Fubini's Theorem)). Let  $f$  be a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $n = k + l$  for positive integers  $k$  and  $l$ . If either of the iterated integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} |f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{y} \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{w}$$

is finite, then the other is as well. In this case  $f$  is integrable over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} |f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{w} d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y})| d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{w}.$$

**Remark 2.** Informally speaking, the order of the integrations can be interchanged under the assumption that  $f$  is *absolutely* integrable. Because there are examples of functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so that both iterated integrals

$$\int \int f(x, y) dx dy, \quad \int \int f(x, y) dy dx$$

exist but are not equal, with  $f$  not integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### 7.2 The Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle

Here we study relationships between the  $\text{supp } f$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{f}$ . The simplest such result states that if a function has bounded support, then its Fourier transform cannot.

**Proposition 1** (Proposition 4.4.1.). Suppose  $\text{supp } f$  is contained in the bounded interval  $(-R, R)$ . If  $\hat{f}$  also has bounded support then  $f \equiv 0$ .

### 7.3 The Fourier Transformation for Functions of Several Variables

We make some notation conventions that will be useful for multi-variable functions. We often use lowercase Roman bold letter to denote

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

And it's customary to use lowercase Greek bold letters for points on the Fourier transform space such as

$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Again, we start by defining for functions from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 1** (Definition 4.5.1.). If  $f$  belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the Fourier transform,  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$ , is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\langle \xi, \mathbf{x} \rangle} d\mathbf{x} \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$



Furthermore, we can express this integral as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{-ix_1 \xi_1} dx_1 \cdots e^{-ix_n \xi_n} dx_n.$$

While  $f$  is assumed to be absolutely integrable, then Fubini's theorem ensures that we can interchange the order of integration.

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 4.5.1 (Fourier Inversion Formula)). Suppose that  $f$  is an  $L^1$ -function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\hat{f}$  also belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{[2\pi]^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \xi} d\xi.$$

## 8 Week 8.

### 8.1 Convolution

Our interest is to study a smooth function of one variable with data contaminated by noise. And we let  $n$  be the function that "models" the noise. This Noise function  $n$ , is typically represented by a rapidly varying function that is *locally of mean zero*.

**Definition 2.** We say a rapidly varying function is *locally of mean zero* if for any  $x$ , and a large enough  $\delta$ , the average

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \int_x^{x+\delta} n(y) dy$$

is small compared to the size of  $n$ .

**Question.** How do we define size of  $n$ ?

**Definition 3.** The *moving average* of  $f$  is defined to be

$$\mathcal{M}_\delta(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_x^{x+\delta} f(y) dy.$$

???